

PROVIDED TO MARTIN  
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION  
ON 24 June 2019  
FOR MAILING

R.C.W.

No. 19-6188

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

DEC 27 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Reginald Chatman — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Florida — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

First District Court of Appeals  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Reginald Chatman Jr.  
(Your Name)

(Work Camp) Martin C.I. 150 SW Allapattah Road  
(Address)

RECEIVED

JUL 2 - 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Indiantown, Florida 34956  
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A  
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

JAN - 7 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1.) The Defendant Reginald Chatman entered into a negotiated agreement with the Florida State Attorney's Office ASA Nathan Prince, for a ten year D.O.C. sentence to run concurrent with case 2008 and 2009. The Defendant Reginald Chatman complied with all of the terms of the agreement. The state of Florida reneged on the Defendant's Benefit of the Bargain. (Question) IS the Agreement enforceable and did the State of Florida violate the Defendant Reginald Chatman's 14th Amendment Constitutional right to due Process?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |   |
|--|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW.....                                    | 1 |
| JURISDICTION.....                                      |   |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ..... |   |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....                            |   |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....                    |   |
| CONCLUSION.....  |   |

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Decision of the First District Court of Appeals (Affirmed)

APPENDIX B Decision of the Second Judicial Court In and for Leon County Florida  
(DENIED)

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

#### CASES

#### PAGE NUMBER

Santobello v. NEW York, 404 U.S. 257, 92 S.Ct. 495, 30 L.Ed.2d 427 (1971)  
State of Florida v. STUART SIMONS 22 J.O. 3d 734; 2009 FLA

#### STATUTES AND RULES

Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure 3.170 Pleas and agreements

#### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

**[ ] For cases from federal courts:**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

reported at N/A; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

reported at N/A; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

**[ ] For cases from state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at N/A 1D14-5020; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the Second Judicial Court in and for LEON COUNTY  
First District Court of Appeals court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at N/A 1D14-5020 2009-CF-00127; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

### For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was N/A.

N/A  No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

N/A  A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

N/A  An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. N/A A N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

### For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Sept. 28, 2018. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. N/A A N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Defendants 14<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights to the U.S. Constitution were violated.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- 1.) The Defendant Reginald Chatman entered into a negotiated agreement with the State of Florida's Assistant State Attorney Nathan Prince for a ten year D.O.C. sentence to run concurrent for cases 2008 and 2009. Terineka Watson was a third party beneficiary to Defendant Chatman's deal and she received two to three years probation with early termination.
- 2.) Defendant Reginald Chatman complied with all of the terms of the negotiated agreement (which was to testify at multiple trials of codefendant Anthony Keel.)
- 3.) ASA Nathan Prince resigned from the State Attorneys Office and failed to communicate the negotiated agreement with the Assistant that took over the case.
- 4.) Terineka Watson received her benefit of the negotiated agreement but the State of Florida reneged on the Defendant Reginald Chatman and he did not receive the benefit of the bargain; and was sentenced to a harsher sentence of 18 yrs. D.O.C.

**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

The State of Florida Violated the <sup>Essential</sup> ~~Central~~ requirements of Law.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Chot Jr.", is written over a horizontal line.

Date: 12/19/18