


19-6182  
No. \_\_\_\_\_

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
\_\_\_\_\_



STAN J. CATERBONE PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)


PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

STAN J. CATERBONE, 06-5238  
(Your Name)

% LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON  
(Address) 625 E. KING STREET  
DRAWER-C

LANCASTER, PA 17602-3199  
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A  
(Phone Number)



### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. THERE HAS TO BE DEMONSTRATED AT SOME POINT IN TIME IN THE FEDERAL COURT SYSTEM A LEADERSHIP ROLE IN FINALLY HOLDING THE MILITARY/INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITIES AND LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE FOR CONDUCTING THE ILLEGAL AND COVERT OPERATIONS OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL WEAPONS AND TECHNOLOGIES AND COINTELPRO OPERATIONS. THIS CASE IS SIMPLE ENOUGH IN THE FACT THAT IT IS NOT YET SETTING PRECEDENT BUT MERELY REVERSING A DECISION TO IMPOSE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REINSTATING MOVANT STATUS AND THE AMICUS BRIEF. HOWEVER THAT ACT ALONE MAY EFFECT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND SAVE LIVES BY SENDING A MESSAGE TO THE NEXT MASS SHOOTER BEFORE HE IS TRIGGERED TO EXECUTE HIS MISSION. IF NOT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT FOR THAT LEADERSHIP ROLE — THEN WHO?
2. SINCE 1987 STAN J. CATHERBONE HAS BEEN DENIED HIS CIVIL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ON A SCALE UNKNOWN TO MOST ORDINARY CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — HE HAS BEEN DENIED THE PROTECTIONS AND SAFETY OF LAW ENFORCEMENT; AND HAS BEEN DENIED HIS PURSUIT OF LIFE, LIBERTY, AND HAPPINESS — WHY?

## LIST OF PARTIES

- [ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

v.

THANH GONG PHAN,  
DEFENDANT

v.

STAN J. CATERBONE,  
MOVANT-APPELLANT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                        |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----|
| OPINIONS BELOW.....                                    | 1  |
| JURISDICTION.....                                      | 2  |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ..... | 6  |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....                            | 7  |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....                    | 17 |
| CONCLUSION.....                                        | 23 |

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

|            |                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                      |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| APPENDIX A | 9TH CIRCUIT ORDER DECEMBER 27, 2018<br>9TH CIRCUIT 18-30181 DOCKET REPORT                                                                                                    | A-2                                                                                                  |
| APPENDIX B | DECLARATIVE STATEMENT RE RAMIREZ STACKING CHAIRS<br>AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE, JAN 11, 2019                                                                                | A-3 TO<br>B-3 TO B-15                                                                                |
| APPENDIX C | CASE 6520-2017 P.V. ORDER DENIAL SENTENCE<br>OVER 30 DISMISSED ARRESTS<br>THE SMOKING GUN THEY TALK ABOUT                                                                    | B-17<br>B-18<br>B-24                                                                                 |
| APPENDIX D | THE SURREPTITIOUS REINCARNATION COUNTERPRO<br>INTERNATIONAL SIGNAL & CONTROL<br>STAN CATLBORE AND THE INTEL COMMUNITY<br>U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL<br>EXPECTS AND EVIDENCE | C-3 TO C-8<br>C-9 TO C-16<br>C-18 TO C-24<br>C-26 TO C-27<br>D-3 TO D-6<br>D-8 TO D-11<br>E-2 TO E-4 |
| APPENDIX E | STAN CATLBORE MENTAL HEALTH RECORD                                                                                                                                           | D-8 TO D-11<br>E-2 TO E-4                                                                            |
| APPENDIX F | STAN J. CATLBORE PROFESSIONAL BIOGRAPHY<br>LOG OF ACTIVE COURT CASES                                                                                                         | F-3 TO F-7<br>F-9                                                                                    |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

| CASES                                                   | PAGE NUMBER |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 7 <sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS HAMPTON V. FBI |             |

### STATUTES AND RULES

RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT PART III RULE 10 (8)  
"OR HAS SO FAR DEPARTED FROM THE ACCEPTED AND UNUSUAL COURSE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS, OR SANCTIONED SUCH A DEPARTURE BY A LOWER COURT, AS TO CALL FOR AN EXERCISE OF THIS COURT'S SUPERVISORY POWER."

### OTHER OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

"IT REQUIRES A CONCRETE SHOWING THAT A PERSON ACTED WITH INTENT TO OBTAIN AN IMPROPER ADVANTAGE FOR HIMSELF THAT IS INCONSISTENT WITH OFFICIAL DUTY AND THE RIGHTS OF OTHERS" BY ROBERT MUELLER, MAY OF 2019

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☒ reported at NINTH Circuit Court of Appeals, or, 18-30181

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Nov. 19, 2018.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Nov. 19, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☒ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.  
SEE CLERK'S LETTER OF AUGUST 26, 2019

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

42 U.S.C. § 1983

42 U.S.C. §§ 1331(1) AND 1393

14<sup>th</sup> AMENDMENT of the CONSTITUTION



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (CONT.)

CATERBONE DID NOT NEED PERMISSION TO CALL FROM THE BLOCK PHONES AND PRISON OFFICIALS KNEW THE PHONES DISCONNECT UNLESS A LIVE PERSON ANSWERS AND ACCEPTS THE CALL. CATERBONE'S SUSPICION GROWS GREATER OF ANOTHER VIOLATION OF DOE PROCESS AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE OF HIS 9<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT CASE.

ON AUGUST 26<sup>TH</sup>, 2019 COORSEZOL VALENZUELA MAKES THE CALL HIMSELF WITHOUT CATERBONE PRESENT AND REPORTS TO CATERBONE THAT THE CASE IS NOT ON THE DOCKET — WHICH IS NOT EVEN CLOSE TO THE RIGHT QUESTION.

FINALLY ON AUGUST 30<sup>TH</sup>, 2019 CATERBONE RECEIVES SEVERAL COPIES OF LETTERS FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT CLERK CLARA BOUGHTRELING WHICH STATES:

"RE: CATERBONE V. UNITED STATES (USCA9 CASE NO. 30181)  
DEAR MR. CATERBONE: PLEASE FIND ENCLOSED YOUR DOCUMENTS AND ORIGINAL LETTER OF RETURN FROM THIS OFFICE WHICH WERE POSTED ON JULY 30, 2019 AND SUBSEQUENTLY RETURNED TO SENDER. ADDITIONALLY, PLEASE NOTE THAT YOU MAY PROMPTLY SUBMIT AN UNTIMELY PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN A CRIMINAL CASE, WHICH WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE COURT WITH A NOTATION OF UNTIMELINESS. A SAMPLE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND A COPY OF THE RULES OF THIS COURT ARE ENCLOSED."

THE UNITED STATES COURT HAS JUST DOCUMENTED LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON OFFICIALS COMMITTING MAIL FRAUD — "RETURN TO SENDER".

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

STAN J. CATERBONE, HEREIN NAMED CATERBONE, IS A FORMER FEDERAL WHISTLEBLOWER FROM 1987. INTERNATIONAL SIGNAL & CONTROL, PLC WAS A LARGE DEFENSE CONTRACTOR AND INTERNATIONAL ARMS DEALER INDICTED IN 1991 FOR A \$1 BILLION DOLLAR FRAUD WHILE CONDUCTING BLACK OPS PROGRAMS FOR BOTH THE CIA, OR CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY AND THE NSA, OR NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY. CATERBONE, IN HIS PREVIOUS CASES BEFORE THIS COURT, THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, AND IN APPENDIXES, HAS PROVIDED EVIDENCE AND DOCUMENTATION OF HIS VICTIMIZATION FROM NOT ONE, BUT TWO, ILLEGAL AND COVERT U.S. GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS:

1. U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL WEAPONS AND TECHNOLOGIES
2. THE COUNTERPRO PROGRAM OF THE 1960'S

CATERBONE IS REQUESTING THIS COURT TO SUPPORT HIS CALLING ON CONGRESSIONAL LEADERS FOR A BIPARTISAN COMMITTEE, SIMILAR IN SCOPE TO THE CHURCH COMMITTEE OF THE 1970'S - THAT WILL CONDUCT ANOTHER ROUND OF INVESTIGATIONS AND HEARINGS AIMED AT PUTTING AN END TO THESE AND OTHER ABUSES AND ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES OF BOTH THE MILITARY/INTELLIGENCE AND THE LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNITIES. THIS CASE MAY WELL BE ONE OF THOSE SUCH ABUSES IN THAT DEFENDANT THANH COUG PHAN MAY WELL BE A VICTIM OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL, AS HE STATES ON THE RECORD MANY TIMES TO LAW ENFORCEMENT.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (CONT.)

ARE THEY U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL VICTIMS  
AS EVIDENCE SUGGESTS?

### 5 SHOOTERS — 93 DEATHS

1. NIKOLAS CRUZ - FEBRUARY 14, 2018 - 17 DEAD
2. AARON ALEXIS - SEPTEMBER 16, 2013 - 13 DEAD & ALEXIS
3. ESTABAN SANTIAGO-ROIZ - JANUARY 6, 2017 - 6 DEAD
4. MYRON MAY - MAY 31, 2014 - 1 DEAD & MAY 3 WOUNDED
5. STEVEN PADDOCK - OCTOBER 1, 2017 - 47 DEAD & PADDOCK

ON MARCH 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2018 THANH CONG PHAN OF EVERETT, WASHINGTON, MAILED ELEVEN PACKAGES TO THE SECRET SERVICE, DANFORTH NAVAL BASE AND FBI HEADQUARTERS - ALL IN WASHINGTON, D.C. - WHICH CONTAINED EXPLOSIVES IN GLASS VIALS AND A FUSE. THE DEVICES DID NOT DETONATE. PHAN SENT LETTERS TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OFTEN CALLED 911 REGARDING "INCOHERENT RAMBLINGS" AND GOVERNMENT CONSPIRACY THEORIES. PHAN WHO WAS IN THE UNITED STATES MILITARY CLAIMED THAT CYBER TERRORISTS WERE ABLE TO READ HIS MIND, HE SAID "KEEP IN MIND I CAN TALK BUT I CAN'T HEAR VERY WELL BECAUSE NEURO-SCIENCE TERROR CONTROL MY HEARING, IT'S CALLED SYNTHETIC TELEPATHY. CONTROL MY BODY WIRELESS." PHAN'S LETTERS SAY TERRORISTS USE HIS PHOTO ID AND BROKE INTO HIS EMAIL ACCOUNT AND SENT FAKE INFORMATION TO AUTHORITIES. PHAN WAS NEVER ARRESTED OR SERVED MENTAL HEALTH WARRANTS.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (CONT.)

IN JULY OF 2018 CATHERBONE FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF FOR THE DEFENDANT THAH CONG PHAN IN SUPPORT OF THE FOLLOWING:

1. VICTIMS OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES OPERATED OPERATIVES, AGENCIES, AND OR IN DIRECT PARTNERSHIP WITH UNITED STATES MILITARY, LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OR INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES OR INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATIVE ARE ENSURED THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND THAH CONG PHAN IS GRANTED THE RIGHT TO PRESENT AN ALTERNATIVE PLAUSIBLE AND REASONABLE DEFENSE THEORY TO THE COURTS.
2. VICTIMS ARE AFFORDED THE PROPER ADMINISTRATION OF LAW IN ACCORDANCE WITH THEIR ACTIONS, AND SUCH ACTIONS ARE DEEMED PROXY TO THEIR HANDLERS, OR CONTROLLERS, AND THEY ARE GRANTED THE PROPER IMMUNITY DEFENSES DURING CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS BY UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS, STATE ATTORNEYS, AND OR LOCAL MAGISTRATES.
3. ANY AND ALL DIAGNOSIS OF MENTAL HEALTH ILLNESSES ARE FIRST DEEMED TO BE SYMPTOMATIC OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL FIRST, AND TREATMENTS ARE TO ENSURE THAT VICTIMS ARE PREPARED FOR A SAFE LIFE AND ASSURED THEY ARE NOT A THREAT TO SOCIETY.
4. LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND LOCAL POLICE ARE TO BE ADVISED OF SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES AND ARE PROHIBITED FROM TARGETING AND/OR USING SURVEILLANCE OF THE VICTIMS.
5. HEARING VOICES AND SUCH RELATED SYMPTOMS OF SYNTHETIC TELEPATHY OR VOICE-TO-SKULL; ARE NOT USED FOR MENTAL HEALTH WARRANTS AND OR HOSPITALIZATIONS ALONE.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (CONT.)

6. In the 1990's then President Bill Clinton made public statements and apologies for military/intelligence programs using American citizens as non-consensual experimentees. Such public disclosures, at some point-in-time, should be addressed by the current administration.
7. The Sirhan Sirhan Case. In August of 2017 pro se Appellant Stan J. Carter-Bowes filed an Amicus Brief in the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights for William F. Pepper, Ed.D., J.D. who filed a petition to open up an investigation claiming Amnour Omer Thamus that Sirhan Sirhan, a Palestinian, was not the real killer in the assassination of Robert F. Kennedy and claimed that Sirhan Sirhan was a victim of the CIA mind control program MKULTRA.
8. On May 26, 2018 Fox News reported and published an article by Katherine Lam that "Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. revealed he doesn't believe Sirhan Sirhan, the man convicted of killing his father Bobby Kennedy in 1968, had carried out the assassination and believes a second shooter was involved. In an interview with the Washington Post published Saturday, Kennedy said he met with Sirhan for three hours after spending months reviewing autopsy reports, police reports and interviewing witnesses who was there when his father was killed.

The above (8) eight statements provide the foundation for demonstrating that both the United States District Court and the Ninth Circuit had made manifest errors in their rulings.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Cont.)

On August 6, 2018 the Honorable John C. Coughenour of the United States District Court for the State of Washington wrote the following Order:

"This matter comes before the Court on Stanley J. Carterbowe's Motion for Reconsideration (Dkt. No. 34). Mr. Carterbowe moves the Court to ~~consider~~ reconsider its previous order denying him leave to file an Amicus Curia Brief. (Dkt. No. 33)

"Motions for Reconsideration are disfavored." W.D. Washington Local Civ. R. 7(c)(i), "The Court will ordinarily deny such a motion in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Id. Mr. Carterbowe has not met this standard. His Motion for Reconsideration (Dkt. No. 34) is denied."

Carterbowe's litigation in the 9th Circuit was even more troubling when his brief to show cause on the order of October 12, 2018 was purposefully and maliciously diverted after being timely mailed. The October 12th Order stated:

"A review of the record suggests that this Court may lack jurisdiction over the appeal because the District Court's order denying Mount-Appellant Stanley J. Carterbowe's Motion for leave to file an Amicus Brief is not appealable as a final judgment or an order that comes within the

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (CONT.)

COLLATERAL ORDER DOCTRINE. SEE U.S.C. §1291. MIDLAND ASPHALT CORP. V. UNITED STATES, 489 U.S. 794 (1989) (STATING THAT FINALITY REQUIREMENT GENERALLY "PROHIBITS APPELLATE REVIEW UNTIL AFTER CONVICTION AND IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE). WITHIN 21 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS ORDER, MOVANT-APPELLANT STANLEY J. CATERBONE SHALL MOVE FOR VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF THE APPEAL OR SHOW CAUSE WHY IT SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION. SEE 9TH Cir. R. 27-9.1"

ON NOVEMBER 19<sup>TH</sup>, 2018 THE NINTH CIRCUIT ISSUED AN ORDER TO DISMISS THE APPEAL DUE TO CATERBONE'S FAILURE TO RESPOND TO THE OCTOBER 12, 2018 SHOW CAUSE ORDER — EVEN THOUGH CATERBONE CONTENDS HE DID RESPOND. ON NOVEMBER 30<sup>TH</sup>, 2018 CATERBONE AGAIN SENDS THE SHOW CAUSE BRIEF DATED OCTOBER 12<sup>TH</sup>, 2018 AND A MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

ON DECEMBER 27<sup>TH</sup>, 2018 9TH CIRCUIT JUDGES LEAVY, BYBEE, AND HORWITZ RULED "APPELLANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE NOVEMBER 19, 2018 CLERK ORDER AND RESPONSE TO THE OCTOBER 12, 2018 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE ARE CONSTRUED TOGETHER AS A MOTION TO RESTATE THE APPEAL. SO CONSTRUED THE MOTION TO RESTATE THE APPEAL (DOCKET ENTRY NOS. [7] [8]) IS DENIED. ALL ~~OTHER~~ OTHER PENDING MOTIONS ARE DENIED AS MOOT."

CATERBONE NEXT ATTEMPTS TO PREPARE A PETITION CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT — HOWEVER THE COMPUTER HACKERS ARE INTERFERING AND MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE TO COMPLETE.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (CONT.)

As Customary CATERBONE KEEPS COMPLAINING TO THE FBI ABOUT THE COMPUTER HACKING, INTRUSIONS AND VANDALISM TO HIS HOME AND PROPERTY, AND THE HARASSMENT AND STALKING CAMPAIGN - COMPLAINTS TO LOCAL POLICE AND OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES GO WITHOUT RESPONSE - TYPICAL IN THE COMPUTER PRO OPERATIONS.

On JANUARY 8<sup>th</sup>, 2019 THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ~~RECEIVES~~ RECEIVES CATERBONE'S INCOMPLETED PETITION WITH NOTATION THAT COMPUTER HACKERS ARE RESENTLESS IN NOT ALLOWING CATERBONE TO COMPLETE THE PETITION.

On JANUARY 16<sup>th</sup>, 2019 CATERBONE IS ARRESTED ON HIS SECOND RAMIREZ STALKING CHARGE - ANOTHER COMPLETE FABRICATION - AND TAKEN INTO THE LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON FOR A PROBATION VIOLATION - ANOTHER FALSE IMPRISONMENT, ALL TYPICAL OF COMPUTER PRO OPERATIONS.

On JANUARY 28<sup>th</sup>, 2019 M. BLACKLOCK SENDS A DEFICIENCY LETTER WITH INSTRUCTIONS TO CURE ALL OUTSTANDING ISSUES WITHIN 60 DAYS - TO CATERBONE'S HOME ADDRESS AT 1250 FREMONT STREET, LANCASTER, PA 17603 - HOWEVER CATERBONE IS STILL AT LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON.

On JULY 18<sup>th</sup>, 2019 CATERBONE IS GRANTED THE COURTESY OF USING THE PRISON COUNSELOR'S PHONE AND CALLS MELISSA BLACKLOCK, A CASE ANALYST FOR THE U.S. SUPREME COURT AND INQUIRES ABOUT THE 9<sup>th</sup> CIRCUIT CASE NO. 30181-18 FOR THE FIRST TIME.



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (CONT.)

On July 22nd, 2019 CATERBONE RECEIVED A COPY OF THE JANUARY 28th, 2019 DEFICIENCY LETTER REGARDING 9th CIRCUIT CASE NO. 18-30181 AND IMMEDIATELY PREPARED A MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE PETITION OR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ON THE GROUNDS THAT HE WAS ILLEGALLY IMPRISONED ON JANUARY 16th, 2019 AND ONLY NOW RECEIVED THE LETTER. IN MAY OF 2019 CATERBONE HAD A SIMILAR CASE AND WAS GRANTED A (60) SIXTY DAY EXTENSION BY JUSTICE ALITO — THAT CASE IS NOW DOCKETED AS 19-5228 IN RE CATERBONE V. LANCASTER CITY POLICE, et al., THE CASE WAS DOCKETED IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ON JULY 18th, 2019 AND IS AWAITING CONFERENCE.

By AUGUST 14th, 2019 CATERBONE HAD NOT RECEIVED ANY RESPONSE FROM THE U.S. SUPREME COURT FOR HIS APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME — AND NOW SUSPECTED THERE MIGHT BE ANOTHER CASE OF MAIL FRAUD AT LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON. CATERBONE HAD SIMILAR PROBLEMS AND FILED A COMPLAINT WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL INSPECTORS AND LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON OFFICIALS. COMPOUNDING THE PROBLEM WAS THE FACT LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON CLOSED THE ENTIRE BLOCK 2-1 DOWN AND CATERBONE WAS MOVED TO BLOCK 2-2 WHERE HE WAS BACK TO COUNSELOR ALEXANDRA MULLER — WHO WAS CENTRAL TO HIS PENDING FEDERAL CIVIL COMPLAINT FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS — NOT PROVIDING PHONE OR COPY SERVICE FOR HIS (15) FIFTEEN PENDING COURT CASES. THE PRIOR DAY AUGUST 13th COUNSELOR MULLER WOULD ONLY LET CATERBONE CALL FROM BLOCK PHONES.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Cont.)

On August 31st, 2019 CARTERBONE MAILED A LETTER TO THE CLERK OF THE 9TH CIRCUIT IN SEATTLE, WASHINGTON REQUESTING A COPY OF THE DOCKET REPORT AND BRIEFS FOR CASE No. 18-30181.

On September 25th, 2019 CARTERBONE RECEIVED ALL OF THE MATERIALS FROM THE 9TH CIRCUIT — THE DAY CARTERBONE'S NOW COUNSELOR, GRETCHEN, BROUGHT HER PHONE FOR CARTERBONE TO CALL THE 9TH CIRCUIT AND NO RESPONSE FOR ALMOST A MONTH.

SPECIAL COUNSEL ROBERT MUELLER DEFINED OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AS THE FOLLOWING:

"IT REQUIRES A CONCRETE SHOWING THAT A PERSON ACTED WITH INTENT TO OBTAIN AN IMPROPER ADVANTAGE FOR HIMSELF THAT IS INCONSISTENT WITH OFFICIAL DUTY AND THE RIGHTS OF OTHERS."

## 5 SHOOTERS AND 93 DEATHS

THANH CONG PHAN, THE DEFENDANT WHOM I HAD FILED THE AMICUS BRIEF, DESERVES AN INVESTIGATION INTO HIS CLAIMS THAT HE IS A VICTIM OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL WITH THE RIGHT TO PRESENT AN ALTERNATIVE DEFENSE TO THE JUDGE AND/OR JURY. U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR'S DISMISSAL OF MY AMICUS BRIEF MAY BE AN OVERT AND COMPLICIT ACT IN COVERING UP THESE ILLEGAL AND COVERT PROGRAMS. HIS DECISION SHOULD BE OVERTURNED OR REVERSED AS WITH THE 9TH CIRCUIT.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PETITIONER STAN L. CATERBONE IDENTIFIED, THROUGH RESEARCH, AND FROM EXPERIENCE, 5 MASS SHOOTERS AND 93 TOTAL DEATHS AND COUNTLESS OTHERS WOUNDED. THESE 5 MASS SHOOTERS, LIKE THAM CONG PHAN, MAY BE VICTIMS OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES - AS MOST OF THEM CLAIM. THE FACT THAT MASS SHOOTINGS ARE OCCURRING AT AN EVEN GREATER FREQUENCY YEAR AFTER YEAR IS A GREAT CAUSE FOR CONCERN, AND EVERY ASPECT SHOULD BE PROPERLY INVESTIGATED - NOT COOBERED UP - AS COURTS AND THE MEDIA HAS BEEN DOING. PETITIONER CATERBONE HAS BEEN LITIGATING THESE MATTERS IN VARIOUS STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES SINCE 2005.

IT IS NO SECRET AS TO THE POWER AND INFLUENCE OF THE MILITARY AND INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITIES. BILLIONS OF DOLLARS HAVE BEEN INVESTED IN THESE ILLEGAL AND COVERT MIND CONTROL PROGRAMS SINCE AT LEAST THE 1950'S.

COUNTLESS UNWITTING NON-CONSENSUAL PERSONS HAVE BEEN USED IN THESE PROGRAMS AND EXPERIMENTS. IT TAKES A CERTAIN LEVEL OF WILLFULNESS AND CORRUPTION FOR JUDGES AND THE COURTS TO CONTINUE TO IGNORE FACTS AND EVIDENCE IN THESE MATTERS - OPENING UP PANDORA'S BOX WILL BE PAINFUL - HOWEVER PEOPLE ARE BEING MURDERED AT ALARMING RATES - PROVING ME WRONG WOULD BE A BETTER APPROACH THAN IGNORING AND SWEEPING ASIDE THESE MATTERS.

## Reason For Granting the Petition (Cont.)

SINCE 1987 THE (2) TWO ILLEGAL AND COURT PROGRAMS - U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL AND COINTELPRO HAVE YIELDED THE FOLLOWING RESULTS TO THE LIFE OF PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE:

J. CATERBONE:

1. A 32 YEAR OLD FABRICATED CRIMINAL RECORD THAT CONTAINS OVER SOME (40) FORTY ARRESTS - OR ARRESTS AND OVER 30 DISMISSED CHARGES, INCLUDING (6) SIX FELONIES.
2. A 32 YEAR OLD FABRICATED MENTAL HEALTH RECORD CONTAINING SOME (8) EIGHT DIFFERENT MENTAL HEALTH WARRANTS AND AS MANY HOSPITALIZATIONS IN PSYCHIATRIC UNITS AND/OR HOSPITALS.
3. AT LEAST (3) THREE SUCCESSFUL AND THRIVING PROFESSIONAL CAREERS SABOTAGED AND EXTORTED AT THE HEIGHT OF THOSE SAME SAID CAREERS.
4. THE SOCIAL ISOLATION AND ALIENATION TO ALL FRIENDS, FAMILY, AND SCHOOLMATES AND COLLEAGUES.
5. THE EXTORTION OF AT LEAST (2) TWO BUSINESS MODELS WHILE THEY WERE BEING DEVELOPED AND BEING PREPARED BY A REPUTABLE PATENT FIRM IN PHILADELPHIA, PA IN 1987.
6. THE EXTORTION OF (4) FOUR HOMES AND PARCELS OF REAL ESTATE.
7. THE POSSIBLE WRONGFUL DEATHS OF (2) TWO SIBLINGS AND (1) ONE PARENT.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION (CONT.)

8. AN ACCUMULATED TERM OF OVER (1) ONE YEAR OF FALSE IMPRISONMENT IN THE LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON.
9. THE EXTORTION OF HIS FATHER'S BUSINESS STAN CATERBONE CLEANING, INC AND REAL ESTATE PARCEL AT 1470 MANHATTAN PIKE, LANCASTER, PA IN 1973 VALUED AT THE VERY LEAST TO BE WORTH \$500,000.00
10. ON JANUARY 16<sup>th</sup> 2019 STAN J. CATERBONE WALKED INTO THE LANCASTER COUNTY PRISON - ON MAY 2<sup>ND</sup>, 2019 HE WAS PROVIDED A CAGE - ON SEPTEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2019 HE WAS PROVIDED A WHEELCHAIR FOR USE IN THE DAYROOM DURING BLOCK-OUT PERIODS DURING THE DAY.
11. UP UNTIL 2005, STAN J. CATERBONE COULD STILL PLAY BASKETBALL, AFTER THE START OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC WEAPON ATTACKS - HIS MOBILITY HAS BEEN IN A CONSTANT STATE OF DEGRADATION.
12. SINCE 1987 STAN J. CATERBONE HAS SUFFERED \$TENS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS OF FINANCIAL LOSSES AND UNPAID INSURANCE CLAIMS TO VANDALISM AND THEFTS TO HIS HOME AND AUTOMOBILES.
13. ASIDE FROM BEING AWARDED SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY BENEFITS IN 2009 FOR SYMPTOMS AND ILLNESSES RESULTING FROM U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL WEAPONS AND TECHNOLOGIES - THE ANTI-TRUST CLAIMS AND COMPENSATORY PUNITIVE DAMAGES FOR THE PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL TORTURE EXCEED SOME (\$500,000) FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS.
14. PRO SE BILLINGS FOR LEGAL FEES AMOUNT TO SOME \$1,499,000.00

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION (CONT.)

On April 23, 1979 the SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS RULED 2-1 IN A LANDMARK DECISION IN THE COMTELPRO CASE IN FAVOR OF THE FRED HAMPTON FAMILY V. THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, OR FBI. FRED HAMPTON WAS THE LEADER OF THE BLACK PANTHERS GROUP AND WAS MURDERED WHEN THE FBI TEAMED TOGETHER WITH LOCAL POLICE IN THE J. EDGAR HOOVER COMTELPRO OPERATION TO SUBVERT AND ELIMINATE THE DISSIDENT BLACK PANTHERS. THE LANDMARK DECISION SURVIVED A UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CHALLENGE. THE COMTELPRO PROGRAM WAS EXPOSED WHEN THE FBI OFFICE IN NEARBY MEDIA PENNSYLVANIA WAS BROKEN INTO AND A TROVE OF DOCUMENTS DISCOVERED THAT OUTLINED THE INNERWORKINGS OF THE ILLEGAL AND COVERT PROGRAM.

On April 20, 1976 the SUPER-SECRET NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, OR NSA, HEADQUARTERED IN FT. MEADE, MARYLAND - WAS GRANTED A PATENT - APPLIED FOR BY ONE OF ITS CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGISTS, DR. ROBERT C. GOWN, PhD FOR "APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR REMOTE MONITORING AND ALTERING BRAIN WAVES". DR. GOWN WAS INDICTED FOR HUMAN AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS PERTAINING TO MIND CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES.

In 1987 STAN J. CATERBONE, A SHAREHOLDER OF LANCASTER BASED INTERNATIONAL SIGNAL & CONTROL, DURING A MEETING WITH EXECUTIVE LAWRENCE RESCH OF ISC, DISCUSSING THE FINANCING OF OPERATIONS BY HIMSELF AND HIS COMPANY FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT GROUP, LTD.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION (CONT.)

MADE CLAIMS OF FRAUD AND BLEW THE WHISTLE. STAN J. CARLSON MADE FRAUD CLAIMS TO STATE AND FEDERAL AUTHORITIES - AND WAS IMMEDIATELY DISINFRANCHISED, ARRESTED, IMPRISONED, HOSPITALIZED, ETC - APPARENTLY THE BEGINNINGS OF BEING TARGETED BY A COMTELPRO OPERATION - WHILE ISC COMPLETED THE MESS WITH FERRANTI OF GREAT BRITAIN. THEN SOME (4) FOUR YEARS LATER JAMES GURIN, FOUNDER OF ISC WAS INDICTED FOR DEFRAUDING FERRANTI IN A \$ BILLION DOLLAR FRAUD AND THE ILLEGAL TRAFFIC OF ARMS TO SOUTH AFRICA AND IRAQ. HOWEVER IT CAME TO BE KNOWN THAT ISC HAD QUESTIONABLE DEALINGS WITH BOTH CIA AND THE NSA - BLACK BUDGET PROGRAMS.

THE LEGAL NEXUS TO INTERNATIONAL SIGNAL & CONTROL, OR ISC, THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, CIA, THE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, NSA - TO THE MILITARY/INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY - TO LANCASTER, PENNSYLVANIA - AND FINALLY TO U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL - COMES THROUGH ISC BOARD OF DIRECTOR, REAR ADMIRAL BOBBY RAY INMAN AND S.A.I.C. CORPORATION. INMAN SERVED AS DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF CIA - DIRECTOR OF NAVAL INTELLIGENCE, DIRECTOR OF THE NSA, AND DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY. S.A.I.C. IS KNOWN FOR DEVELOPING TECHNOLOGIES IN THE FIELD OF MIND CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES. AS FOR ISC - I SUSPECT SOME COMPONENTS AND CHIPS MIGHT HAVE BEEN MANUFACTURED WITHOUT THE END PRODUCT EVER KNOWN BY ANYONE.

## Reasons For Granting The Petition (Cont.)

In Conclusion we have 5 shooters that have made contact with law enforcement many times prior to the mass shootings that they executed - making claims of being subjected to some sort of mind control - most hearing voices - and most military trained - some as shooters. And families have buried 93 relatives. And you would be hard-pressed to find any arrests or mental health hospitalizations prior to the mass shooting.

Then you have me with some (8) eight fabricated mental health warrants and hospitalizations and some (40) forty fabricated charges and arrests and (4) four false imprisonments since 1987 to date.


What is wrong with this picture - I ask all of you?



### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. A. Alt", is written over a horizontal line.

Date: SEPTEMBER 29, 2019