FILED: June 25, 2019

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6300
(1:14-cr-00206-LO-6)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

EDUARD BANGIYEV, a/k/a Eddie

Defendant - Appellant

JUDGMENT

In accordance with the decision of this court, the judgment of the district court is affirmed.

This judgment shall take effect upon issuance of this court's mandate in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 41.

/s/ PATRICIA S. CONNOR, CLERK

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6300

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

EDUARD BANGIYEV, a/k/a Eddie,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Liam O'Grady, District Judge. (1:14-cr-00206-LO-6)

Submitted: June 20, 2019 Decided: June 25, 2019

Before NIEMEYER, AGEE, and RICHARDSON, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Eduard Bangiyev, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Eduard Bangiyev appeals the district court's order dismissing his petition for writ of error coram nobis or audita querela. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *United States v. Bangiyev*, No. 1:14-cr-00206-LO-6 (E.D. Va. filed Feb. 14, 2019; entered Feb 15, 2019). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v. EDUARD BANGIYEV, *Defendant.*	Civil No. 1:14-cr-206 Hon. Liam O'Grady

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Eduard Bangiyev's Motion for Reconsideration of Writ of Coram Nobis/Audita Querela. Dkt. 928.

In an Order dated February 14, 2019, the Court denied Defendant's Petition for a Writ of Coram Nobis/Audita Querela. Dkt. 926. Defendant asks the Court to reconsider his Petition because he argues underlying factual issues remain regarding the loss amount for the counterfeiting conspiracy at issue. The Court addressed these issues fully in its Order and finds no reason to set aside its ruling here. Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Writ of Coram Nobis/Audita Querela, Dkt. 928, is **DENIED.**

It is **SO ORDERED.**

Liam O'Grady
United States District Judge

February 27, 2019
Alexandria, Virginia

Appendix #1

PER CURIAM:

Eduard Bangiyev appeals the district court's order dismissing his petition for writ of error coram nobis or audita querela. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *United States v. Bangiyev*, No. 1:14-cr-00206-LO-6 (E.D. Va. filed Feb. 14, 2019; entered Feb 15, 2019). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

Appendix #3

FILED: July 30, 2019

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6300
(1:14-cr-00206-LO-6)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

EDUARD BANGIYEV, a/k/a Eddie

Defendant - Appellant

ORDER

The court denies the petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc. No judge requested a poll under Fed. R. App. P. 35 on the petition for rehearing en banc.

Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Niemeyer, Judge Agee, and Judge Richardson.

For the Court

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk