


No. 19-6326

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Michael Verble — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

City of San Diego, vs. State of California  
Ca. Lottery Commission, USA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

9<sup>th</sup> U.S. APPELLANT Court

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Michael Kevin Verble  
(Your Name)

3725 30<sup>th</sup> St.

(Address)

San Diego, Ca. 92104  
(City, State, Zip Code)

none  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Pub L 100-352 102.5

Threat to the Public Safety

With Computerization & ~~fault~~  
Circuitry Analysis (Circuits Built with  
Integrity) On a volume of 9 As in the  
Associative Property of Algebra  
Subtraction of the Properties  
of Algebra (Not leaving the Circuit  
in a "Cracked" condition) As has been  
being done with radar & other  
public <sup>Govt.</sup> services involving Computer-  
ization & Computer itself.

Should "Cracked" Circuits  
be made illegal? Criminal  
negligence as in Employment  
discrimination Tort with 9<sup>th</sup> U.S.  
Dist. Ct. Dist Case 04-CV-537KSE  
Dist. 4<sup>th</sup> Ca. Slander libel of say Electrical Technician as  
myself. -v- Elect Eng.  
Contractor  
Govt.

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Ca. Lottery Commission  
Secretary of Navy  
Inspector General of F.A. A.  
Whom ever issued Cashien  
check Stolen in case

02-5513

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

Public Safety United States v Sadler,  
480 F. 3d 932

### STATUTES AND RULES

Pub L 100-352 102.5 congressional  
Session June 27, 1988

Supreme Court Rule 11

OTHER ca. Constitution Art 1, Paragraph 2- employment discrimination. 5<sup>th</sup> Amend. Arbitrarily Deprivation of Property U.S. Constitution 5<sup>th</sup> Due Process, Just Compensation. 2nd Amendment Keep & Carry

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is *Sue Sponte*  
[ ] reported at *Jun 26, 2019 Molly C. DWYER*; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was *N/A*.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. *A* \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

*Sue Sponte*

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. *A* \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

Arbitrary Removal of Property - Relief  
check

Due Process, Just Compensation

Employment DISCRIMINATION under  
Classification of Worker

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

Public Safety on Computerization

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Urgency for Public to Know Public  
Safety on Computerization issue. Need  
To Adopt legislation for Safety Sake!  
With Both U.S. & U.N.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael F. Verba

Date: 8/27/19