


No. 19-6326

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Michael Verble — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

City of San Diego, vs. state of California
Ca. Lottery Commission USA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

9th U.S. APPELLANT COURT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Michael Kevin Verble
(Your Name)

3725 30th St.

(Address)

San Diego, Ca. 92104
(City, State, Zip Code)

none
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Pub L 100-352 102.5

Threat to the Public Safety

With Computerization & ~~Elect~~
Circuitry Analysis (Circuits Built with
integrity) On a volume of 9 As in the
Associative Property of Algebra
Subtraction of the Properties
of Algebra (Not leaving the Circuit
in a "Cracked" condition) As has been
being done with radar & other
public ^{& Govt.} services involving Computer-
ization & Computer itself.

Should "Cracked" Circuits
be made illegal? Criminal
negligence as in Employment
Discrimination Tort with 9th U.S.
Dist. 4th Ca. Dist case 04-cv-537K (SE)
slander libel of say Electrical Technician as
myself. -V- Elect Eng.
Contractor
Govt.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Ca. Lottery Commission
Secretary of Navy

Inspector General of F.A.A.

Whoever issued cashien
check stolen in case

02-5513

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Public Safety United States v Sadler,
480 F. 3d 932

STATUTES AND RULES

Pub L 100-352 102.5 congressional
Session June 27, 1988

Supreme Court Rule 11

OTHER

ca. Constitution Art 1, Paragraph 2 - employ
ment discrimination. 5th Amend. Arbitrarily Depervation of Property
U.S. Constitution 5th Due Proces, Just Compens-
ation. 2nd Amendment Keep & Carry

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is Sue Sponte

[] reported at Jun 26, 2019 Molly C. DWYER; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was N/A.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

Sue Sponte

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Arbitrary Removal of Property - Relief
check

Due Process, Just Compensation

Employment Discrimination under
Classification of Worker

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Public Safety on computerization

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Urgency for Public to Know Public
Safety on Computerization Issue. Need
To Adopt Legislation for Safety Sake!
With Both U.S. & U.N.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael R. Verba

Date: 8/27/19