

NOV. 22. 2019.

NO. 19-5898

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES


Danny Howell III - Petitioner

VS.

Duane Southerland - Respondent

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Danny Howell III
2439 Sandhug Rd.
Rock Island TN. 38581



19-5898

Please allow emphasis of intervening circumstance for case 19-5898. I ask that The United States Supreme Court give consideration to these facts; and thus grant Writ of Certiorari.

1. Rightfully, there can be no negative sanction against me, by the Tennessee courts, for asserting my Fifth Amendment Right. As a Defendant, this Right, for me, is guaranteed and protected. Yet at the same time, as a Pro Se representative of myself — my Defense I put forth must be considered by the Tennessee courts. If it is not, this is further violation of Fifth Amendment Due Process Clause.

Additionally, along with this, My Defense not having been properly considered by the Tennessee courts, while that of my opposition was considered — is violation of Equal Protection, Amendment XIV Sec. 1.

19-5898

2. Although my Defense Papers to Judge Stanley were poorly written, I did put forth that if even one element of Adverse Possession is not met, Adverse Possession must not be granted. I made this clear in my Defense Papers, and I specified many elements of Adverse Possession that had not been met. See [Defense. PHASE II. Case 1371, filed July 16, 2018] These have full force, because even as late as Jan. 1, 2019, the Tennessee appellate court declared the case open, within the trial court phase; and still "subject to revision" by Judge Stanley. See [ORDER. filed Dec. 17, 2018] The Tennessee courts can not ignore my Defense Papers of July 16, 2018, because the case was still open. Furthermore, the trial court was wrong to put forth an ORDER, written by my opposition, in which assertions and claims were made, which my opposition had no definitive conclusive proof of. See [ORDER. Case 1371. July 18, 2018]

19-5898

3. The trial court simply allowing me into the building, yet not allowing full intent [justice] of this public accommodation, [the Court] is violation of Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VII.

Collectively, this is not simply misapplication of Law, but a complete disregard of Written Law, Case Precedent, Federal Doctrine. This represents a gross violation of my Constitutional Rights.

19-5898

Certification of Counsel, Pro Se.

This Petition for Rehearing is presented
in good faith and not for delay.

Danny
Hendrix

DATE NOV. 22. 2019.