

No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

CHRISTOPHER WILLIAM MANIKOWSKI,

*Petitioner,*

v.

MARK S. INCH,

SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

*Respondent.*

---

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

APPENDIX TO APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION  
FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

---

MICHAEL UFFERMAN  
Michael Ufferman Law Firm  
Florida Bar # 114227  
2202-1 Raymond Diehl Road  
Tallahassee, Florida 32308  
Phone (850) 386-2345  
Fax (850) 224-2340  
Email: [ufferman@uffermanlaw.com](mailto:ufferman@uffermanlaw.com)

Counsel for the Petitioner

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Document</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.	July 19, 2019, order of the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals . . . . .	A-1

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 19-10153-B

---

CHRISTOPHER WILLIAM MANIKOWSKI,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Respondent-Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Florida

---

ORDER:

Christopher William Manikowski moves for a certificate of appealability (“COA”) to appeal the district court’s denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. To obtain a COA, a movant must make “a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The movant satisfies this requirement by demonstrating that “reasonable jurists would find the district court’s assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong,” or that the issues “deserve encouragement to proceed further.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (quotation omitted). Because Manikowski has failed to make the requisite showing, his motion for a COA is DENIED.

  
UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE