

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

ANTHONY ANDREWS) No. 19-6639
Petitioner)

) REHEARING

v.

) S.Ct. Rule 44

) Petition FOR writ

UNITED STATES OF America) of Certiorari
Respondent)

Now Comes, Anthony Andrews, pro-se, in
a rehearing under S.Ct. Rule 44 due
within 25 days of the Court's December
16th, 2019 denial. The threshold question
is whether the motion is successive for
purposes of 28 USC 2255(h). Under 45
Rule 44, its grounds shall be limited to
intervening circumstances or a substantial
or controlling effect or to other substantial
grounds not previously presented. In this
case, after the arrest of over 25
officers in "Operation Tarnished Badge"
in Robeson County for various offenses

of federal law, the govt. violated its discovery obligations under Brady v. Maryland, 373 US 83 (1963) and Giglio v. U.S. 405 US 150 (1972). See Also U.S. v. Keegan, No. 2:14-CR00029, Dkt No 32 (W.D. Va. April 30, 2018) (The govt filed an omnibus motion for voluntary disclosure of grand jury and other materials in 55 closed criminal cases seeking permission to disclose impeachment evidence concerning Craig Frye which came to the govt's attention between April and June of 2017. It request appointment of Counsel for all of the defendants). In the Eastern District of N.C. for 25 convicted officers, No such motion was made which violated my Due Process Rights under the 5th Amendment. Under Brady & Giglio disclosure is required by the govt, and if it "did not exist", the "officers convictions" at the time the first 2255 was filed an Adjudicated, the claim is not "Second or Successive"


Respectfully
(2) 1-6-20 G. Don

In this case, Andrews 2255 was
filed and Adjudicated on March 3rd 2003.
The "officer convictions were known
After in 2007". It did not exist. Upon
the existence of this impeachment evidence,
the govt was required to disclose it to
defendants in "closed cases" who were still
in custody. They did not and this Brady
and Giglio was violated. The statute of
28 USC 2255(h) is inapplicable and this no
2244(b)(3)(A) certification is required. I
ask the petition rehearing be granted in
this case.

Respectfully
J. O. Jones
1-6-20

Certificate of Pro-Se.
ANTHONY Andrews

I Anthony Andrews do hereby
certify that this Rule 44
Re-hearing is presented in good
faith and not for delay.


Jan 6th, 2020

ANTHONY Andrews
15965-056
P.O. Box 340
Salter, SC 29590

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

December 16, 2019

Mr. Anthony Andrews
Prisoner ID #15965-056
P.O. Box 340
Salters, SC 29590


Re: Anthony Andrews
v. United States
No. 19-6639

Dear Mr. Andrews:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott S. Harris", written in a cursive style.

Scott S. Harris, Clerk