


19-6931
No. _____

ORIGINAL



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Robert W. Johnson PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
Linebarger, Goggan, Blair, Sampson, L.L.P., et al,
RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Robert W. Johnson
(Your Name)

3345 Fish Ave.; APT. 1
(Address)

BRONX, NY 10469
(City, State, Zip Code)

914-839-7583
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Does Appellee have an account for Robert W. Johnson?
2. Does Appellee records reflects debts owed against Appellant?
3. Does Appellant have Due Process Rights against Appellees for pending matters?
4. Was Appellant afforded any hearings for Appellees debtors matters?
5. Did Appellee state garnishments & seizures of assets could take place in Appellants debtor circumstances?
6. Does Appellee have active account and jurisdiction for Appellants matters?
7. Is Appellee place of business a corporation?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Linebarger Attorneys At Law, Permit Number
140039, Karl Lewis, Rick Hqas, Public
Utility Commission of Texas, State of
Texas, ADAD, Texas Department of Insurance,
Judge Fred Biery, U.S. Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

RELATED CASES

8:14-CR-0198-DSF; 8:15-CR-0041(C.D. Cal.),
18-2284 (7th Circuit); No. H-01-3624(S.D. Texas),
No. 02-C-05893(N.D. Ill.), No. 06-CV-1691(D. Minn.)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

18 U.S.C § 1001.
USA v. FREGA No. 97-50100, 97-50111, 97-50113,
& 97-50171.
Pentlong Corp. v. GLS Capital, Inc., 573 Pa. 34, 820
A.2d 1240 (2003).

STATUTES AND RULES

U.S. Constitutional Rights
14TH Amendment Rights
White Collar Crimes
Due Process Clause
Bill of RIGHTS

OTHER

Human Rights Violations
Bribery of U.S. Government
RICO ACT CRIMES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1-5
JURISDICTION.....	6
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	7
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	8
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	9-10
CONCLUSION.....	11

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

(see attached documents
for Review of Merits.)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished. — RWJ 11/29/19

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 11/22/19 & 11/12/19.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was USCA R.W.J
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix (see attached documents) 11/29/19

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

(6)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Appellees committed corporation collections fraud, RICO ACT CRIMES, breach of confidentiality and contracts for civil and human rights violations against Appellant. Appellant does not owe any debts to Appellees as debtor accounts are falsified documents forged for monetary collections and Judicial Review for civil and Criminal prosecution. Appellant was not afforded a fair hearing & Judicial Officials were bribed to dismiss Appellants White Collar Crimes & RICO ACT matters especially ^{as} Appellant appearing Pro Se for proceedings.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff requests Writ of Certiorari from an administrative & Judicial briberies for Appellees Corporations fraud matters. Appellees used threatening tactics to extort cash payments from Appellant and was immune from criminal prosecutions by administrative & Judicial Officials. Appellant fears for Appellants life as parties involved and conspiring U.S. Government parties have a history of being inhumane & punishing to Appellant. Appellees have not submitted documents for matters, to date, as documents are forged and a federal crime to disclose to any persons or agency.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Appellant was denied review by bribed Judicial Officials and Appellees remain immune from sanctions and criminal prosecutions. Appellees are in continuance of IRS Tax Fraud, Corporation Fraud, Collections Fraud, Extortion, Threatening Tactics & Human Rights Violations. Appellant is actively being punished as a result of breaches of confidentiality & contracts protected by the U.S. Government. Appellees have yet to be on record for defenses for RICO ACT CRIMES and or submit documents pertaining to extortion & human rights violations.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert W. Johnson

Date:

11/29/19