

No. 19-6867

ORIGINAL

FILED

AUG 28 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JOSE LUIS MAYA GDC ID 1001067274
— PETITIONER
(Your Name)

DEPARTMENT OF ^{vs.} HOME LAND
SECURITY, et al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS for the ELEVENTH CIR.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JOSE LUIS MAYA
GDC ID # 1001067274
(Your Name)

WASHINGTON STATE PRISON
P.O. Box 206
(Address)

DAVIS BORO, GA 31018-0206
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Did the State of Georgia violate the Immigration and Nationality Act by refusing to deport Jose Luis Maya?

2. Did the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia - Atlanta Division fail to deport Jose Luis Maya violating the Immigration and Nationality Act?

3. Was the Constitutional Rights of Jose Luis Maya-Inmate # 1001067274, an illegal alien, violated by the State of Georgia and U.S. Federal Courts when they denied deportation as guaranteed by the Immigration and Nationality Act?

4. Under the Immigration & Nationality Act, by not deporting Jose Luis Maya, the Plaintiff, did the State of Georgia commit an illegal act of unlawful incarceration?

5. Did the U.S. District Court hold Jose Luis Maya to standards equal to licenses lawyers by dismissing his case because the prison library did not "stock" forms required to file against a Federal Agency and liberally construing his documents and filings?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[✓] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
2. U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT
3. KEVIN McALEENAN- SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

ERICKSON V. PARDUS, 551 U.S. 89,
127 S. Ct. 2197, 167 L Ed 2d 1081 (2007).

HUGHES V. ROWE, 449 U.S. 5, 101 S Ct. 173
66 L Ed. 2d 163 (1980).

ALOSTA V. ASHCROFT, 341 F3d 218.

PADILLA V. KY., 2010 U.S. LEXIS
2928 (2010).

STATUTES AND RULES

IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at 19-12363-D; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at 1:19-CV-2064-CL; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 7/10/2019 *.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

* NOTE: DUE TO EXTREME VIOLENCE AT THIS FACILITY AND OFFICER SHORTAGES AND FAILURE TO "MAN" THE MAIL ROOM, NOTIFICATION WAS NOT RECEIVED UNTIL OCTOBER 15, 2019.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

SIXTH AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS
IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

PLAINTIFF SWEARS TO THE FOLLOWING:

JOSE LUIS MAYA IS INCARCERATED IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA. HE IS AN ILLEGAL ALIEN. HE FILED CIVIL SUIT SEEKING DEPORTATION. HE RELIES ON THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT. HE IS NOT A LAWYER. HE RELIED ON THE SIXTH AMENDMENT AND ERICKSON V. PARODS AND HUGHES V. ROWE "TO BE HELD TO LESS STRINGENT STANDARDS THAN LAWYERS" AND THE U.S. COURTS LET HIM DOWN. [HE] FEELS THAT [HE] IS ENTITLED TO SIXTH AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS.

[HE] WANTS TO BE DEPORTED.

[HE] CITES THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT IN WHICH APPLIES TO HIM.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION


THE PLAINTIFF IS AN ILLEGAL - ILLITERATE ALIEN AND WANTS TO BE DEPORTED.

HE WAIVED HIS RIGHTS YEARS AGO TO DEPORTATION AND HAS BEEN IN LIMBO EVER SINCE. THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT IS SPECIFIC, DEPORTATION. MAYA STATES THAT THE STATE OF GEORGIA IS ALTERING CRIMINAL DEPORTATIONS.

SPECIAL STATE STATUTES ARE PREVENTING HIM FROM DEPORTATION, THUS VIOLATING THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, HIS SIXTH AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS AND FEDERAL CASE DECISIONS.

FEDERAL STATUTES, IN PARTICULARLY, THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, TRUMPS STATE STATUTES. PERIOD.

Patricia Denise Davis 11/19/19
notary



CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

✓ MAYA JOSE LUIS

Date: 11-19-19