


REQUEST FOR REHEARING
Affidavit 1

Affidavit 1. I, as the Petitioner, Carter Stephens am submitting this request as an earnest effort to have a review of the already submitted information and newly applied information, I am not doing this to delay the process of the Supreme Court.

No. #19-5662


Carter Stephens 11/17/19

RECEIVED

DEC - 3 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.


Much of the important information submitted by Petitioner Carter Stephens was included in the petitioners Writ of Certiorari. The acts of an extremely intentional, and neglectful attorney which have given the petitioner Stephens no other options but to seek justice in the Supreme Court. Petitioner Stephens is in no way attempting to delay the procedures of the court, however, to allow this Writ to be denied is to advantage and enrich the respondent Gomez in a way that is truly undeserved. The respondent Gomez has unlawfully granted himself privileges that he simply does not deserve, and is currently being investigated by the police and the DA of the county of Los Angeles. This is due to past and current criminal activity and activity involved with petitioners very case. Petitioner wishes for this criminal investigation to be reviewed and added in Petitioners Writ order to have the counsel consider this new information that petitioner did not include in the original Writ, because it was not available.

Also, the evidence collected against the petitioners past attorney, Lori Smith that placed petitioners case in the lower courts in a failure to prosecute status. With all the evidence of this attorneys conduct not only in the past, but also in the present in petitioners current case. Along with this attorneys written declaration of "confession" to committing the acts described by the petitioner Stephens. The acts were documented and admitted to. This decision given in the lower court, has afforded the petitioner Stephens the only alternative but to reach to a higher court for justice. Due to these bizarre and extreme circumstances warrant this cases' remand to the lower courts.

Respondent Gomez, in taking control of the corporation and restaurant took full unlawful responsibility of all profits and obligations of finance and tax liability. As a result, the restaurant has been labeled with numerous tax debt and obligations that have not been taken care of. Both State and Federal. The petitioner Stephens had no knowledge or participation in the tax neglect that Gomez placed on the corporation. As a result from this tax neglect, these issues should be addressed in the lower court. By not doing so, makes the outcome even worse. This is all new information which was not included in the original Writ.

Respondent Gomez should be held accountable to address the horrid conditions and affairs that exist with the corporation. The addressing of these problems can only be done in the lower courts. Also, the addressing of these problems have been made very difficult because of the Respondent Gomez recent unlawful selling of the corporation. This was done in an effort to get away from the obligations and responsibilities of the corporation/restaurant. It was not within respondent Gomez authority to do so. This is new information that was not included in the original Writ. This unauthorized act of selling the corporation was a crime, and the respondent Gomez was breaking the law to do so. These issues need to be addressed in the lower courts for fair justice to be served in this case. By going beyond his authority to sell this corporation, he has broken all bankruptcy codes, and has now negated and violated any benefit and protection a bankruptcy could offer him. The breaking of these codes needs to be addressed in the lower courts. This is new information not included in the original Writ. The District Attorney of Los Angeles County is taking a look at Gomez criminal activity, and may serve respondent Gomez with criminal charges. These many actions along with the neglect and abandonment acts by petitioner Stephens past attorney Lori Smith, which warrant FRCP 9024 60 (b)(1)(2)(3)(6) relief, are acts that are definitely not in line with the justice and fairness this case deserves. This also needs to be

addressed in the lower court. Petitioner Stephens asks for the return of his case to the lower court, either bankruptcy or State court for review, to have it finally heard on its merits. This new information of Respondent Gomez being investigated by the police and D.A. is pertinent and this case should be remanded to the lower courts bankruptcy or state, so that a fair decision can be reached on its merits. A fair and just decision in this case will never be reached if the lower courts don't have these new facts to add to the merits and circumstances of Petitioner Stephens case.



Carter Stephens
Carter Stephens 11/17/19

Docket #19-5662