

No. 19-7001

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Haji Bagcho, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES IN OPPOSITION

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov
(202) 514-2217

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 19-7001

HAJI BAGCHO, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES IN OPPOSITION

Petitioner contends (Pet. 10-13) that the district court violated his Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights by considering conduct underlying a charge that the jury had not found beyond a reasonable doubt, but that the court found under a less exacting standard of proof, in calculating his advisory Sentencing Guidelines range. For the reasons stated in the government's brief in opposition to the petition for a writ of certiorari in Asaro v. United States, No. 19-107 (filed Nov. 12, 2019), a copy of which the government is serving on petitioner, that contention lacks merit and does not warrant further review. See Br. in Opp. at 7-15, Asaro, supra (No. 19-107). The Court recently denied the

petitions for writs of certiorari in Asaro v. United States, No. 19-107 (Feb. 24, 2020), and several other cases raising similar issues, e.g., Knight v. United States, No. 19-6265 (Feb. 24, 2020); Martinez v. United States, No. 19-5346 (Feb. 24, 2020); Michigan v. Beck, No. 19-564 (Feb. 24, 2020). The same result is warranted here.*

Respectfully submitted.

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General

MARCH 2020

* The government waives any further response to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless this Court requests otherwise.