

19-5836

No. 18-11879

ORIGINAL

FILED

JUL 10 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DENNIS Johnson — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

LORIE DAVIS — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DENNIS Johnson
(Your Name)

Robertson UNIT 12071 F.M. 3522
(Address)

Abilene, Texas 79601
(City, State, Zip Code)

—
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I'm not looking for new trial, but relief, because of default, and conflicts with Lawyer
case laws

Herrera v. Collins (1993) 506 U.S. 390, 113 S. Ct. 853, 122 L. Ed. 2d 203.

Suhlup v. Delo (1995) 513 U.S. 298, 115 S. Ct. 851, 130 L. Ed. 2d 808.

Sawyer v. Whitley, 505 U.S. 333, 112 S. Ct. 2514, 120, ^{2d} L. Ed. 2d 269.

The Sixth Circuit ultimately affirmed.

Murray v. Carrier, 477 U.S. 478, 495, 106 S. Ct. 2635, 2d 397 new reliable evidence... not presented at trial. id. at 324, 115 S. Ct. 851, 130 L. Ed. 2d, 808.

The habeas court must assess the likely impact of all the evidence^{?"} on reasonable jurors. id. at 327 - 329, 115 S. Ct. 851, 130 L. Ed. 2d 808.

And Jackson v. Virginia, 443 U.S. 307 99 S. Ct. 2781, 61 L. Ed. 2d 560 which governs insufficient evidence claims. 808.

And see House v. State, 911 S.W. 2d 705 (1995) House v. Tennessee, 517 U.S. 1193, 116 S. Ct. 1685, 1341. Ed. 2d 787 (1996).

See Herrera v. Collins 506 U.S. 390, 113 S. Ct. 853, 122 L. Ed. 2d 203 (1993)

Herrera 386 F. 3d. at 708 (opinion of Merritt, J.)

Guilty Plea - effective assistance - waiver of error claim or a error claim for 10 years my lawyer didn't get me with no signature yes or no by lawyer. And is the second part of the Strickland test Sixth Amendment right, and Fourteenth Amendment plea bargains of federal Constitution BNA. The lawyer misinformed Plea offer, my counsel allowed a plea of 10 years offer to expire without been lapsed or been rejected because of counsel's deficient performance

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

LORIE DAVIS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL

JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

18-11079

PAGE NUMBER

2

4/14/2019

STATUTES AND RULES

Slack v. McDANIEL, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)

OTHER

Lept

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- [] reported at P. D. R grant; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- [] reported at 2254. denied; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

- [] reported at Time barred dismissal; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States Court of Appeals court appears at Appendix b to the petition and is

- [] reported at Fifth Circuit; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 4-11-2019.

- No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.
- A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: NIA, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix NIA.
- An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including NIA (date) on NIA (date) in Application No. NIA NIA.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 6-1-16.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix NIA.

- A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: NIA, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix NIA.
- An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including NIA (date) on NIA (date) in Application No. NIA NIA.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- (1) Trevino v. Thaler, 133 SCt 1911, 1921 (2013)
- (2) Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722, 729-730, 111 S.Ct 2546, 115 L.Ed.2d 648
- (3) Trevino's Trial Id. at 321-349; see Wiggins v. Smith, 539 U.S. 510, 523, 123 S.Ct. 2521, 156 L.Ed.2d 471 (2003).
- (4) House v. Bell, 126 S.Ct 2064 (2006)
- (5) Garza v. Idaho, No. 17-1026, 2019 U.S. Letis 1596 (U.S. Feb. 22, 2019)
Under ineffective assistance of counsel plea waiver.
- (6) Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 686, (1984) and Wiggins v. United States, 539 U.S. 510 (2003)
- (7) Roe v. Flores-Ortega, 528 U.S. 470 (2000)
- (8) Waiver App. to Pet for cert 44a 49a, App. to Pet for cert. 3a 29a
- (9) MC. Mann v. Richardson, 397 U.S. 259, 271, n. 14, 90 S.Ct. 1441, 25 L.Ed.2d 763 (1970)
- (10) United States v. Cronic, 466 U.S. 648, 659, 104 S.Ct. 2039, 80 L.Ed.2d 657 (1984),
- (11) U.S.C.S Constitutional Amendment b 28 moore's Federal Practice § 671.07 (Matthew Bender) 3d ed.
- (12) ~~Hamilton v. Hamlin, 407 U.S. 25 (1972) Guilty Plea~~
- (12) Hamilton v. United States, 377 U.S. 201 (1964)
- (13) Aggersinger v. Hamlin, 407 U.S. 25 (1972) Guilty Plea
- (14) Passmore v. Eselle, 607 F.2d 662 (5th Cir. (1979))
- (15) Ex parte Goadall, 632 S.W.2d 751, 750 (Tex Crim. App 1982)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Time barred on April 14, 2015, And Dismissed with prejudice because they Time barred me January 5, 2017 because of a 1 year limitation (d)

And Denied lack of jurisdiction to review a petitioner's condition, And Denied 11.07 of Ineffective assistance of counsel November 7, 2014

my mental health condition was Denied also on incompetency to stand.

ON COA 4/11/19 Denial by court of appeal dismissal due to Time barred and Denial of a Constitutional Right. And District courts' Denial of federal habeas relief is and was based on procedural grounds. But look for COA to be grant of COA under Constitutional. But at this time I fail to make showing so COA has been Denied 4/11/19,

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I was denied by the Trial court, Denied my rights to due process by not allowing original plea for 10 years to be accepted.

my constitutional Right under 14th Amendment was violated when Trial court Denied to entertain the original plea of 10 years. and See Eagle v. Linahan, 229 F.3d 926, 943- 44 (11th Cir. exercising) see, e.g. Owens v. U.S., 483 F.3d 48, 69 (1st Cir. 2007) also see, U.S.C.A Const, Amend. 6 Lattier, *supra*. Also I asked the court for opportunity for review for my case to be reviewed and opportunity for postconviction relief and a chance to take the 10 years that was offer in 9 month of 2013 by Jay Gilbert Caballero and 371 Court, United States v. Cronic, 466 U.S. 648, 104 S.Ct. 2039, 2050 n. 38, 801 F.2d 657 (1984)

And see Neely v. Alabama, 764 F.2d 1173 (CA5 1985)

And on at least five occasions (October 14, 2013, October 28, 2013 October 30, 2013, November 14, 2013 and January 23, 2014, The second court of appeals sent Jay Gilbert Caballero letters regarding his representation of Applicant on appeal. see Attachment A, October 14, 2013 letter, Attachment B! October 28, 2013 letter, Attachment ~~C~~ C! October 30, 2013 letter, Attachment D! November 14, 2013 letter, Attachment E, January 23, 2014 letter to 902 S. Jennings Ave, Fort worth Texas 76104-3223 were his nothing at, at this time.

And more on why my 11.01 was Denied and 22.54 was Denied was also because could never get my Transcripts from my lawyer or for him to send me my Transcript to work on case through Indict. State Bar order m/s. Jay Gilbert Caballero he Denied me my Transcript I had to write state bar competing and letter of conflict between me and Jay Gilbert, mostly v. Cozby, 843 F.2d 659, 660 (5th, 1987) and case cited, Callahan v. Schneider 178 F.3d 800, 804 (6th Cir. 1999)

Baugh v. Taylor, 117 F.3d 202 (5th Cir. 1997)

Rules 10 (b), 11 (a), 30(a) Fed. R. App. P Rules 24 (c), Fed. R. App. P. I could not do a FFD from prison with out my lawyers help. But it's generally filed with the brief Rule 30(a) (3). Fed. R. App. P. Rule (30) (a) (1), Fed. R. App. P. with out Transcripts at that time of 11.01, and 22.54. my grounds got dismissed F.3d 119, 120 (2d Cir. 2000) These things happen because of no help from my lawyer my counsel have failed to investigate more of my back ground mental Health issues that I have NO control of at times.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PENSION
OF medical health (M.H.M.R)
Bacak # 3/2/2013, PNUID: WU, Xiaoyan, MD and Department
of Internal Corrections Facility, and also provider Mian, massoc
which named, and 2/2/2013 13:34PM MRU:0376267, M.H.M.R 0000987467
Records mostly Health from John Peter Smith Hospital, 2/2/2013
Records shows I was hospitalized in my hospitalization
before the county jail, and I can show that my ability to work
see the was from different county jail 2012 in part sumit Hospital
letter 2012 160 N. Parker St for M.H.M.R DR Gott work
I also who earned my right to compensation
to stand when I fact that my ability who not right
had an AY Gilbert my lawyer I complain soon
February 24, 2014 October 23, 2013, September 24, 2015,
February 4, 2013 August 13, 2015, August 26, 2015
by (app) Client - Attorney assistance program.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis John

Date: MBT8-15 2015