

19-6257

No. \_\_\_\_\_

## ORIGINAL

FILED  
SEP 24 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

**IN THE**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

Lamar Lokoti — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Conscious (or not) — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Court of Criminal Appeals  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

(Your Name)

St. Bernard

1300 fm 655

(Address)

Rockhampton, TX 77089  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- ① Is the 5th Amendment still in operation?
- ② What about the process was done to correct me?
- ③ I was connected to a Parole document. On 12-10-10. The original memorandum have been numbered after the connection as follows:  
Reindemndment cause # D-1-DC-10-904094  
Original Parole cause # D-1-DC-10-202992  
Cause # D-1-DC-10-202993 The other  
Cause # D-1-DC-10-202992 was numbered on 6th 2011  
along with cause # D-1-DC-10-301929 numbered  
8-24-11. Is there any justification for the  
causal change?
- ④ Can extraction of force be used to control people demanded?
- ⑤ Is a jailhouse memorandum a credible witness  
in evidence?
- ⑥ Can the state withhold evidence that proves

## LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[ ] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

① Coans & Pentzhol, Appellee  
② Texas Com. Party

## RELATED CASES

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *Relationship and Disposal of Remands*

APPENDIX B *1107(9)(g)(c)*

APPENDIX C *Cond & Criminal Appeal*

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Brooks v. Beto 336 F.2d 441 (5th Cir. 1963)	
U.S. v. [REDACTED] Englehardt 436 U.S. 418, 424 103 S.Ct. at 3138, 77 L.Ed.2d 92747	
U.S. v. Johnson 319 U.S. 593, 63 S.Ct. 1233, 87 L.Ed. 1546 (1946)	
Illinois v. Abbott and Associates Inc. 460 U.S. 557, 193 S.Ct. 1366, 75 L.Ed.2d 281 (1983)	
Kesner System Smith v. Texas 311 U.S. 128 (1940)	
Castaneda v. McLean 439 U.S. 482 (1977)	
Daran v. Missouri 439 U.S. 357 (1979)	
Lasquez v. Wilson 474 U.S. 284 (1986)	
Holland v. Illinois 493 U.S. 474 (1990)	
<del>SEARCHED</del> <del>SEARCHED</del> <del>SEARCHED</del>	
Castaneda v. Partida 430 U.S. 204 (1972)	
Dean Perry Petros Partnership v. C.P. K. R. Warthen 404 U.S. 493-513 92 S.Ct. 2183, 33 L.Ed.2d No 71-5078 Argued (2-22-78)	
Werner v. Texas 374 U.S. 479 (1964)	
Smith v. Texas 311 U.S. 128 (1940)	
Weary v. Delaware (Trade off) 103 U.S. 370 (1880) <sup>See</sup> Appendix D	
Stateward and Rader 5th Amendment 14th Amendment	

## OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Court of Criminal Appeals court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 8-7-19. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 8-7-19, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

5th Amendment

14th Amendment

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The defendant was convicted of a  
Recklessness on 12 years

The original indictment have been dismissed  
after the conviction as follows

Recklessness # D-1-DC-10-904894 Dismissed  
12-10-70

Original indictment # D-1-DC-10-202992 was  
Dismissed on 1st 2011 also original indictment  
extortion # D-1-DC-10-202993 was also dismissed 1-6-71  
extortion indictment # D-1-DC-302929 Dismissed  
8-24-71

There is now only one indictment on Capital charge along  
with the extortions & Persecution that also been  
Dismissed with the 2011 hearing defendant who is  
not creditable the 2011 Defendant for the Capital  
charge.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

5th Amendment  
2d or Amendment

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Laura ~~Spitzer~~

Date: \_\_\_\_\_