

Question presented for Review

1. Sanction procedural Prerequisite of F.R.C.P. Federal Rules Civil Procedure, the order of July 05th 2017, NO. 17-2342, William J. Bauer, Richard A. Posner, David F. Hamilton of the United States Court Appeals, For Seventh Circuit, Chicago Illinois, The Sanction Impose did it comply with Federal Rules Civil Procedure.
2. The Question for the Court does the recorded points to the fact that Parks was serve a motion for sanction and was there a hearing and Parks had the opportunity to be heard, before sanction was impose on July 5th 2017.
3. Does the sanction impose meet the requirements in Federal Rules Civil Procedure, Rule 11 and see Stein vs Ulster, 127 f 3d. 292.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

WARREN PARKS

?

COMPLAINT

CAUSE NO.: _____

Warren Parks

?

AGENT - BENEFICIARY

?

- VS -

THE DISCOURT OF THE UNITED STATE

OF AMERICA, SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

DEFENDANT

RECEIVED

AUG 30 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH, FACTS

MANDAMUS AND DISCIPLINARY ACTION

ENTER NOW THE AGENT BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE, FOR THE
COMPLAINT WARREN PARKS, INVOKING THE COURT'S
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER ARTICLE III OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF THE UNITED STATES.

JURISDICTION

28 U.S.C. § 1251 AND U.S. CONST. AMDT. 11. A PETITION/COMPLAINT
FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT IN ~~aid~~ OF THE COURTS APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SHALL BE FILED AS PROVIDED IN RULE 20.

FACTS

NEXT PAGE

1. ISSUANCE BY THE COURT OF AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT AUTHORIZED BY 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) IS NOT A MATTER OF RIGHT, BUT OF DISCRETION SPARINGLY EXERCISED. TO JUSTIFY THE GRANTING OF ANY WRIT, THE COMPLAINT MUST SHOW THAT THE WRIT WILL BE IN AID OF THE COURTS APPELLATE JURISDICTION, THAT EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES WARRANT THE EXERCISE OF THE COURTS DISCRETIONARY POWERS, AND THAT ADEQUATE RELIEF CANNOT BE OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER FORM OR FROM ANY OTHER COURT.

EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES

ON OR ABOUT 2017, THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE 7TH CIRCUIT COURT DISBARRED THE FROM FILING ANY SUITE IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT, FOR FILING SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION PETITION, THE SUSPENSION IS A VIOLATION OF THE COURTS AGENTS OATH OF OFFICE, TO PROTECT THE CONSTITUTION AND THE FEDERAL RULES, CIVIL, PROCEDURE. THE DISBARMENT / DISCIPLINARY ACTION ISSUE FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR 7TH CIRCUIT VIOLATE COMPLAINT DUE- PROCESS RIGHTS, THE LOWER COURT NEVER ISSUED AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, WITHIN THE DAY PROSCRIBED BY THE FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE. SEE S.C.T. R. 8.

UP
DESPITE THE LOWER COURT FAILURE TO FOLLOW THE RULE OF LAW PAPER SENT TO THE ^{COURT} BILL OF EXCHANGE PACKET FOR PAYMENT THE COURT RULED THAT THIS WAS UNACCEPTABLE BUT NEVER RETURN THE INSTRUMENT WITHIN THE TIME PRECIBED UNDER UCC-9; BY KEEPING THE INSTRUMENT AND CREDITING ^{UP} MY ACCOUNT, NOT CREDITING THE ACCOUNT, THE LOWER COURT IS IN VIOLATION OF THE TRUTH AND LENDING BECAUSE THEY HAD 72 HOUR TO RETURN THE ORIGINAL PAYMENT. SEE U.C.C. 9

JUSTIFY THE GRANTING

THE LOWER COURT IS A BULLY, AND BECAUSE PARKS IS IN THE PRISON SYSTEM, THE LOWER COURT HAS BULLY PARKS, AND THREATENING AND INTIMIDATE PARKS, WITH THIS UNJUST SANCTION, THE LOWER COURT HAS USE THE PRISON SYSTEM TO STOP PARKS FROM FILING, WHICH IS A DENIAL OF ACCESS TO THE COURT. THE JUSTIFYING OF GRANTING THIS IS CLEARLY THE SANCTION IS A VIOLATION OF PARKS DUE PROCESS, AT WHICH THE COURT BY ITS OATH AGREED TO UPFOLD THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS THE SANCTION IS A VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE PARKS HAD EVERY RIGHT TO SHOW CAUSE, HEARING.

PARKS PAID THE \$500.00 USING HIS EXEMPTION, HOWEVER THE COURT/LOWER COURT DID NOT LIKE THE MYTHED, AND REFUSE TO ACCEPT, BUT FAILED TO RETURN THE DOCUMENTATION; UNDER THE TRUTH AND LENDING THE LOWER COURT FAILED TO RETURN THE DOCUMENT, AND KEPT IT, PARKS HAS NO ADEQUATE RELIEF CANNOT BE OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER FORM FROM ANY COURT, SEE U.C.C.R. 9, BY KEEPING THE PAYMENT INSTRUCTION THE SANCTION IS PAID.

CONCLUSION

PARKS SEEKS THIS COURT TO REMOVE THE SANCTION, AND STOP THIS COURT BULLY THREAT AND INTIMIDATION THIS IS UNEALLED FOR, THIS COURT HAS MADE THE RULE OF LAW INTO A JOKE, PARKS SEEKS TO LEAVE THIS CORPORATION BECAUSE ITS TOTALLY UNFAIR, BECAUSE OF THE ACTION OF THE LOWER COURT.

Warren Parks

Warren Parks

All Rights Reserve

UCC 1-308

Concise Statement

Parks is being bully by this lower Court for filing a subject matter jurisdiction, the order of July 5th 2017, totally unfair the sanction impose are in violation of the rules of the court, Parks was never serve any notice nor did Parks have a chance to respond to the sanction. Parks never had a sanction hearing, totally a violation of the Courts oaths of office, and a departure of the rules of the court.

3
4

Reason relied on for the allowance of the writ

The United States Court of Appeals, for the Seventh Circuit, is a bully, this order is in violation of every treaty the United States with other nation and the lower Court has departed from the Norm that warrant the Court intervention. The action of this Court are usurp and violate the Federal Rules civil Procedure/Constitution. The Court is and outlaw, kangaroo, this type of action must not stand in the Court. The action of the lower court is criminal, injustice and has no support behind it this Court must take this case under consideration, this case is one of and exceptional case that warrant this court intervention.