

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

NATASHA DELIMA
Petitioner

V

YOUTUBE ET AL
Respondents

No. 19-5999

REPLY BRIEF

On Appeal from the First Circuit
Court of Appeals

Docket # 18-1728, 1666, 1804 & 1831, 1947

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARGUMENT	1
CONCLUSION	4

Presidential Executive Order

Executive Order on Promoting the Rule of Law through Transparency and Fairness in Civil Administrative Enforcement and Adjudication


https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-promoting-rule-law-transparency-fairness-civil-administrative-enforcement-adjudication/?utm_source=twitter

Case Law

Hosseinzadeh v. Klein et al (1:16-cv-03081), New York Southern District Court,
Filed: 04/26/2016

Only 2 parties submitted a waiver, one was a non-party that never had a right to file pleadings, the other was a non-lawyer that never had a right to file pleadings, and everyone else failed to respond.

One party, filed opposition, attorney for Patreon (motion to Strike that Opposition is attached) and his answer was not received in time by October 19, 2019, it arrived on October 22, 2019, and his answer in appeals court also never was sent to the then Appellant under court rules, it also does not even address the particular claims that were brought against Patreon in the original case, which is theft of earnings into the millions. He is not allowed to address the issues of the defaulted Respondents, or their issues.



therefore his entire answers is late, invalid, and does not apply to the case against Patreon.

Virtual property is indeed our property, while housed on web sites, and the leading case on Virtual property rights was indeed ignored by the court, and all of the 7 attorney's since it did not their narrative and overbilling scam.

NY case **Hosseinzadeh v. Klein et al** (1:16-cv-03081), New York Southern District Court, Filed: 04/26/2016 is the ruling case on virtual property laws, and does indeed assert that property, although virtual is indeed the property of the owner of that property, even while housed on a website. While web site owners are trying to steal that property, the rights of the owners, alter and change their terms, law suits are being filed across the nation (and world) for the abuses of the owner's rights.

The reason that there are old and new executive orders by the President platforms are disregarding virtual property rights, 1st amendment, and even ownership of what is posted. The sites are hacking, tampering, and stealing the revenue of those earnings from advertisers and other means of earnings. Patreon is an embezzler, and this should shock and concern everyone, as they are doing it in a massive scale. They were caught by the Petitioner, and others, and they owe her money. They also destroyed her right to build her own earnings, as at one point she had over 1200 Patrons, and they were only paying her for 100 of those donations, and embezzling all of the rest. It's her right to be paid her own earnings, and her right to be able to post on her account inside that web site, but they were going in and deleting all of the comments that people were writing to her, so she did not see how many people were subscribed to her, and that they were stealing that money.

The case at bar is of national interest, (as well as international) since the internet is used by a majority of Americans. The web sites and their CEO's are blatantly and with malice targeting the virtual property owners, and demeaning that property.

The owners are ignoring conservative rights, and seeking to target them, ban their usage, and shut down lawful accounts. It's gotten to a point of madness, and even though a case by Congressman Devin Nunes is going through for these abuses, no one is protecting Americans every day usage of the internet. Posting that threaten to kill the United States President are allowed to be posted on Twitter, but postings asking that the law work, and people go to jail, are being locked out of Twitter from an hour to a week or permanently. Twitter did try to rig the 2016 election, but now it's at a whole new level of abuse and by now, they target all users that support our President. Shadow banning is done, deleting of notifications, and during this case, the Petitioner has been locked out of her accounts, and continued to be harassed by Twitter. @Loveeveryone88 is still locked and they seek to delete her followers every day, and prevent her account from every 'growing'. It's unlawful and ridiculous.

Google is also hiding all search results, and for the Petitioner, they have prevented her videos, and her blog posts from being published on the Google browser for over 2 years,

they also have frozen her YouTube accounts, and delete subscribers every day, and delete thousands of views every day, and a they embezzled all of her earnings for 6 years. Google now, will not monetize, based on absolute lies and false changes in terms, which amounts to discrimination and their illicit efforts to prevent virtual property owners from their own earnings. All advertisers will actually advertise, this is a Google lie, in order to not let people earn a living on Google, "if they don't like you". It's not about "hate speech" but speech they hate.

Google has dismantled the Petitioner's Blog, and even though she owns the domain, they disconnected it, at one point they used it to bully her, and even now, she still owns the domain, and she paid for it, and they won't reconnect it.

YouTube is even worse now example, when Jeffrey Epstein was arrested, they "flagged" the Plaintiff's monetization, even though that was in the news, on Fox, ABC, CNN, and Yahoo news, and all of those ads played, but for the Plaintiff, they "flagged" this video. They also have a new trick, where they let a video run, collect all of the ad revenue, and then flag the video, stealing all of that money, and holding and running ads in the meantime while not giving it to the virtual property rights owner.

The question is why is anyone letting these sites get away with this?

The Plaintiff has been black listed off Go Fund Me, and this cannot happen, they are also being allowed to shut down legitimate fund raisers and prevent people from collecting willful donations.

Patreon is still embezzling in huge numbers and need to pay the Petitioner. The court unlawfully dismissed this case, and prevented the discovery that allowed the Petitioner to see how much they were actually embezzling and they cannot do that. These internet crimes are massive, and question again, is why anyone is allowing for this to happen. At one point the Petitioner had at least 1200 subscribers, and they were only showing 100, there is no way to know who is even subscribed to the Petitioner now, since they don't notify her at all.

Facebook owes the Plaintiff for selling her private data and spying on her, and they have been caught and fined, but have not compensated individuals, and they have to. They also destroyed her account, and would not leave her alone, for posting her own support of the current USA President.

This case is to set the virtual rights of each individual on to their own property, and to hold the web sites legally bound for trying to make up rules that justify discrimination, harassment, bullying and targeting opposition to their own political views.

CONCLUSION

If we do not fix the internet now, we lose free speech, we lose people's right to make a living on the internet, which they are making and earning, just not being paid.

None of the 28 pounds of nonsensical pleadings in this matter were even legal argument, as the court can see, those that defaulted but filed pleadings in lower courts, cannot even legally file to the Supreme Court. There was no legal argument that could have sustained against the Petitioner's allegations, since they are all true, and go against the Constitution.

The Respondent's enriched themselves without any right to have done so, and the Petitioner needs to be compensated for what they have done to her and still are.

This writ needs to be granted, and heard, since the lower court's bias and ignorance of virtual property rights was ignored, and the defaults of the parties was not given a lawful default ruling, none of the pleadings contained therein could have ever been considered, and Patreon, who filed a late and untimely answer on appeal was never given a default ruling, and the later filed answer here would not be allowed after defaulting on appeal, and furthermore, they failed to address the statues in the case they were sued for, which was unpaid earnings, account hacking, theft of her content, illicit deletion of comments people were writing to her, in order to hide her subscription level and how many people's money they did not give the then Plaintiff, now petitioner.

The court needs to approve this petition, to save the internet, the rights of each person that is being ripped off, and to prevent courts from unlawfully dismissing valid claims from bias, and whatever else is involved in their wallets and decisions that rule against the Constitution. The courts have shredded the Constitution, the rule of law in this country, and it has to be restored. The Petitioner has fought hard, believing in the rule of law, only to encounter one lawless judge after another, as have many people. The court's job is set straight the civil rules, enforce the defaulted Respondents to pay since they defaulted and no ruling was ever made, and for the torture they have put the Petitioner through since 2012. They must unfreeze her YouTube channels, cease deleting views, cease unsubscribing her following which they do 100 people at a time, they are embezzling her live stream earnings and not giving them to her, they are refusing to monetize her videos and if they do give her any, it's a pittance, and they steal the rest. The advertiser revenue is not a weapon, but it is being used as such, and it's all a lie. The Petitioner seeks her earnings, and the damages to her name, and the harm Google has done to her name and likeness through libelous postings, and their refusal to even allow her content to post at all on their browser.

Google is the most dangerous weapon on social media other than Facebook, and they both have had consequences since the implementation of the Petitioner's initial case. All cases have to be heard against these giants, in order to save social media. Wherefore this petition needs to be approved.

Certificate of Service to Supreme Court, and Steven Soule on October 25, 2019
The other parties are not party to this petition.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop followed by a horizontal line extending to the right.