


ORIGINAL



No. 19-6010

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

John Robert Demos, Jr., — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF BOSTON, MASS.,
1ST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE 1ST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

John Robert Demos, Jr.,

(Your Name) WASHINGTON STATE PENITENTIARY
1313 NORTH 13TH STREET

(Address) WANA WANA, WASHINGTON.
99362

(City, State, Zip Code)

NO-TELEPHONE
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. DOES THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAVE "ORIGINAL JURISDICTION" IN CASES/APPEALS/WRITS/PETITIONS INVOLVING PROTECTED PERSONS, ALIENS, FOREIGN NATIONALS, SUBJECTS OF A FOREIGN NATION STATE OR EMPIRE? 31 U.S. 515, 30 U.S. 1,
2. CAN ONE be A STATE CITIZEN AND NOT A U.S. CITIZEN? 83 U.S. 36,
3. IS A CITIZEN, RESIDENT, SUBJECT, INHABITANT ONE AND THE SAME? 252 U.S. 60,

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - *Decision of the 1st Circuit Court of Appeals,*

APPENDIX B - *Decision of the U.S. District Court,*

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

169 U.S. 649,
757 F.2d 1315,
182 U.S. 1,
111 F.Supp. 593,
353 U.S. 427,
342 U.S. 308,
436 U.S. 584,
490 U.S. 30,
174 F.2d 496,
9 WAll. 788,
995 F.Supp. 14,
314 U.S. 441,
304 U.S. 27,
143 U.S. 621,
1265 Ct. 2669,

STATUTES AND RULES

28 USC 1602-1607,
28 USC 1251-1256,
28 USC 1346,
28 USC 1345,
28 USC 1613A(A),
62 STAT. 964,
63 STAT. 105,
80 STAT. 811,
119 STAT. 347,
120 STAT. 2635,
122 STAT. 323,
90 STAT. 1997,
16 STAT. 3,
118 STAT. 2260,

OTHER

192 U.S. 573,
353 U.S. 427,
166 U.S. 1,
285 U.S. 1,
3 WHeAT 246,
91 U.S. 503,
462 U.S. 611,
13 COX C.C. 403,

* 48 U.S. 1,
* 175 U.S. 677,

118 F.3d 76,
410 U.S. 113,
* 252 U.S. 69,
* 83 U.S. 36,
364 U.S. 573,
8 WAll. 85,
756 F.2d 91,
40 F.3d 1139,
369 U.S. 186,
64 F.3d 1531,
7 CRANCH 116,
230 F.Supp. 906,
432 U.S. 249,
30 U.S. 1,
31 U.S. 515,
150 F.3d 477,

28 USC 1, 2109,

THE 1961, 1963 VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS AND
PROTOCOLS,

THE 1981 BRITISH NATIONALITY ACT,

22 USC 2542(3),

THE PASSPORT ACT OF 1926,

28 USC 1603(b),

8 USC A 1537(c)(1),

104 STAT. 4978,

75 STAT. 655,

18 USC 951,

PAGE NUMBER

352 U.S. 521,
267 U.S. 42,
118 S.Ct. 1969,
482 U.S. 641,
376 U.S. 75,
506 U.S. 40,
* 794 F.2d 806,
* 741 F.2d 12,
378 U.S. 500,
* 912 F.Supp. 458,
285 U.S. 1,
* 293 U.S. 84,
240 U.S. 251,
* 29 U.S. 366,
99 L.Ed.2d 333,

* 391 U.S. 404,
* 31 U.S. 515,
* 30 U.S. 1,
* 342 U.S. 580,
* 345 U.S. 571,
* 15 U.S. 277,
302 U.S. 1,

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 6-17-2019.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

ARTICLE III - SEC. 2 - CL. 2, '

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A: Demos is A STATE CITIZEN FORMERLY OF VERMONT.

THE STATE OF VERMONT'S NORTHERN BOUNDARIES SIT PARTIALLY IN THE SOVEREIGN NATION OF CANADA, PETITIONER DEMOS RESIDED IN THE NORTHERN PART OF VERMONT.

DUE TO THE BOUNDARIES OF THE STATE OF VERMONT ONE CAN BE BOTH A CANADIAN CITIZEN, AND A STATE CITIZEN OF VERMONT, OR EVEN A U.S. CITIZEN, SIMULTANEOUSLY.

B: DUE TO THE FACT THAT PORTIONS/SECTIONS OF VERMONT SIT IN CANADA, PETITIONER ASSERTS THAT HE IS A PROTECTORATE OF CANADA, AND CANADA IS A PROTECTORATE OF GREAT BRITAIN.

C: AS A PROTECTED PERSON OF A TERRITORY/OF ENGLAND (CANADA IS BRITISH TERRITORY) DEMOS ASSERTS "DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY".

D: DEMOS ATTENDED THE MASONIC LODGES WHEN RESIDING IN THE STATE OF VERMONT.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION


1. An Issue/question of "Diplomatic Immunity" & International Law is before the High Court.

A CASE & CONTROVERSY is before the COURT.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

_____

Date: 9-10-2019