No. 18-41192

19 NT 15726

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WINGROVE BOB- PETHIONER (Your Name) / NSON FILED
VS. VS. OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S. PATRI DE TOWER — RESPONDENT(S)
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
(Your Name)
(Address)
(City, State, Zip Code)
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

AM I ENTITLED TO MY AWARD
MONEY FROM FEMA
MY RENTAL ASSTANCE FROM
HUD & MY APT?
COMPENSATION FROM ABUSE
HARRASS MENT, LIBEL.
AND LOSS OF USE OF MY MONEY?

Mobeura 8/4/19.

LIST OF PARTIES

- [\checkmark] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgement is the subject of this petition is as follows:
 - 1. Rita Smith, Manager (deceased)
 - 2. Carolyn West, Asst. Manager (deceased)
 - 3. Kathy Barrilleaux, Sr. Vice Pres. (living witness) Co-conspirator

Anotary in and

for Sifferent Dulas

X

DEBBIE RAY My Notary ID # 7054830 Expires May 31, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW - Memorandum Opinion 2010

JURISDICTION - Judge Ricardo Urbina, U.S. District Judge

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED - ABA, ABA Rules ABA 5 USC § 500 et seq (1946). See also Fed. register.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE - Violation of my IV Amendment rights. See code Civil also CFR.

CONCLUSION - The courts have upheld robbery followed by violation of fair housing rights.

INDEX OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	5th Circuit Court of Appeals. Violation of IV Amendment Rights
APPENDIX B	9th Circuit Court of Appeals.
APPENDIX C	60th District Court tampered with evidence. Upholds violation of IV Amendment!
APPENDIX D	District Court Southern Division tried to compel me to hold a pre-trial conference!
APPENDIX E	1st County Court of Law accused me of not serving opposing counsel, yet he showed up in court!
APPENDIX F	Justice of the peace court violated my fair housing rights, also my rights to recover award money from FEMA of \$27,000, also my security deposit by Raintree of \$206. Also identity theft, also mail fraud. See violation of compliance!

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

United States vs Stanley Civil Rights cases 109 US3 (1983)

STATUES AND RULES

Federal Rules of appellate procedure states the rules & procedures for reviews! Federal rules of civil procedure rule! Federal Rules of criminal Procedure.

- 1. To ensure due process is carried out!
- 2. To dictate efficient use is made of judicial resources.

OTHER

DEBBIE RAY My Notary ID # 7054830 Expires May 31, 2021

Federal rules of evidence dictates methods by which evidence may be presented by case law and state statues!

HOM Act 43 USC § 161 et seq (1862)

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[\	For cases from federal courts :
	The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A_ to the petition and is
	[] reported at; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.
	The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix to the petition and is
	[] reported at; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.
[]	For cases from state courts:
	The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix to the petition and is
	[] reported at; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.
	The opinion of the to the petition and is court
	[] reported at; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.



JURISDICTION

The date on which the United was	States Court of Appeals decided my case
No petition for rehearing	was timely filed in my case
[N] 140 bearing for renearing	was officing fred in my case.
	aring was denied by the United States Court of date:, and a copy of
	appears at Appendix
to and including	le the petition for a writ of certiorari was grant (date) on (date)
in Application NoA_	
The jurisdiction of this Court	is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).
	is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).
cases from state courts :	
cases from state courts :	t state court decided my case was
cases from state courts: The date on which the highes A copy of that decision appear	t state court decided my case wasrs at Appendix aring was thereafter denied on the following da
cases from state courts: The date on which the highes A copy of that decision appear	t state court decided my case was rs at Appendix aring was thereafter denied on the following da _, and a copy of the order denying rehearing
cases from state courts: The date on which the highes A copy of that decision appear [] A timely petition for reheappears at Appendix	t state court decided my case was rs at Appendix aring was thereafter denied on the following da _, and a copy of the order denying rehearing



STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. 5th Circuit Court of Appeals wrongfully awarded me reconsideration from the district court ** A it em division on one hand and denied reconsideration on the other hand!

This constitutes reasonable doubt. See memorandum opinion 2010 by District Judge Ricardo Urbina. The district permitted Raintree Tower Apartment officers Rita Smith ESQ, Carolyn West ESQ, and Kathy Barrilleaux, Sr. Vice Pres. to steal my identity, tamper with my mail, re-route it to California, Missouri, to Virginia, to Indianapolis, to Virginia, to Houston, then to Beaumont and stole my money!

This constitutes larceny, grand theft! See Interstate commerce clause!

After I found them out, they evicted me illegally! This is a violation of my fair housing rights. See HUD 42 USC § 3101 et seq (1965)

Only an intake representative can evict me with cause. Raintree Tower Apt. officers were allowed to keep my security deposit.

This is a violation of my Tenant's Rights. See Tenant's Rights book let by the Young Lawyers Association of Texas.

This also constitutes discrimination. See also Tenant's Rights by the Young Lawyers Association of Texas.

This is also a violation of my IV Amendment Rights! The 60th District Court tampered with evidence! Judge Gary Sanderson changed the name of managing director from Josh Allen to Alejandro Washington in order to conceal the account of Raintree Tower Apts. Chase bank account in Indianapolis Indiana, where my check from FEMA was supposedly cashed. He also allowed my counsel Ann Bradley to withdraw from my case using a fictitious name of Wingrove Washington, instead of Wingrove Robinson, while allowing her to keep my retainer of \$2242. He himself is going by the name of Justin Sanderson, instead of Gary Sanderson, when I first filed a claim in his court!

The 9th District Court of Appeals agrees with the procedures of the lower courts!

This is a violation of APA Act for judges! Also a violation of the 7 cannons for judges!

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Appellant Wingrove Robinson asks that the Supreme Court reverse the 60th District Court dismissal denial of my motion of summary judgement, vacate his injunction against me, and remand this matter to the trial court for further proceedings, and for such other relief as the court deems proper!

Respectfully Submitted by,
Wingrove Robinson
975 Woodrow Ave., #21
Beaumont, TX 77705
(409) 658-3959

Prose

Appellant Wingrove Robinson asks that the Supreme Court reverse the 60th District Court dismissal denial of my motion of summary judgement, vacate his injunction against me, and remand this matter to the trial court for further proceedings, and for such other relief as the court deems proper!

Respectfully Submitted by, Wingrove Robinson 975 Woodrow Ave., #21 Beaumont, TX 77705 (409) 658-3959

Prose

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

19/19

WINGROVE KOBINSON

Anotary or afferson a

DEBBIE RAY My Notary ID # 7054830 Expires May 31, 2021