

Supreme Court of the United States of America

Petition for review

Appeal from the judgement of the supreme court of California

Khalida Jalaly Ahadzadah

Vs.

The People of California

Case #A 156473

S 254 878

Khalida Jalaly Ahadzadah

2019 Rapallo Way Bay point CA 94565

925-421-4786

Jalaly.khalida5@gmail.com

Issues presented

The main issue is that I was violated by the officer D Patty for the two violation that he only accused me of making a right turn in an intersection,

And U-turn in a business district.

Necessity for review

I Khalida Jalaly Ahadzdah urge you to please review this case,

I did not I swear under oath that I did not make the right turn in an intersection.

I was way behind the intersection and I had one more right lane before that right turn I made one turn to right and then after one minute or two made another right turn the officer was behind me he saw me I was safe and I did gave my signal to make a right turn and this is why when I made the right turn he did not come to stop me.

If I had made a right turn in intersection as he say then why he didn't come to get to me so then I wouldn't made the U-turn, that he accuse me off he did not come to get me because I didn't make the right turn in intersection.

This is why I made and filed a complaint against him and asked his Sargent for the camera that all officers and asked for the cameras or proofs.

Yes I made a u -turn then he followed me and gave me two violations

He said u made a U-turn in an business district.

I included pictures of that street there was no sigh and there was no sign where u can't make a U-turn

I told the officer there is no sigh where this is an intersection and more important of all there is no sign that I can't make a U-turn.

Pictures included in the case.

Conclusion

Review is necessary under the law because I have been treated very bad and I have yelled at I believe in your justice system and the honesty of all officers of the laws of the united states but he was not one of them he was very rude and talking to me with loud voice and treated me not like I did a traffic he treated me like I was a criminal.

I am not a criminal and I did nothing wrong he made up most of the stuff in court based on wrong info.

When he told me I made a right turn I said you were behind me officer why would I do all these things in front of you show me the video of I am not signing.

He said stop arguing or I gave you more violations or I take you to jail.

I am a single mom I never had a ticket for more than 20 years because I follow all laws I respect all laws and regulations and I don't bring my culture or country as an excuse for me to do anything .

But in the meantime I don't let officers like him to lie and use his job and power to do lie against me under oath and abuse the system and treat me like this I know in Afghanistan I could not fight but here I believe in your system and honesty of the judges .

But I don't know why all these court ignored my case they don't consider me as a us citizen but I can't effort a lawyer this don't mean I have no right to proof myself right .

Important note!

I had to leave my sick son overseas to come and appeal this case from court to court because I did not do as he said I did but if I did I asked for proof why the Concord Police Dept. don't gave me the videos. I won't stop until he gave us that proof because I did not do a she said.

Statement of the case and facts

I Khalida J Ahadzadah I am appealing to your court your owner: got two violation from concord police department because I feel I been accused wrongfully and I been treated unfairly, and the officer did all this towards the hate he had against my look and my personality.

He accused me doing a right turn in an intersection, yes I did a right turn in front of him he was behind me but I was two to three cars away from the intersection, plus when I made the right turn because I did not do anything wrong he did not follow me .or came to get me.

Then he accused me of doing a U-turn in a business district I didn't see no sign if that is a business district there was no sign that I can't make a u- turn I called the DMV they told me if there is no sign of no entry or no u turn there should not be any violation then he came behind me gave me two violations. And I included the pictures no sign of no u turn.

This is why I complained to the concord police department and asked for the cameras from his car because he was behind me I needed that proof ,But they did not provide me.

Your owner I am here in your court today because I believe in your justice system and I know I did not do as he said ,and plus is it because I am just a woman and a regular citizen and he is a cop no one believe me I am here and I am not going to stop ,because I have a respect for all officers and laws of USA and follow it and I never had a ticket for almost 20 years, because I follow the laws and I won't let one crocket cop do this to me and take the advantage of the system and manipulate the system and accuse me

Specially at the end when I argued with him and I did not want to sign his paper he threaten me he will gave me more violations and will take me to jail if don't sign, accused me of smoking marijuana , and I don't even smoke sig hate low life marijuana it was a park nearby, but he has no right to talk to me that way with hate and yell I am not a criminal I didn't do nothing I been abused by communist in my country and I know if I see a bad cop he was a bad one I seen lots of great cops but he was not one of them

And in the mean time I been in three court already why no one has a respect for what I had to say I will go to media and to the supreme court of USA if I have to I won't let that bad cop accuse me wrong fully If I did what he say why don't he show the cameras why the concord PD refuse to give me the body cameras all officers has I know why because he will fail this is why, please your owner take a time read my case and asked the PD for that camera you will see I didn't do the right turn and please call the DMV

If there is no sign that you cannot do U-turn there is no sign if there is business district u can't u turn

There is no violation.

Please sir believe me,

He was stopped by the bank as soon as he saw me he followed me his intention was to do something against me because he looked at me and then he followed me and he keep followed me and made me very nervous and in Afghanistan multiple time they killed my family and I hate bad cops. My mom lost a young son because of this kind of cops and she died young and the way this officer Talked to me and yell at me I felt I am back in Afghanistan and I never been treated by any one in America

Like this I lived here 29 years and this was my first time,

Also I came back from overseas and leaving my sick son alone to come and do this appeal which is very important to me because of this I had to come back .

I been stopped by officers here almost 25 years ago and they followed laws and they never yelled at me, and I never complained because they were true officers of the law

I know he hated me and me wearing the scarves and the way I talked I know he hated me,

So your owner I want to show this cop that USA is based on true judicial system he can't do that I told his Sargent why he don't want to show us the proof and he supposed to have his camera on when he gave or do anything to people why his camera was off.


But they had no answer don't know why only god knows.

Thanks so much for your time reading my letter.

God bless you and your family.

Sincerely, 3/25/19

Khalida J Ahadzadah

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Khalida J Ahadzadah', enclosed within a large, hand-drawn oval loop.

SUPREME COURT
FILED

Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three - No. A156473 MAY - 1 2019

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

S254878

Deputy

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

En Banc

KHALIDA JALALY AHADZADAH, Petitioner,

-v.-

SUPERIOR COURT OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, Respondent;

THE PEOPLE, Real Party in Interest.

The petition for review is denied.

CANTIL-SAKAUYE

Chief Justice

COPY

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION THREE

KHALIDA JALALY AHADZADAH,

Petitioner,


v.

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CONTRA
COSTA COUNTY,

Respondent;

THE PEOPLE,

Real Party in Interest.



A156473

(Contra Costa County
Appellate Division No. 5-180876-5)

THE COURT*

On February 15, 2019, petitioner filed "Petition for Writ (Misdemeanor, Infraction, or Limited Civil Case)" from a decision of the Appellate Division of Contra Costa County Superior Court. Transfer to this court is not necessary to secure uniformity of decision or settle an important question of law. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1002.) Nor does the petition present a basis for extraordinary relief by writ. Whether we consider the petition to be a petition for transfer of the cause to this court for appellate review or a petition for an extraordinary writ, it is denied.

Dated: MAR 14 2019

Siggins, P.J.

P.J.

* Before Siggins, P.J., Petrou, J., & Wiseman, J. (Retired Associate Justice of the Fifth District Court of Appeal, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to art. VI, § 6 of the Cal. Const.)

FILED
JAN 30 2019

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA
APPELLATE DIVISION

Appellate Case No. 5-180876-5

Trial Court No. 1-383416-5

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Plaintiff and Respondent,

ON APPEAL
from the
LIMITED JURISDICTION
of the
SUPERIOR COURT
WALNUT CREEK
County of Contra Costa
State of California

vs

KHALIDA JALALY AHADZADAH,
Defendant and Appellant.

Opinion by the Court:

Appellant was convicted of making a right turn from a lane other than the lane closest to the right-hand curb and making an illegal U-turn in a business district in violation of Vehicle Code sections 22100a and 22102, respectively. Appellant appeals, arguing that the officer testified falsely about where he was located when he observed Appellant's driving and that there were no signs stating that the U-turn she took was illegal.

The Appellate Panel cannot retry the case. Its role, and power, is limited to reviewing the record for sufficiency of the evidence and for legal error that caused prejudice to the appellant. In reviewing the record, the Appellate Panel cannot reweigh evidence or make new credibility determinations; it must affirm if there is "substantial evidence" to support the facts found by the Commissioner.


We have listened to the audio recording of Appellant's trial. Officer Perry testified that he was heading eastbound on Willow Pass Road at Grant Street when he saw Appellant's car pull into a left hand turn pocket as she approached the intersection. The light turned red before she got to the intersection. Appellant paused and then turned right, crossing over the number one and two lanes of Willow Pass Road before making her right turn onto Grant Street. Once she got onto Grant Street, which is in a business district surrounded by banks and restaurants, she immediately made an illegal U-turn on Grant to return to the same intersection.


Appellant testified that Officer Perry was in a bank parking lot – rather than on Willow Pass Road – when he observed her. Appellant drove into the left lane and turned on her left turn signal because she intended to turn left at the intersection to go to her bank. When she saw the officer watching her, however, Appellant became nervous, paused for five seconds at the light, changed her turn signal to a right turn signal, and turned right onto Grant Street. Appellant admitted she immediately made a U-turn, but stated she had no idea it was illegal to make a U-turn in a business district and there were no signs where she turned stating it

was illegal to make a U-turn at that location. Appellant also testified Officer Perry insulted her by telling her he smelled marijuana in her car and by stating, in response to her plea that he not give her a ticket because she is a single mother, that he was raised by a single mother who taught him to obey the law.

To the extent there were discrepancies between Officer Perry's and Appellant's testimony, the Commissioner apparently credited Officer Perry. We cannot second-guess the Commissioner's credibility decision. Moreover, Appellant's testimony confirmed the essential facts that established the two violations for which Appellant was cited. As to the illegal U-turn, Vehicle Code section 22102 is violated whenever one makes a U-turn in a business district absent particular exceptions not applicable here; neither knowledge that the turn is illegal nor signage warning drivers against making a U-turn is required. The law presumes that we are all aware of its requirements. The Commissioner's decision finding that Appellant committed both traffic infractions is supported by substantial evidence. To the extent Appellant believes Officer Perry acted inappropriately, the proper relief is to address the matter with the Concord Police Department, a measure Appellant has already pursued. Those proceedings, however, are separate from this appeal.

The judgment is **affirmed**.


John W. Kennedy, Presiding Judge


Edward G. Weil, Judge


Terri Mockler, Judge

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

I, Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Contra Costa, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Judgment entered by said Court in the above-entitled cause on the day of 2019, and now remaining of record in this Court.

WITNESS, my hand this day of , 2019.

Clerk of the Superior Court, in and for the
County of Contra Costa.

By: _____
Rochelle Marx, Deputy Clerk