

No. 19-6830 ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUL 26 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DON EMMERY WILSON — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DON EMMERY WILSON
(Your Name)

P.O. BOX 5000
(Address)

OAKDALE, LA. 71463
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) Was petitioner subjective to ineffective or deficient assistance of counsel in a criminal matter?
- 2) Was petitioner subjective to cruel and unusual punishment through the actions of officers acting in their official capacity?
- 3) Was petitioner subjective to ambiguous plea through the advice of defense counsel?
- 4) Was petitioner subjective to illegal sentence by the imposition of the totality of the sentences imposed upon him, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §3553 and the U.S.S.G?
- 5) Was petitioner subjective to conviction prejudiced by hearsay?
- 6) Was petitioner profiled or targeted for the allegation of the offenses?
- 7) Was petitioner constitutional rights violated?
- 8) Was petitioner subjection to obstruction of justice by and through respondent's actions?
- 9) Should respondent be held responsible for the obstruction of justice and prohibiting of petitioner to comply/remedy allegation?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A United States District Court Decision

APPENDIX B United States District Court Decision, §2255

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Strickland v. Washington 466 U.S. 668 (1984).	
Yarborough v. Gentry 540 U.S. 1, 5, 124 S. Ct. 1, 157 L.Ed.2d (2003).	
United States v. Hernandez 690 F.3d 613, 816 (5h Cir. 2006).	
Lee v. United States 137 S.Ct. 1958, 1964, 198 L.Ed.2d 476 (2017).	
Brown v. Mississippi (1936).	
United States v. Booker 543 U.S. 220, 160 L.Ed.2d 621, 125 S.Ct. 736 (2005).	

STATUTES AND RULES

18 U.S.C. §3553

OTHER

United States Sentencing Guidelines.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at 4:15-CR-00870-RBH; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Fifth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America.

Sixth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America.

Eighth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America.

Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I was indicted and convicted of;

Count 4 - Health care fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §24(b), and 18 U.S.C. §1347.

Count 7 - Money laundering-Bank fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioner was clearly prejudiced in his conviction and sentencing by the unprofessional errors of his ineffective or deficient representation of counsel for his defense.


As a result of these errors, petitioner's constitutional rights were not assured and numerous acts of misconduct were allowed to go unchecked.

Had these errors not occurred, the outcome of petitioner's conviction and sentencing would have been very different.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. L.", is written over a horizontal line.

Date: 07 Nov 2019