

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

MICHAEL A. DEEM, PETITIONER,

v.

JOHN P. COLANGELO,  
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT,  
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

---

*ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS*

---

**SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF**

---

MICHAEL A. DEEM  
*Pro Se*

*26 Keystone Road  
Yonkers, NY 10710  
914-482-3867*

**REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION**

**V. THE CUSTOM AND PRACTICE OF THE SECOND DEPARTMENT AND NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM OF APPOINTING FORENSIC EVALUATORS TO CONTROL ALL DISCOVERY IN ALL CONTESTED CUSTODY DISPUTES WILL CONTINUE WITHOUT INTERVENTION BY THIS HONORABLE COURT.**

Petitioner argued in Point V of the petition at bar that,

This Honorable Court is the only forum available to fit parents and their children to remove the ilk present in the New York State Unified Court System. The petition at bar must be granted.

Petition for Writ of Certiorari at 19-20.

On October 30, 2019, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals rendered a decision in *Deem v. DiMella-Deem, et al.*, 18-2266 (2d Cir. 2019) (Dkt. 213). The Second Circuit affirmed the district court's *sua sponte* dismissal of Petitioner's complaint against those responsible for effectively and summarily terminating his parental rights on June 13, 2018, based on fabricated allegations that by all accounts any reasonable person would know were in fact fabricated.

*Deem v. DiMella-Deem*, 18-2266 at 15 (internal citations and brackets omitted).

The Second Circuit's decision in *Deem v. DiMella-Deem*<sup>1</sup> underscores Petitioner's reason in Point V for granting the instant petition, “[t]his Honorable Court is the only forum available to fit parents and their children to” vindicate their rights. No other federal court available will hear Petitioner's claims regarding his and his children's commensurate fundamental constitutional rights, and New York State courts do not recognize those rights to be “substantial constitutional [rights],” worthy of redress.

If the petition is not granted the political “party bosses” this Court referenced in *N.Y.S. Bd. of Elections v. Lopez Torres*, 522 U.S. 196, 128 S.Ct. 791, 169 L.Ed.2d 665 (2008), will have succeeded in creating an elaborate scheme designed to separate fit parents, predominantly fathers, from their children and money, under color of law, by deception and trick, through use of mail and wires. See, *Deem v. DiMella-Deem*, 19-1630 (2d Cir. 2019) (Dkt. 96, Letter Reply Brief). And it will be untouchable.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons and good cause shown, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant this petition because substantial constitutional questions *are* in fact involved.

---

<sup>1</sup> A petition for hearing *en banc* was filed on November 7, 2019.

