

No. 19-5981

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

SEP 10 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

William James Truesdale — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

State of Florida; Prosecutors; VS.
Defense Attorneys; Secretary - FDOE;
FDOE Prison Official; et al; — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The United States Court of Appeals
For The Eleventh Circuit

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

WILLIAM JAMES TRUESDALE DC # 129643
(Your Name)

Okeechobee Correctional Institution
(Address)

3420 N.E. 168th Street, Okeechobee, FL 34972
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Whether the United States District Court Middle District of Florida Tampa Division deliberately chance Petitioner Twerdole's February 26, 2019 "PETITION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE" and "PETITION OF OBJECTIONS" U.S. District Judge ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERES Case 1:18-cv-22286-JEM Document 4-1 Docket: 02/07/2019 into another Complaint for Violation of Civil Rights Case No: 8:19-mc-23-T-35AAS / Case 8:19-mc-00023-MSS-AAS Document 5 Filed 05/09/19 Page 1 of 69 Page ID 146, 147. Then Transfer it to the United States District Court Middle District of Florida Fort Myers Division Case No. Unknown Correspondence Date June 4, 2019 Appendix H.H. to barred Twerdole under the "Three strike Rules", by continuing to give Petitioner Twerdole new civil rights case numbers then transferring it to another jurisdiction.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

All parties do appear in the body of the Appendices in the LIST OF PARTIES separate PROVIDED TO CHARLOTTE E.F. ON APR 11-2016 FOR MAILING WJT Filed April 11, 2016 "missing"

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

Appeal No. 16-11010-2

See Appendix —

Case style: William Tyndale v. State of Florida, et al
District Court Docket No. 8:13-cv-03029-REH-MAP

And some of the same parties and other also appear in the body of the Appendices on the second LIST OF PARTIES separate PROVIDED TO OKTUBER E.F. ON 6-5-18 FOR MAILING WJT,

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Appeal No. 18-14778-D

See Appendix —

Case style: William Tyndale v. State of Florida, et al
District Court Docket No. 1:18-cv-22286-JEM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2, 3
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	4, 5
CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA	6-9
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	10
BACKGROUND	10, 11
REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX AA	APPENDIX EE
APPENDIX BB	APPENDIX JJ
APPENDIX CC	APPENDIX KK
APPENDIX DD	APPENDIX LL
APPENDIX EE	APPENDIX MM
APPENDIX FF	APPENDIX NN
APPENDIX GG	APPENDIX OO
APPENDIX HH	APPENDIX PP

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix AA United States Court of Appeals - Eleventh Circuit
June 11, 2019 Appeal Number: 19-11871-A
Case Style: William Truesdale v. State of Florida
District Court Docket No. 8:19-me-00023-MSS-AAS
Clerk's Entry of Dismissal Mandate
2 Pages

Appendix BB United States Court of Appeals - Eleventh Circuit
May 18, 2019 Appeal Number: 19-11871-A
Case Style: William Truesdale v. State of Florida
District Court Docket No. 8:19-me-00023-MSS-AAS
ATTACHED
Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate
Disclosure Statement (CCP) ~~Electronic~~ Cover
3 Pages

Appendix CC United States Court of Appeals - Eleventh Circuit
June 3, 2019 Appeal Number: 19-11871-A
Case Style: William Truesdale v. State of Florida
District Court Docket No. 8:19-me-00023-MSS-AAS
"NOTICE" Certificate of Interested Person and
Corporate Disclosure Statement (CCP) "Form"
1 Page

Appendix DD United States Court of Appeals - Eleventh Circuit
July 02, 2019 Appeal Number: 19-11871-A
Case Style: William Truesdale v. State of Florida
District Court Docket No. 8:19-me-00023-MSS-AAS
RETURNED UNFILED: Motion for extension of time
and Clarification; Petition for withdraw a mandate
1 Page

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix EE Petitioner Truesdale June 24, 2019
Appeal No. 19-11871-A Dkt. No. 8:19-mc-00023-MSS-AAS

Re: PETITION FOR WITHDRAW A MANDATE.-
Extra Title Pages Courts (STAMPS)
6 Pages

Appendix FF Petitioner Truesdale June 14, 2019
Appeal No. 19-11871-A Dkt. No. 8:19-mc-00023-MSS-AAS

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME & CLARIFICATION
Extra Title Pages Courts (STAMPS)
6 Pages

Appendix GG United States District Court Middle District of
Florida Tampa Division Date: May 14, 2019
Case 8:19-mc-00023-MSS-AAS Document 5 Filed
05/09/19 Page 1 of 69 Page ID 146-147 Case No.
8:19-mc-23-T-35AAS & mailing envelope cover
3 Pages

Appendix HH United States District Court Middle District of
Florida Fort Myers Division June 4, 2019
Correspondence pertinent in part; The
enclosed pleading was forwarded to the Fort
Myers Division from (USDC) Tampa Division
1 Page

Appendix II United States District Court (Tampa Division)
Case 8:19-cv-01147-EAK-SPF Document 3 Filed
05/15/19 Page 1 of 2 Page ID 20-21 with Letter
To Kevin Sunsoi, mailing Envelope

4 Pages

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix JJ Petitioner Tuesday February 26, 2019
S. Ct. case No. _____ 11th Civ. Appeal No.
18-14478-A (USDC) ART. No. 1:18-cv-22286-JEM

"PETITION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE" and
"PETITION OF OBJECTIONS" U.S. District
Judge ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE
TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERES Case: 1:18-
cv-22286-JEM Document #: 21 Docket: 02/07/2019
12 Pages

ATTACHED EXHIBITS

Appendix JJ-1 United States District Court Southern District
of Florida Miami Division Case: 1:18-cv-22286-
JEM Document #: 21 Entered on FLSD Docket:
02/07/2019 Page 1 of 1 Case No. 18-22286-CIV-
MARTINEZ-WHITE ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERES
1 Page

Appendix JJ-2 United States District Court Southern District of
Florida Miami Division Case: 1:18-cv-22286-JEM
Document #: 9 Entered on FLSD Docket: 07/13/2018
ORDER PERMITTING PLAINTIFF TO PROCEED
WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FILING FEE BUT
ESTABLISHING DEBT Page 1 of 3 - Page 3 of 3
Case No. 18-22286-CIV-MARTINEZ
MAGISTRATE JUDGE P. A. WHITE

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix JJ-3 FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

TRUST FUND ACCOUNT STATEMENT

From: 07/01/2018 - To: 04/30/2019

Lien Date: 07/13/18 Type of Lien Federal

Prison Litigation Amount of Lien \$ 350,00 Amount

Still Owed \$ 000,00 Reference No. 1822286

10 Pages

Appendix JJ-4 United States District Court Southern District

of Florida Miami Division Case: 1:18-cv-22286-

JEM Document #14 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/

21/2018 Page 1 of 1 ORDER OF DEFECTION TO LEAK

Case No. 18-22286-civ-MARTINEZ MARESTRATE

Judge P. A. WHITE

1 Page

Appendix KK S. Ct. No. 18-8462 / 11th Cir. App. No. 18-14478-D1

145023 NO. 1:18-cv-22286-JEM / 145023 NO. 8:18-

cv-1472-T-33JSS Filed May 29, 2019

Petitioner Truesdale "Objections" to three documents received: a) USDC Tampa Division Case 8:19-mc-00023-MSS-ASS Document 5 Filed 05/09/19 Page 1 of 69 Page ID 146-147 Case No. 8:19-mc-23-T-35AAS receipt May 17, 2019; b) U.S. Ct. of App. Appeal No. 19-11871-A receipt May 20, 2019; and c) USDC Tampa Division Case 8:19-cv-01147-EAK-SPF Document 3 Filed 05/09/19 Page 1 of 2 Page ID 20-21 receipt May 20, 2019, that these United States Courts "Subject-Matter Jurisdiction" has been invoked to the Supreme Court of the United States No. 18-8462. -

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix LL United States District Court Middle District
of Florida Tampa Division Case 8:13-cv-
02482-JSM-TGW Document 2 Filed 09/27/13
Page 3 of 3 Page ID 193 Case No. 8:13-cv-
2482-T-GW NOTICE OF PENALTY OF
OTHER ACTIONS

1 Page

Appendix MM United States District Court Middle District
of Florida Fort Myers Division NOTICE
Case 2:18-cv-00465-UA-EM Document 6 Filed
07/03/2018 Page 1 of 1 Page ID 27

1 Page

Appendix NN United States District Court Middle District
of Florida Fort Myers Division ORDER OF
DESMISSAL Case 2:18-cv-00465-JES-EM
Document 12 Filed 10/26/2018 Page 1 of 4
Page ID 360- Page 363 Case No. 2:18-cv-
465-FM-29EM

4 Pages

Appendix OO United States District Court Middle District
of Florida Fort Myers Division JUDGMENT
IN A CIVIL CASE Case 2:18-cv-00465-
JES-EM Document 13 Filed 10/26/18 Page
1 of 2 Page ID 364, 365

2 Pages

Appendix PP United States Court of Appeals- Eleventh Circuit
May 20, 2014 MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES
Appeal No. 14-11088-FF (USDC) Pkt. No. 1:13-cv-
23633-MGE with Attached Inmate Request

2 Pages

Viii

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from Federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix AA to the petition

The Petitioner Truesdale June 24, 2019 (Appeal) Title page pertinent in part:

Re: PETITION FOR WITHDRAW A MANDATE

Pursuant to ("MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND CLARIFICATION") Filed June 14, 2019 with U.S. Court of Appeals - Eleventh Circuit correspondence Date June 03, 2019 and the (USDC) Middle District of Florida Fort Myers Division correspondence Date June 4, 2019. Appellant Truesdale ("PETITION FOR WITHDRAW A MANDATE") File June 11, 2019 received of Okeechobee C.F. on June 18, 2019 copies of all three (3) correspondence enclosed.

JURISDICTION

[] For case from Federal Courts

The date on which the United State Court of Appeals decided my case was June 11, 2019

Appeal Number: 19-11871-A

Case Style: William Truesdale v. State of Florida

District Court Docket No: 8:19-mc-00023-MSS-AAS

The enclose copy of the Clerk's Entry of Dismissal For Failure to prosecute in the above referenced appeal is issued as the mandate of this court. see 11th Cir. R. 41-4.

Appendix GG pertinent in relevant part:

Case No. 8:19-mc-23-T-3SAAS

Enclosed are documents and information relating to an appeal in the above-referenced action. Please acknowledge receipt on the reenclosed copy of this letter.

- Appeal Filing Fee was not paid. Upon Filing a appeal, the appellant must pay the district clerk all required fees. The district clerk receives the appellate docket fee on behalf of the court of appeals. If you are filing in forma pauperis,

a request for leave to appeal in forma pauperis needs to be filed with the district court.

- Certified copy of Notice of Appeal, docket entries, judgment and/or Order appealed from. Opinion was not entered orally.
- No hearing form which a transcript could be made.

Appendix JJ pertinent in relevant part:

(USDC) Southern District of Florida Miami Division,
(USDC) Middle District of Florida Tampa Division

S. Ct. Case No. _____

11th Cir. Appeal No. 18-14478-D

(USDC) Miami Division Case No. 1:18-cv-22286-JEM

"PETITION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE" and "PETITION OF OBJECTIONS" U.S. District Judge ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS Case: 1:18-cv-22286-JEM Document #: 21 Docket: 02/07/2019. -

The Supreme Court of the United States jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2257(a), 28 U.S.C. § 1651(c)(6)(4) and [Section 42 USCS § 1983] Civil Rights Act. See S. Ct. No. 18-8462 Appendix A Filed 3/8/19 PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS and Appendix _____ Filed 2/11/19 INTERLOCUTORY PETITION FOR REHEARING TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides, in pertinent part: " . . . nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws "

The Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides, in pertinent part: " guaranteeing in criminal cases the right to a speedy and public trial by jury, the right to be informed of the nature of the accusation, the right to confront witnesses, the right to counsel, and the right to compulsory process for obtaining favorable witnesses.

The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides, in pertinent part: " No person shall . . . be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law "

The Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides, in pertinent part: prohibiting unreasonable searches and seizures and the issuance of warrants without probable cause "

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil action for deprivation of rights provides, in pertinent part: "... Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, subject, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other within the jurisdiction thereof, to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and law, suit in equity, or other proper proceedings for redress..."

CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA 2005

DECLARATION OF RIGHTS

SECTION 2. Basic rights. - All natural persons, female and male alike, are equal before the law and have inalienable rights, among which are the right to enjoy and defend life and liberty, to pursue happiness, to be rewarded for industry, and to acquire, possess and protect property; except that the ownership, inheritance, disposition and possession of real property by aliens ineligible for citizenship may be regulated or prohibited by law. No person shall be deprived of any right because of race, religion, national origin, or physical disability

CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA 2005

SECTION 9. Due process. - No person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law, or be twice put in jeopardy for the same offense, or be compelled in any criminal matter to be a witness against oneself.

SECTION 12. Search and Seizures. - The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures, and against the unreasonable interception of private communications by any means, shall not be violated. No warrant shall be issued except upon probable cause, supported by affidavit, particularly describing the place or places to be searched, the person or persons, thing or things to be seized, the communication to be intercepted, and nature of evidence to be obtained. The right shall be construed in conformity with the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution, as interpreted by the United States Supreme Court. Articles or information

CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA 2005

obtained in violation of this right shall not be admissible in evidence if such articles or information would be inadmissible under decisions of the United States Supreme Court construing the 4th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

SECTION 13. Habeas Corpus. - The writ of habeas corpus shall be grantable of right, freely and without cost. It shall be returnable without delay, and shall never be suspended unless, in case of rebellion or invasion, suspension is essential to the public safety.

SECTION 16. Right of accused and of victim. -

(a) In all criminal prosecutions the accused shall upon demand, be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation, and be furnished a copy of the charges, and shall have the right to have compulsory process for witnesses, to confront at trial adverse witnesses, to be heard in person, by counsel or both,

CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA 2005

and to have a speedy and public trial by impartial jury in the county where the crime was committed. If the county is not known, the indictment or information may charge venue in two or more counties conjunctively and proof that the crime was committed in the area shall be sufficient; but before pleading the accused may elect in which of those counties the trial will be place. Venue for prosecution of crimes committed beyond the boundaries of the state shall be fixed by law.

(b) Victims of crime or their lawful representatives, including the next of kin of homicide victims, are entitled to the right to be informed, to be present, and to be heard when relevant, at all crucial stages of criminal proceedings, to the extent that these rights do not interfere with the constitutional right of the accused.

SECTION 21. Access to courts. - The courts shall be open to every person for redress of any injury, and justice shall be administered without sales, denial or delay.

CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA 2005

SECTION 22. Trial by jury. — The right of trial by jury shall be secure to all and remain inviolate. The qualifications and the number of jurors, not fewer than six, shall be fixed by law.

SECTION 24. Access to public records and meetings. (a) Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner seek review of the decision of the United States Court of Appeals For the Eleventh Circuit Appeal Number: 19-11871-A Case Style: William Truesdale v. State of Florida, et al District Court Docket No. 8:19-mc-00023-MSS-AAS Eleventh Circuit Clerk's Entry of Dismissal for Failure to prosecute in the above referenced appeal is issued as mandate of this court, Appendix AA Date June 11, 2019 also seek review of the decision of the United States District Court Middle District of Florida Tampa Division Docket Case No. 8:19-mc-23-T-35 AAS Appendix GG Case 8:19-mc-00023-MSS-AAS Document 5 Filed 05/09/19 Page 1 of 69 Page FA 146, 147. Denying Petitioner William James Truesdale "not" William Jones Truesdale his constitutional Rights mentioned on Pages 4 and 5 and Constitution of the State of Florida mentioned on Pages 6, 7, 8, and 9, to Due Process Clause of Equal Protection Clause of law.

BACKGROUND

Pursuant to COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (Prisoner Complaint) (AE#1 June 5, 2018) Demand For A Jury Trial in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 38 (a) (3).

Petitioner Truesdale February 26, 2019 to the (USDC's) Southern District of Florida Miami Division and Middle District of Florida Tampa Division filed the following:

"PETITION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE" and "PETITION OF OBJECTION" U.S. District Judge ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS Case 1:18-cv-22286-JEM Document #121 Docket: 02/07/2019.

This petition mentioned above, shows petitioner Truesdole, did not initiated a "new appeal", but did initiated a "PETITION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE", back to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division whom has ".... Original Subject-Matter Jurisdiction...." over the Trial Court, In The Sixth Judicial Circuit, In And For Pinellas County, State of Florida, Case No. 2005-25009 REANO and the Second District Court of Appeal, Lakeland, Florida Appeal No. 2007-4430. It also has "original jurisdiction" in case number USDC Docket No. 8113-CV-3029-T-23MAP that's control by the United States Court of Appeal - Eleventh Circuit Appeal No. 16-1187 PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS filed by ROCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS / R. MICHAEL HURSEY, P.A. (Law Firm), pursuant to petitioner Truesdole case No 18-8462 "PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS" and "INTERLOCUTORY PETITION FOR REHEARING TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

Petitioner Truesdole, did not request a new appeal, but only for the court to forwarded my case back to it ".... Original Jurisdiction...."

has made mention of them in a Report to be filed by the undersigned. These filings are thus attached hereto. The Clerk is hereby directed to make the attached documents a part of the record. It is thereupon.

Page 9 of 12 on Petitioner Truesdale petition states in relevant part:

This "PETITION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE" with a "PETITION OF OBJECTIONS" to transfer Petitioner Truesdale case back to my "original jurisdiction" over my criminal conviction Sixth Judicial Circuit - Court - Pinellas County, State of Florida Case No. 2005-25009 AFANO - United States District Court, Middle District of Florida (Tampa Division).

That the United States District Court, Southern District of Florida (Miami Division) United States District Judges or Magistrate Judges "... Jose E. Martinez, Petrizek A. White, and others..." with the clerks of court are *** [Prejudicial Bias] *** toward ... (Inmates)... Filing Complaint for Violation of Civil Rights [Section 4242es § 1983] Civil Rights claims against the "... State of Florida, Judges, Prosecutors, Defense Attorneys and Florida Department of Corrections Prison Officials"...

Petitioner Truesdale Appendix LL USDC Tampa
Division Case 8:13-cv-02482-JSM-TGW Document 2 Filed
09/27/13 Page 3 of 3 Page ID 193 Case No. 8:13-cv-
2482-T-JSGTW NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS
shows the "Original Subject-Matter Jurisdiction" where
the United States District Court Middle District of
Florida Tampa Division, had first opportunity to
acknowledge Petitioner Truesdale sue, waiver, it
logged in Petitioner Truesdale Appellant Exhibit E
filed to the Supreme Court of the United States in
Appendix A 3-8-19 PROOF OF SERVICE and PETITION
FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI & REGISTER OF ACTIONS
Case No. 0525009 LEAND Page 1 of 15 - Page 15 of 15
on Page 2 of 15 04/18/2013 DEFENDANT'S NOTICE
OF INTENT TO SUE WAIVER OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY;
NOTICE OF INTENT TO PURSUANT CRIMINAL CHARGES
OR INDICTMENTS and 10/07/2013 MISCELLANEOUS
TEXT INJUNCTION-RE: NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE,
WAIVER SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY; NOTICE OF INTENT TO
PURSUANT CRIMINAL CHARGES

But the United States District Court Middle of
Florida Tampa Division instead of initiating my "...
NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE, WAIVER OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY.
..." they turn it into a "NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF
OTHER ACTIONS" so they could have turn it into a
& "Application for Leave to File A Second or
Successive Habeas Corpus Petition 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)")

See Appendix P.P. United States Court of Appeals -
Eleventh Circuit MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES
Appeal Number: 14-11088-FF
Case Style: William Truesdale v. State of Florida, et al
District Court Docket No: 1:18-LV-23633-MGC

This is the Court order, where Hamilton Correctional Institution Property Sergeant Mrs. T.L. Curry and CO Mr. Budda, refuse to give Truesdale his Legal Boxes to stated my claims on or before June 9, 2014. They also refuse to give Truesdale access to his Legal Boxes to file a PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, to this Honorable Supreme Court of the United States, but the United States District Court Middle District of Florida Tampa Division again denied the opportunity to "Accepted Subject-Matter Jurisdiction" to around the United States District Court Southern District of Florida Miami Division to "accepted jurisdiction".

Appendix MM, Appendix NN and Appendix OO shows again the United States District Court Middle District of Florida Tampa Division, Transferring Petitioner Truesdale complaint for violation of civil rights to Fort Myers Division to continue to give Truesdale "New Case Numbers", to REBARRED my case under the Three (3) strike Rule's, this is an ongoing situation, with these United States District Courts of Florida.

See this Petitioner Truesdale case laws cite in the "original" PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS No. 18-8462 PROVIDED TO OKLAHOMA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION ON 3-8-19 FOR MAILING ISSUE I, II, III, IV and V of Question(s) Presented. See also Petitioner Question(s) Presented in the INTERLOCUTORY PETITION FOR REHEARING TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE PROVIDED TO OKLAHOMA C.J. ON 7-1-19 FOR MAILING, in order to review this PETITION on its "Legal Merit" and case laws cite with the Act of Congress under Rehearing.

REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This Honorable Court should keep in mind, that Petitioner Truesdale a BLACK-MALE African American citizen was tried with (no physical evidence) by a jury drawn and impanel of seven (WHITE-FEMALES) of a second degree murder of a (BLACK-FEMALE) with a ".... Batson Objection,...." in the Records United States Supreme Court No. 16-1187 (BRIEFS) prepared by CORRIE LEGAL BRIEFS for R. Michael Hursey, P.A. (Law Firm), never place before a panel of Nine Justice but DENIED by the Clerk of the Supreme Court over the United States Court of Appeal - Eleventh Circuit.

It should also keep in mind, the decision of prior United States Supreme Court Justices concerning racial bias in the administration of justice and continue to set a pass forwarded "Honoring" ...

Former Justice Thurgood Marshall, who had been the nation's pre-eminent civil right attorney, was part of the Batson case majority, but he said the only way to end discrimination in jury selection was to eliminate peremptory strikes.

And consider this court 7-2 decision written by Justice Brett Kavanaugh filed March 20, 2019 (AP) *Flowers v. United States* — U.S. — (2019) court tosses black man's murder conviction over racial bias.

CONCLUSION

This court should also tosses Truesdale murder conviction over racial bias and no physical evidence to convicted.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

William James Truesdale

Date: September 10, 2019

PRO SE

PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

PETITIONER

(Inmate) DE # 0-129643

WILLIAM JAMES TRUESDALE

Okeechobee Correctional Institution

3420 N.E. 168th Street

Okeechobee, Florida 34972-4826