

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUL 19 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

SHONDOR JANELL ARCENEAUX,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 19-16011

D.C. Nos. 2:11-cv-02781-MCE-EFB
2:03-cr-00371-MCE-EFB-6
Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: IKUTA and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied because appellant has not shown that “jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the [section 2255 motion] states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.