

19-6011

No. _____


ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

John Robert Demos, Jr., — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF Columbia,
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE D.C. CIRCUIT, — RESPONDENT(S)



ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE D.C. CIRCUIT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

John Robert Demos, Jr.,

(Your Name) WASHINGTON STATE PENITENTIARY
1313 NORTH 13TH STREET

(Address) WAHA WAHA, WASHINGTON.
99362

(City, State, Zip Code)

No Telephone
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. DOES THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HAVE "ORIGINAL JURISDICTION" IN CASES INVOLVING Aliens, FOREIGNERS, NATIONALS, & SUBJECTS?
OR, IN CASES INVOLVING PROTECTED PERSONS? 31 U.S. 515, 30 U.S. 1,

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>RULING OF THE D.C. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR D.C.</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>RULING/DECISION OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT,</i>

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

252 U.S. 60,
 83 U.S. 36,
 182 U.S. 1,
 490 U.S. 30,
 342 U.S. 308,
 794 F.2d 806,
 741 F.2d 12,
 912 F.547P. 458,
 293 U.S. 84,
 29 U.S. 366,
 30 U.S. 1,
 31 U.S. 515,
 7 CRANCH 116,
 364 U.S. 573,
 48 U.S. 1,
 175 U.S. 677,

STATUTES AND RULES

62 STAT. 964,
 18 USC 951,
 75 STAT. 655,
 8 USC A 1537(e)(1),
 28 USC 1603(b),
 63 STAT. 105,
 28 USC 1602-1607,
 22 USC 254j(3),
 THE 1981 BRITISH NATIONALITY ACT,
 THE VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS + PROTOCOLS,
 28 USC 1251-1256,
 28 USC 1613A(A),

OTHER

391 U.S. 404,
 31 U.S. 515,
 342 U.S. 580,
 345 U.S. 571,
 15 U.S. 277,
 30 U.S. 1,
 166 U.S. 1,
 462 U.S. 611,
 285 U.S. 1,
 192 U.S. 573,
 302 U.S. 1,

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[☒] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[☒] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[☒] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was AUGUST 29TH, 2019

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

ARTICLE III-SEC. 2-C1.2,

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A: THE BRITISH OWN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
THE REAL NAME FOR CALIFORNIA IS NEW ALBION.
ON 6-17-1579, SIR FRANCIS DRAKE CLAIMED THE AREA NOW KNOWN AS
CALIFORNIA IN THE NAME OF HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN OF ENGLAND.
BY RIGHT OF DISCOVERY, AND WITH THE CONSENT OF THE NATIVES WHO OCCUPIED
THE REGION, SIR FRANCIS DRAKE PLANTED THE UNION JACK FLAG, AND
POSTED A DECLARATION OF CLAIM.
TO THIS VERY DAY SIR FRANCIS DRAKE'S CLAIM HAS NOT BEEN CHALLENGED, AND
GREAT BRITAIN HAS NEVER CEDAED THE TERRITORY OF CALIFORNIA TO THE
UNITED STATES.

[REFER TO, "THAT'S NOT IN MY ^{AMERICAN} HISTORY BOOK" BY THOMAS AYRES]

B: THE HEADQUARTERS OF THE "SOCIETY OF T.R.U.T.H." OF WHICH JOHN
DEMOS IS THE FOUNDER & CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD IS LOCATED IN
CALIFORNIA.

C. DEMOS ASSERTS "DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY" DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE
SO-CALLED STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS A BRITISH POSSESSION, AND
NOT A U.S. POSSESSION, AND DEMOS IS A "SUBJECT" OF CALIFORNIA.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. THE "ORIGINAL JURISDICTION" OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IS
BEING SUMMONED.
2. A QUESTION OF DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY IS BEFORE THE COURT.

1. BIBLICAL DOCTRINES ARE LAW, 5 WALL. (U.S.) 462, 168 U.S. 532, 40 U.S. 518,
THE LAW OF THE CREATOR, 83 U.S. 130, 315 U.S. 203,

IN THE GREAT COMMISSION IN THE BIBLE, (REFER TO MATTHEW 10:5, MARK 6: 7-13,
LUKE 9:1-6, LUKE 10:1) JESUS COMMANDED HIS APOSTLES/DISCIPLES TO "GO YE INTO ALL
THE WORLD". THUS THEIR DOMICILE/SITUS WAS INTERNATIONAL.

PETITIONER JOHN DEMOS IS A APOSTLE/DISCIPLE/FOLLOWER OF JESUS CHRIST.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John Robert Demos

Date: 9-10-2019