

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ANDRE WILLIAMSON — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX

A-G

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI FROM THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
NINTH CIRCUIT

ANDRE WILLIAMSON
REG# 85709-012
UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY
P.O. BOX 7000
FLORENCE, COLORADO 81226

APPENDIX A

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

NOV 6 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ANDRE WILLIAMSON,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 17-56053

D.C. Nos. 2:14-cv-07642-SVW
2:08-cr-00411-SVW-3

Central District of California,
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: Trott and Wardlaw, Circuit Judges.

The stay issued in this case on January 19, 2018, is lifted.

The court has considered appellant's counseled request for a certificate of appealability and the pro se supplemental filings (Docket Entry Nos. 2, 6, 7, 8, 11 & 12).

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied because appellant has not shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the [section 2255 motion] states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003); *United States v. Watson*, 881 F.3d 782 (9th Cir. 2018), *cert. denied*, No. 18-5022, 2018 WL 3223705 (Oct. 1, 2018).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 14-07642-SVW ;CR 08-00411-SVW-3	Date	July 14, 2017
Title	<i>Andre Williamson v. United States of America</i>		

Present: The Honorable STEPHEN V. WILSON, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Paul M. Cruz

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

N/A

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

N/A

Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS ORDER DENYING MOTION [1] [13]

Having read and considered the papers, the Court DENIES the motion for the reasons stated in the government's opposition with regards to prosecutorial misconduct, committing the offense under duress, abuse of discretion in denying the motion to withdraw his guilty plea, ineffective assistance of counsel, and armed bank robbery remaining a crime of violence through use of force. In addition, the Court adopts the reasoning of *United States v. Salinas*, No. 1:08-CR-0338-LJO-SKO, 2017 WL 2671059 (E.D. Cal. June 21, 2017) (finding bank robbery a crime of violence through use of force for purposes of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)).

Initials of Preparer

PMC

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**