has consistently argued (and Exhibited) the fact that his attorney "abandoned" him during appeal.

It should not be troubling to this Court as to the reason Mr. Labat had contacted Ms. Ruffin instead of Mr. Kennedy. Mr. Labat had signed a contract between himself and Ms. Ruffin. Mr. Kennedy was not even mentioned during the signing.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the reasons above, and in the original writ, Mr. Labat request that this Honorable Court find the Brief in Opposition moot, and grant him the necessary relief.

Respectfully submitted this 24 day of April, 2020.

Carl Labat #592090
MPEY/Spruce-3
Louisiana State Penitentiary
Angola, Louisiana 70712-9818

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

A true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by First Class United States Mail this 24 day of April, 2020 upon counsel of record for Respondents, pursuant to Rule 29 at the following address: District Attorney's Office, Orleans Criminal District Court, 619 S. White St., New Orleans, LA 70119; and Warden Darrel Vannoy, General Delivery, Louisiana State Penitentiary, Angola, LA 70712.

Carl Labat #592090

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

No.: 19-6701

CARL LABAT

v.

DARREL VANNOY

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1 (h), I certify that the Reply to State's Brief in Opposition contains **1114** words, excluding the parts that are exempted by Supreme Court Rule 33.1 (d).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on

Respectfully submitted,



Carl Labat,

cc: File/dec#304580