

OCT 31 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

19-6513

No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Deyoe R. Harris — PETITIONER (pro se)  
(Your Name)

vs.

U. S. A — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals, 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Deyoe Royal Harris  
(Your Name)

211 E Lee St  
(Address)

Tucson, AZ 85705  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(520) 789-9061  
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED  
NOV 5 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

① Is it clear that like one hundred years ago with alcohol the the outright ban of a substance has resulted in more crime and violence about that thing for ALL Americans?

② Is it best to simply regulate and tax these substances because people will use these substances anyway, in light of both imprisonment and violence, ~~so~~ it is best for our communities?  
showing

③ Is the conduct regulated by the Federally Controlled Substance Act (CSA (1970)) something that ought to be left to the individual or The People so the act violates the 10th Amendment and is unconstitutional?

④ Are the laws created by public referenda and the legislatures of the States in Appendix C (11 States) an affirmation that The People think the act (CSA (1970)) an overreach of legislative power?

## **LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## **RELATED CASES**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit decision to dismiss

APPENDIX B - Arizona's Federal District Court's decision to dismiss.

APPENDIX C - 11 State laws that "Legalize" a Federally Controlled Substance.

APPENDIX D - A map of all the States and in which way they thought to legalize, marginalize, decriminalization approved as for medical use and outright legalization.

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED**

*None*

**CASES**

**PAGE NUMBER**

**STATUTES AND RULES**

**OTHER**

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at 19-16219; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at 4:19-cv-00299-FRZ; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 9/17/19.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Federal Laws CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Controlled Substance Act (CSA 1970), violates the 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment.

State Laws

The States in Appendix C + D have passed laws and affirmed public referenda that violate the CSA 1970.

6

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE


As with Prohibition one hundred years ago, a Federal law has made crime "worse than it's ever been" by paternalistically banning a substance.

That crime or "drug crimes" has made every Americans lives worse and like with liquor it is better that the Congress simply regulate and tax all the substances controlled by the Controlled Substance Act.

So I ask this Court to recognize my standing as an adversely effected party by the Federal Law and force Congress to simply regulate and tax ~~those~~ all those substances criminalized by the act in question.

Further States have ratified and backed public referenda (Appendix C + D) that directly conflict with the Federal law. So this Court's screwtency is required.

Thank You,

Deyoe R. Ham

#### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This petition ought to be granted because the Federal law I am questioning hurts ALL Americans.

Secondly all the States (Appendix C + D) in some way have created laws that directly violate the Federal law so Supreme judicial authority or oversight is needed.

Finally this Court can force Congress to regulate and tax (is best to fix this problem) the substances regulated by the Federal law so this Court ought grant this petition.

Thank You,  
Deegee J. Brown

---

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 10/27/19