

19-6009
No. _____

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

SEP 13 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

John Robert Demos — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF WYOMING, VS.
THE 10TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE 10TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

John Robert Demos

(Your Name) WASHINGTON STATE PENITENTIARY
1313 NORTH 13TH STREET

(Address)
WAIAWAIA, WASHINGTON, 99362

(City, State, Zip Code)

No Telephone

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Does the U.S. Supreme Court have "Original Jurisdiction" in cases appertaining to

Aliens,

Foreigners,

Nationals,

Subjects of a Foreign Country,

Protected Persons,

2. Is Demos a British citizen, or a U.S. citizen? As Demos Resided on the side of the San Juan Islands that's claimed by England and her Protectorate Canada.

3. Does the U.S. Supreme Court have "Original Jurisdiction" over cases and controversies involving states, nations, international diplomacy, and diplomatic immunity?

4. Is the doctrine of "Jus Sanguinis", & the doctrine of "Jus Sanguinis" applicable in this matter? 169 U.S. 649, 55 U.S. 900.

5. Is the Provisional Convention between the U.S. & England Deemed an International Document? 187 U.S. 181, And is it self-executing? 111 U.S. 612.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *THE Decision OF THE 10TH CIRCUIT*

APPENDIX B *THE Decision OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT*

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

83 U.S. 36,
48 U.S. 1,
182 U.S. 1,
31 U.S. 515,
741 F.2d 12,
490 U.S. 30,
29 U.S. 366,
7 CRANCH 116,
30 U.S. 1,
192 U.S. 573,
15 U.S. 277,

STATUTES AND RULES

62 Stat. 964,
18 USC 951,
28 USC 1251-1256,
22 USC 2542(3),
28 USC 1603(b),
THE 1961 & 1963 VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS,
THE 1981 BRITISH NATIONALITY ACT,
28 USC 1613A(A),

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 6-19-2019.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

ART. III - SEC. 2 - CL. 2 OF THE U. S. CONSTITUTION,

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A: THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS RIGHT OFF THE WASHINGTON COAST SIT IN CANADA.
A PORTION OF THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS SIT IN CANADA.

B: CANADIAN JURISDICTION, AND U.S. JURISDICTION ARE (2) SEPARATE & DISTINCT JURISDICTIONS.

C: PETITIONER DEMOS HAS "PROPERTY" ON THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS.

D: PETITIONER DEMOS GOES FISHING ON THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS.

E: PETITIONER'S HOME AWAY FROM HOME IS ON THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS.

F: THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS ARE A "BRITISH PROTECTORATE", WHICH WOULD ENTITLE PETITIONER DEMOS TO "DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY" AS PETITIONER DEMOS IS AN "INHABITANT" OF THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS.

G: THE U.S. DOES NOT HAVE TO CONFER JURISDICTION BEFORE ONE CAN ASSERT "DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY", 794 F.2d 806, 741 F.2d 12, 756 F.2d 91, 174 F.2d 496.

H: THE INSTANT PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IS GOVERNED, CONTROLLED, AND REGULATED BY "THE LAW OF NATIONS" AND INTERNATIONAL LAW.

I: DEMOS INVOKES THE LAW OF RETURN, THE LAW OF THE SITUS, AND THE LAW OF THE DOMICILE, AS WELL AS THE LAW OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS.

J: WHILE LIVING ON THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS, DEMOS RESIDED ON THE SIDE THAT WAS CLAIMED BY CANADA AS THEIR POSSESSION, CANADA IS A PROCTOR, AND A PROTECTORATE OF GREAT BRITAIN.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. A CASE & CONTROVERSY IS BEFORE THE COURT,
2. AN ISSUE OF PARADIME IMPORTANCE IS BEFORE THE COURT,

REFER TO, 1 W.N.TERR. 409,
1 W.N.TERR. 288,

* THE BOUNDARY LINE BETWEEN THE U.S. & THE BRITISH POSSESSIONS
PASSES ALONG ROSARIO STRAIT AND EAST OF THE ISLAND OF SAN JUAN,
SINCE 1859 THE ISLAND OF SAN JUAN HAS BEEN HELD BY BOTH BRITAIN &
THE U.S., IN JOINT MILITARY OCCUPATION.

THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS OPERATE OFF OF A "PROVISIONAL CONVENTION"
BETWEEN THE U.S. & BRITAIN, OR MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING.
BOTH THE U.S. & BRITAIN "SHARE CONTROL" OF THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS.

* 91 U.S. 580,
BOTH THE U.S. SUPREME COURT & THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF WASHINGTON CONCEDE THAT THE SAN JUAN ISLANDS
ARE SUBJECT TO DUAL OR SPLIT JURISDICTION.

CAN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT RESOLVE THIS CASE AND
CONTROVERSY?

THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HAS "ORIGINAL JURISDICTION" IN ALL CASES APPERTAINING TO MATTERS OF "DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY".

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John R. Demos

Date: 9-10-2019