

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES

NO. 19-5664

CURTIS LEE SHEPPARD, JR.,
PETITIONER,

VS.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
RESPONDENTS,

RULE 44. REHEARING

PURSUANT TO RULE 44. REHEARING, A JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE OF THIS TYPE NEVER IS LEMITED, SINCE IT GOES TO THE "BENDING PRECEDENT" FOUNDATION OF WHETHER THE SUPREME COURT "OCTOBER 21, 2019 "DENIAL OF PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS WITH-OUT JURISDICTION TO ACT HAS ENGAGED IN AN ACT OF TREASON, COOPER V. AARON, 358 U.S. 78 S. Ct. 1398; MAKINE V. THE BORETOL, 448 U.S. 1, 100 S. Ct. 5202; HAFFER V. MELO, 502 U.S. 21, OFFICERS OF THE COURT HAVE NO IMMUNITY WHEN VIOLATING A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT FROM LIABILITY FOR THEY ARE DEEMED TO KNOW THE LAW "CLEARLY NOTED

1.

IN DALLAS COUNTY APPRAISAL VERIFICATION
8872d 465 (TX. APP. DALLAS 1994), THE COURTS
HAVE HELD THAT IF "THE TRIAL COURT" LACKED
JURISDICTION THEN AN APPELLATE COURT (THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES) ONLY
HAS JURISDICTION TO SET THE CONVICTION -
JUDGMENT ASIDE AND DEEMISS THE CASE.

THE PETITION FOR REHEARING IS ON THE MERITS
OF "NO SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION" THAT
THE STATE OF TEXAS HAS NEVER PROVED UNDER
"28 U.S.C. § 556 (d)", IN WHICH THE SUPREME
COURT HAS DOCUMENTED COURT RECORDS
EVIDENCE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS "NO SUB-
JECT-MATTER JURISDICTION TO ACT ATT-
ERN OF TREASON ORDERS THAT'S (ATTACH-
ED) TO SHEPPARD'S PETITION FOR A WRIT
OF HABEAS CORPUS AND PRECEDENT BECOMES
"BINDING AUTHORITY" ON A COURT IF THE PRE-
CEDENT CASE WAS DECIDED BY THAT COURT
OR A HIGHER COURT IN THE SAME JURISD-
ICTION.

AGAIN, PETITIONER'S NO SUBJECT-MATTER
JURISDICTION TO ACT WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
IS GOVERNED BY A JUDICIAL PRECEDENT
FROM "TEXAS" JURISDICTION AND A JURIS-
DICTION'S CONSTITUTION AND ITS STATUTES ARE
THE HIGHEST AUTHORITY WITHIN THAT JURIS-
DICTION, AND THE COURTS ARE BOUND BY THEM.
A LEGISLATURE MAY CHANGE THE COMMON LAW

BY PASSING LEGISLATION THAT CHANGES THE COMMON LAW RULE; FOR EXAMPLE, MANY CRIMINAL STATUTES 28 U.S.C. § 554 (d) HAVE COPIED WHAT WERE PREVIOUSLY COMMON LAW CRIMES. THEN THE CASE LAW INTERPRETING THAT COMMON LAW RULE MAY STILL BE VALID.

BECAUSE A JURISDICTION'S CONSTITUTION IS MORE AUTHORITY THAN ITS STATUTES AND THE SUPREME COURT IS WITHOUT JURISDICTION TO DENY PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI ON "OCTOBER 21, 2019"; SEE, DALLAS COUNTY APPRAISAL VS. RECOVERY, SUPRA, AND THIS PETITION

FOR REHEARING CLEARLY ESTABLISHES THAT THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WELLFULLY COMMITTED AND ENGAGED IN AN ACT TREASON AND, IS NOW FILED WITHIN 25 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THE DENIAL AND IN GOOD FAITH OF "NO SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION TO ACT"

"IF A JUDGE OR PROSECUTOR DOES NOT FULLY COMPLY WITH THE CONSTITUTION THEN HIS OR HER ORDERS ARE VOID, IN RE SAWHUR 24 U.S. 200 (1888); HE / SHE IS WITHOUT JURISDICTION AND HE / SHE HAS ENGAGED IN ACT OF TREASON" DEMONSTRATED "OCTOBER 21, 2019"

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, THE PETITIONER HAS RAISED SERIOUS DOCUMENTED RELEVANT COURT RECORDS EVIDENCE OF "NO SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION TO ACT" DENIAL OF HIS PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI BY THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WHO'S ENTRUSTED WITH PUBLIC TRUST AND MUST
SET A HIGHER STANDARD THEN STATE APPEAL COURTS
MARKED BY COMMON SENSE LAW, NOT THIS SORT
OF AGGRESSIVE "NO SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDIC-
TION ABUSE OF DISCRETION"

I DECLARE UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY
THAT THE FOREGOING RULE 44, REHEARING
IS TRUE AND CORRECT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C.
1744, 18 U.S.C. SEC. 1621

EXECUTED THIS 25TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2019

I Am: Curtis Lee Sheppard, Jr.
CURTIS LEE SHEPPARD, JR.,
TAX NO. 1656666
MICHAEL UNIT
2664 FM 2054
TENNESSEE COLONY, TX 75886

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ON THE 28TH DAY OF
OCTOBER, 2019, A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF
THE RULE 44, REHEARING WAS MAILED TO:

SCOTT S. HARRIS
CLERK OF THE COURT
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

Curtis Lee Sheppard, Jr.
CURTIS LEE SHEPPARD, JR.