

No. \_\_\_\_\_

19-5616

---

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

Russell Rope,

*Petitioner,*

vs.

Facebook, Inc., Apple, Inc., Alphabet, Inc., Twitter, Inc.,  
JPMorgan Chase & Co., & John Does 1 to 10,

*Respondents,*

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to  
The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
No. 18-55782 (2:17-cv-04921)

---

**[EMERGENCY] PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

Russell Rope

#1607 POB 1198  
Sacramento, CA 95812  
(323) 536-7708

*Plaintiff In Pro Per*

Originally Submitted @ 05/06/2019  
Unnecessarily Corrected & Resubmitted @ 06/07/2019  
Unnecessarily Corrected & ReResubmitted @ 08/05/2019

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

*Whether The Law Extends to All Citizens & Corporations in Modern Times?*

- ★ How can an unwritten, nonexistent, and unfiled appeal be labeled or described as anything let alone be dismissed as “frivolous,” and how is the appeal and or this case allegedly frivolous? Whether an Appeal can be dismissed before it is filed?
  
- ★ Every Defendant has the right to counsel, but what about every Plaintiff; especially when the Plaintiff is financially disabled and only taking legal action in self-defense? Whether a Plaintiff’s case can be legally dismissed in the appellate court before requested council is appointed?
  
- ★ What is missing to successfully state a claim for RICO if Plaintiff did not successfully state the claim where he did indeed allege all elements and provided a short and simple statement of the claim in the First Amended Complaint? Whether Plaintiff successfully stated a claim in The Complaint and First Amended Complaint (“FAC”)?
  
- ★ Whether *res judicata* is license to keep committing new instances of the same crime?
  
- ★ Whether it is legal for a judge to intentionally neglect requested explanations for due process obstructing decisions upon request for said information by a pro se litigant?

- ★ Whether Judges are allowed to make decisions based on information obtained from sources that are not on the docket such as slanderous behind the back private communications, opinions of a party's social media, coming from other judges, or against a Plaintiff in any way if allegations are not directly disputed?
- ★ Whether fraud Defendant attorneys coercing or playing some role in conspiracy to bribe justice obstructing judges and/or the judges themselves must be criminally charged and/or disbarred and permanently blocked from any position of authority?
- ★ Whether the current Justices of the Supreme Court of The United States have the integrity to hold justice obstructors accountable for their seriously criminal actions?
- ★ Whether all citizens have equal protections under the law, not limited and specifically in regards to due process and equal employment opportunity rights regardless of birth order ranks within a family, or relationship, marital, and/or parental status?
- ★ Whether or not the Ninth Circuit had access to exhibits lodged/sealed in District Court and if access would have made a difference, and if neglect of that question in correspondence to the court was obstruction of justice?
- ★ Whether a SCOTUS Petitioner *in forma pauperis*, at least upon request in extraordinary circumstances, deserves leniency no less equal to that of a falsely imprisoned pro se litigant, not limited to submitting a one copy of everything?

## LIST OF PARTIES

*Requires Option to Amend as Necessary*

The most responsible trigger pulling enablers, but not all parties, are named on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in The Court(s) whose judgment(s) is/are the subject of this Petition contain(s) John Does requiring Discovery prior to amending the case with more Defendants if the current Respondents are not wise to take responsibility for the actions of their criminal enterprise by immediately settling out of court or promptly in Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR"). Many additional suspects and several John Doe Defendants have been identified along with descriptions of their relations to Petitioner, each other, connections to the conspiracy, and its pattern of racketeering activity as documented in Exhibit 52, which was lodged under seal in Central District Court. Ninth judges ignored questions about receipt of evidence.

### A. PETITIONER

BLESSED with prayers from The [honest] People of City of Angels for The Court to order: immediate relief, for this case to move forward with prompt ADR, indefinite discovery not simply because more questions certainly need to be answered, for agreement that this case and Defendant list are technically open to infinite amendments as necessary, or based on or requiring new discovery, and for justice to prevail from

Petitioner's perspective; the law of our land and moral superiority respectfully demand  
EVERYTHING!

Petitioner's full legal name is "Russell Rope." He is a native citizen of the United States of America, a true patriot born, raised, and residing within the County of Los Angeles, with confidential address provisions through California Secretary of State's Safe at Home program, which Petitioner is actively enrolled in and also provided for confidential name change (CGC § 6205-6210). Petitioner is an original genius ("OG") with priceless intellectual property; a cross-industry pioneer specifically in regards to media in the nightlife/entertainment and cannabis/lifestyle niches, and an innovative entrepreneur with traditional credentials plus more than twenty-four years of professional experience in the fields of technology, arts, media, business, and more recently practicing law starting from the bottom all the way up on a SUPREME level in pro per. Petitioner is also clairvoyant, grew up a self-taught ethical hacker turned multimedia whiz, which are the indestructible foundations for EVERYTHING. He quickly both recognized what was going on technology wise and started logging evidence with screenshots and video. Petitioner is the most intelligent person in his family and possibly on the planet considering the fact that the collective brains of Respondents have been unable resolve this situation honestly, for which they must pay top dollar plus interest. The son of a successful attorney who is the son of another attorney, with doctors on the other side of an upper-middle-class family, Petitioner has no genetic or real history of mental illness and has been studying as much as practicing the law for too many years in pro per.

Petitioner lives a healthy lifestyle, is conscious of what he consumes, maintains his fitness in the gym daily, and most importantly has a healthy mind. Legit medical doctors and attorneys verifiably agree. This boasting is important because John Doe Defendants in conspiracy with Respondents have been trying to gaslight a character framework of Petitioner and his genius that has played a most evil role in corrupts courts. Petitioner attempted to communicate with Respondents straight up before reporting illegal actions to authorities, continues to try and settle on an occasional basis without being annoying, but has only met neglect and resistance where violations have been nonstop daily nuisances. This is about justice; not the ego. Petitioner is a respectful, polite, compassionate, humble and hard working man with a big heart; also one of the toughest, most confident, perceptive and no bullshit taking people you are going to meet in real life. The entire case is based on factual and politically correct statements coming directly from the victim who also happens to be a professional attorney is someone who practices law, expert witness, and realist meaning he sees and tells it like it is.

## **B. RESPONDENTS**

### **1. ABSOLUTELY "IDENTIFIED" & FILED AGAINST RESPONDENTS**

- Defendant Facebook, Inc. is located in Menlo Park, CA.
- Defendant Apple, Inc. is located in Cupertino, CA.
- Defendant Alphabet, Inc. is located in Mountain View, CA.
- Defendant Twitter, Inc. is located in San Francisco, CA.
- Defendant JPMorgan Chase & Co. is located in New York, NY.
- To Be Amended Defendant(s) Currently John Does For Security Reasons

## 2. MORE THAN SUSPECT & UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS

- Suspect John Doe Defendant Mark Zuckerberg, CEO @ Facebook, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Defendant Sean Parker of Not Limited to @ Facebook, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Defendant Peter Teal of Not Limited to @ "PayPal Mafia"
- Suspect John Doe Defendant Tim Cook, CEO @ Apple, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Defendant Larry Page & Sergey Brin @ Alphabet, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Defendant Jack Dorsey, CEO @ Twitter, Inc.
- Suspect John Doe Defendant James Diamon, CEO @ JPMorgan Chase
- Suspect John Doe Defendant Tom Tate allegedly located in Sunnyvale, C
- To Be Amended Defendant HP, Inc. is located in Palo Alto, CA
- To Be Amended Defendant(s) Currently Anonymous For Security Reasons

Worse than criminal threats, but literal attacks and attempts by Defendants to back up death threats have been preventing Petitioner from taking action against or publicly identifying all John Doe Defendants (technically have not been dismissed from any court). Additional John Doe locations range from mostly local to Petitioner to scattered across the United States and internationally now that some people such as conspiring international students have returned to their native lands. Additional suspected conspirators are not limited to those currently identified in Exhibit 52 and are mostly located in proximity of Los Angeles, California.

Respondents and John Doe Defendants causing the most damage are or at least were primarily known hackers, unethical and lawless anarchists, and wannabes accused of much more than maliciously abusing power to faux-hack Petitioner for more than a decade. Defendants, conspiracy, and violations have branched out over time. The original civil and intentionally not directly identifying list of suspected John Does is attached to the Complaint/FAC as Exhibit 1.

Bad Karma Enterprise is a nickname given by Petitioner to several interconnected groups of alleged more than suspected conspirators who have most seriously and criminally violated Petitioner. Several potential Defendants are listed as suspects rather than Defendants or John Does for reasons of safety, security, lack of funds, and not to give underserved credits, but referenced so The Court is prepared for additional foreseen problems and requests for relief. The original civil and intentionally not directly identifying list of suspected Bad Karma Enterprise conspirators is attached to the Complaint/FAC as Exhibit 2. More recent and detailed TESTIMONY titled "Individuals Named & Connections Log" is lodged under seal and attached to the FAC as Exhibit 52, and by this reference, along with the FAC and original Complaint, made a part of this Petition hereof, but only as necessary if SCOTUS does not have access to lodged and sealed exhibits at the time of initial review. The FAC and Defendant lists most probably need to be amended again at a later point.

Defendant John Doe, allegedly named Tom Tate was the alleged technical contact responsible for administering material facts Petitioner had reliance upon; registration information for the domain name in dispute (allegedly belonged to a company that went out of business and left the country long ago), which was abandoned and has been cyber-squatted on for years since initial attempts of Petitioner trying to use the name and take legal action. The frauds currently in control of the domain recently modified the space to host a password protected site and are absolutely conspiring with Defendants, which is supported by clear and convincing facts and evidence. Said criminals have



completely ignored multiple cease and desists and demands communications. Tom Tate is a suspected fake identity and place holder for another known social media icon or a few John Does identified under seal ranking above not limited to Australian and Floridian puppets still present and mentioned in the FAC. Domain name fraud John Does are conspirators, some probable influencers, of all violations in the Complaint, who mostly live or are located in California, possibly Arizona, and now New York. Network Solutions, LLC and their executive employee allegedly named Rick Rabuck (suspected false identity or at least name hack), and GoDaddy.com, LLC possibly account for John Does. Several additional John Does are of personal relation or third party to Petitioner, so names have been omitted from this part of the Complaint until further discovery mostly for the safety and security of Petitioner. Additional relevant John Does include attorneys, Government, US Postal Service, and drone puppet stalkers sent by John Doe Defendants. More suspected John Does from Petitioner's personal network and suspected to be connected to the domain name fraud and conspiracy are identified in the logs attached to this case. Possible unaccounted for John Does are not worth reporting at present time or may play other roles in the Bad Karma Enterprise. Petitioner should be able to definitively name and have the option to amend John Does after Discovery or at least within statutes of limitations for RICO being ten years since most recent violation. Amendments most probably would have happened by now if crooked judges did not illegally obstruct justice when they should not have quashed subpoenas.

Late in joining the undeserved hate is JPMorgan/Chase Bank whom Petitioner had a perfect history with before illegal termination of business then personal checking accounts without notice and most definitely in an effort to sabotage Petitioner's finances and credit score. They are still withholding a few thousand dollars because Petitioner refused to allow the bank to trick him into signing an indemnity agreement saying he would not sue after they violated him and refused to keep the account open; a personal and completely legal business account for which Petitioner got specific permission. Respondents stole/tried to steal Petitioner's money right before Petitioner was forced to surrender into false imprisonment after retaliation entrapment, so Petitioner would lose stored (both online and physical) possessions and evidence. They intentionally conspired with Respondents/Defendants who have not stopped trying to steal Petitioner's property and evidence. As a matter of fact, they stalked Petitioner and stole not limited to laptop and keys to storage unit the night that this Petition was basically completed about a month ago. Most of this had to be written on library computers with limited access. All Respondents etc. can legally be held accountable for all crimes, claims, counts, torts, and causes of action based on conspiracy and intentional adaptation of the problems regardless of proven collusion. Petitioner mostly seeks civil retribution based on levels of responsibility, but will not surrender the right to file for arrest warrants based on criminal RICO charges for all causes of action/counts, specifically against domain name frauds, CEOs, and other heads possibly to become Defendants. Something must also be done about people stalking Petitioner for his photo/video. The criminal culture surrounding this case must be terminated.

{

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

★ QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....	2
★ LIST OF PARTIES.....	4
★ INDEX TO APPENDICES.....	12
★ TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED.....	13
★ OPINIONS BELOW.....	16
★ JURISDICTION.....	21
★ CONSTITUTIONAL & STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	24
★ STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	28
★ REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	33
★ CONCLUSION.....	40

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

### APPENDIX A: 18-55782 (Cited & Attached)

- **Main Judgment for Supreme Review**
- United States Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
- Dismissed As “Frivolous” On 12/18/2019 @ Docket #24 (or #267 @ CACD)
- Out of Order / Before Appointment of Counsel & Filing Appeal/Brief
- Intentionally Neglecting/Obstructing Emergency Motion For Reconsideration, Requests For Explanation, & Corrected Filings
- Pending / No Final Order Voids 90 Day Supreme Court Filing Rule

### APPENDIX B: 2:17-cv-04921 (Cited & Attached)

- **Most Relevant Judgment for Additional Supreme Review**
- United States Central District Court of California
- FAC Illegally Dismissed Without Leave To Amend On 5/14/2018 @ Docket #247
- Dismissed With Leave To Amend/Requests Denied 12/20/2017 @ Docket #114
- Entire Docket/All Opinions Should Be Reviewed (Too Much To Print & Mail)
- Failure to State Claim & *Res Judicata* (Both Lies)

Referenced Not Attached; Original Copy Can Be Provided Per Request:

### APPENDIX C: BC607769 (vs. Tech/Comm)

- Stanley Mosk Courthouse / Los Angeles Superior Court of California
- Sustained Demurrer Without Leave To Amend On 9/14/2016
- Because Plaintiff Was Falsely Imprisoned & Missed Court

### APPENDIX D: BC608501 (vs. JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

- Stanley Mosk Courthouse / Los Angeles Superior Court of California
- Defendants Dismissed With Prejudice On 5/23/2016
- Because Plaintiff Was Falsely Imprisoned & Missed Court

### APPENDIX E: 2:14-cv-04900-VBK-GHK < FMM-UA

- United States Central District Court of California
- Erroneously Dismissed w/Out Definitive Reason; Because In Forma Pauperis

### APPENDIX F: 2:14-cv-04232-VBK-GHK < FMM-UA

- United States Central District Court of California
- Erroneously Dismissed w/Out Definitive Reason; Because In Forma Pauperis

### APPENDIX G: 2:14-cv-04002-VBK-GHK < FMM-UA

- United States Central District Court of California
- Erroneously Dismissed w/Out Definitive Reason; Because In Forma Pauperis

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### **RICO - 18 USC § 1962(a)(c)**

- 18 USC § 1964 – Civil Remedies
- Johnson v. GEICO Cas. Co., 516 F. Supp. 2d 351 (D. Del. 2007)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 1-4, 70-74

### **RICO/Civil Conspiracy - 18 USC §§ 1962(a)(b)(c)(d) & 1349**

- Doctors' Co. v. Superior Court (1989) 49 Cal.3d 44, citing Mox Incorporated v. Woods (1927) 202 Cal. 675, 677-78.)' (Id. at 511.) & (Allied Equipment Corp. v. Litton Saudi Arabia Ltd., supra, 7 Cal.4th at 510-11.)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 74-76

### **FRAUD - PEN § 470, 18 USC § 1001, CIV § 1710, CIV § 3294**

- Computer Fraud - 18 USC § 1030 (a)(2)(c) & (a)(4), 18 USC § 1030(b), 18 USC § 1030(g)
- Computer Fraud & Abuse Act (CFAA) § 1030(a)/(c)(4)(A)(i)(I)-(V)
- 18 USC §1961 Definitions (1)(B)(5)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 77-79

### **Fraud by Wire, Radio, or Television - 18 USC § 1343**

- Manual of Model Criminal Jury Instructions for the District Courts of the 8th Circuit 6.18.1341 (West 1994)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 81-82

### **Mail Fraud: 18 USC Ch. 63 & Other Fraud Offenses § 1341**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 81-83

### **Criminal Threats - PEN § 422**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 84-86

### **Obscene, Threatening, & Annoying Communications - PEN § 653m**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 86-87

### **Stalking - PEN § 649(.9)**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 87-89

### **Assault & Battery - PEN §§ 240 & 242**

- Lowry v. Standard Oil Co. of California (1944) 63 Cal.App.2d 1, 6—7 [146 P.2d 57]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 89-90

### **Espionage - Economic & Personal - 18 USC § 1831**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 91-92

**Theft of Trade Secrets - 18 USC §§ 1832 & 1836**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 92-94

**Obstruction of Justice - 18 USC §§ 1510, 1513, & 1985**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 94-96

**False Imprisonment - 1240-1: PEN §§ 210.5, 236; 42 USC § 1983**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 98-99

**Perjury - 18 USC § 1621; CPC § 118(a)**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 99-101

**Robbery & Theft/Burglary - 18 USC § 2113; PEN §§ 211, 484, & 458**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 101-103

**Attempted Murder (Assault & Battery) - 18 USC §§ 1113 & 113**

- Cited in FAC at Pages 103-105

**Defamation - CIV §§ 44(a)(b); 45-46**

- Smith v. Maldonado (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th 637, 645 [85 Cal. Rptr. 2d 397]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 106-107

**Unfair Competition - CBPC § 17200-17210**

**Intentional Interference with Economic Relations**

- Unfair Competition Law (UCL) 288. CBPC § 17200 et seq.
- (UCL) (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200)
- Levine v. Blue Shield of California, 189 Cal. App. 4th 1117, 1136 (2010)
- Schwartz v. Provident Life & Accident Ins. Co., 216 Cal. App. 4th 607, 611 (2013)
- Cited in FAC at Pages 107-108

**Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress - Civil Tort**

- Hughes v. Pair (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1035, 1050—1051 [95 Cal.Rptr.3d 636, 209 P.3d 963]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 108-113

**Cybersquatting - ACPA @ USC 15 § 1125(d)**

- Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act: 15 USC § 1125(D) Sec. 1125
- False Designations of Origin, False Descriptions, and Dilution Forbidden
- [Fraudulent Misrepresentation]
- Cited in FAC at Pages 111-113

**EEO Violations 42 USC § 2000e-2(a)**

- Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Cited in FAC at Pages 113-114

\* This list is limited authorities cited in the FAC. Defendants' Motions to Dismiss in District Court had a lot of case law citations, all of which technically support Plaintiff's case. Plaintiff was aware of pleading requirements and alleged all elements from case law and jury instructions for all causes of action, but did not cite all of them. Lengthy and pointless citations of the elements by Defendants was simply official looking fluff as explained in Responses by Plaintiff. Defendant attorneys are frauds who not only failed to uphold the oath they took when being sworn into the bar, but in so doing have also prolonged danger, enabled more attacks, and thereby caused more damage than was done at the time of filing; therefore, they should all be no less than disbarred and forced to fork over every penny Defendants paid them(to lie). This should be obvious to anyone who can both access the docket and read. Corrupt judges should sink in the same boat if permitted to keep their freedom. Petitioner has had to live in dangerous and uncomfortable places because of obstructors including taking shelter at a local National Guard/Army base. Veterans not limited to snipers who served, fought, and have killed to protect our Constitutional rights concur.

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
EMERGENCY PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

*Must Be Granted for The Best Reasons*

Petitioner respectfully demands by law and based on life-threatening emergency not only that a Writ of Certiorari issue to review the judgement in a light favorable to the Petitioner In Pro Per ASAP, but also for any expedited relief SCOTUS is able to provide.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

*Facts Above & Throughout*

**CASE #18-55782**

Most relevant to this Petition is the erroneous opinion of the United States Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, which appears at Appendix A to the Petition, is available at Docket Entry #24, and was filed on 12/18/2019. The case was criminally dismissed as frivolous without any explanation even upon request. A lawsuit, motion, or appeal is only “frivolous” in a legal context when it lacks any basis and is intended to harass, delay, or embarrass the opposition. Judges are supposed to be reluctant to find an action frivolous based on the desire not to discourage people from using The Court(s) to resolve disputes. The only frivolous aspects to this case in any court are the baseless dismissals and bogus defenses. Dishonorable judges and bad attorneys are trying to take advantage of a pro se litigant, intentionally delaying to continue what is much worse than criminal harassment, and think they can embarrass Petitioner by cheating what cannot



be beaten. The first dismissal in the Ninth Circuit must not be considered a final judgment because a prompt Motion for Reconsideration was filed the next day, followed up with a more thorough Emergency Motion for Reconsideration and other corrected filings not limited to another request for emergency relief and appointment of pro bono (assistant) counsel. Appellee still has not received a response and attempted to contact the court by email, phone, and in person only to receive more harassment, misdirection, and neglect. The Ninth Circuit has been intentionally stalling, which is an obstruction of justice. Petitioner is filing in accordance with SCOTUS rules additionally regarding filing timelines and formatting procedures as if already granted an extension where a final judgement as an Appellee has not really been issued. Respondents and now probably Defendant Judges, unless they rule in favor of Appellee prior to SCOTUS, were served notice of this Petition via electronic service through the Ninth Circuit and direct email.

**CASE #2:17-cv-04921**

The erroneous opinion of the United States Central District Court appears at Appendix B to the petition, is available at Docket Entry #114, and was filed on 12/20/2017. Plaintiff filed a Motion for Reconsideration, and then another, only to disprove the crooked justice obstructor's puppet string pulled logic, and was met with more obstruction and neglect prior to beginning the Appeal process. The dishonorable must be removed from their positions of authority not only because what they did and are doing is intentionally wrong, but also to set a deterring example for all authority figures across the nation.

**CASE # BC607769**

The opinion of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Courthouse, for a similar but different case against the Technology Defendants, CEOs, and John Does, appears at Appendix C to the Petition and was dismissed by sustained Demurrer without leave to amend on 9/14/2016 because Plaintiff was falsely imprisoned and missed court dates. The opinion of the court appears at Appendix C to the Petition and is available on The Court website via civil case search for #BC607769. It had always been more relevant to file under federal law, but the inexperienced pro se litigant filed here in an attempt to circumvent the first round of corrupt dismissals in District Court. Filing a new federal case for everything was more efficient than an appeal at the state level where judges from this case and the following need not be penalized. However, judges should be required to make direct contact with pro se Plaintiffs before dismissing with prejudice or without leave to amend.

**CASE #BC608501**

The opinion of Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Stanley Mosk Courthouse for a similar but different case against JPMorgan Chase & Co., CEO, and John Does appears at Appendix D to the Petition and was dismissed by sustained Demurrer without leave to amend on 5/23/2016 because Plaintiff was falsely imprisoned and missed court dates. The opinion of the court appears at Appendix D to the Petition and is available on The Court website via civil case search for #BC608501. It had always been more relevant to file under federal law, but the inexperienced pro se litigant filed

here in an attempt to circumvent the first round of corrupt dismissals in District Court. Filing a new federal case for everything was more efficient than an appeal at the state level.

**CASE #2:14-cv-04900**

The opinion of United States Central District Court for a similar but different case against The Technology Defendants and John Does appears at Appendix E to the Petition and was illegally dismissed in 2014 through the screening process for cases filed *in forma pauperis* with no specific or definitive reason given and explanation intentionally neglected. The frivolous opinion of the court appears at Appendix E to the Petition and is available on The Court docket. This case was not dismissed with prejudice, so Petitioner recently filed an Emergency Request for Electronic Filing of a Motion for Reconsideration attached to a FAC. E-filing is suspected to have been illegally denied by a judge not even assigned to the case who only acknowledged the attachment to the request being a standard application for to e-file while completing neglecting the rest of the Request and similar filings for the following two cases. These people are liars/frauds.

**CASE #2:14-cv-04232**

The opinion of United States Central District Court for a similar but different case against John Doe Domain Name Frauds appears at Appendix F to the Petition and was illegally dismissed in 2014 through the screening process for cases filed *in forma pauperis* with no specific or definitive reason given and explanation intentionally

neglected. The frivolous opinion of The Court appears at Appendix F to the Petition and is available on The Court docket. This case was not dismissed with prejudice, so Petitioner recently filed an Emergency Request for Electronic Filing of a Motion for Reconsideration attached to a FAC. Petitioner has only received a response to the similar filing for one of two other cases.

**CASE #2:14-cv-04002**

The opinion of United States Central District Court for a similar but different case against CalVCB and justice obstructing John Does appears at Appendix G to the Petition and was illegally dismissed in 2014 through the screening process for cases filed *in forma pauperis* with no specific or definitive reason given and explanation intentionally neglected. The frivolous opinion of the court appears at Appendix G to the Petition and is available on The Court docket. This case was not dismissed with prejudice, so Petitioner recently filed an Emergency Request for Electronic Filing of a Motion for Reconsideration attached to a FAC. Petitioner has only received a response to the similar filing for one of two other cases.

## JURISDICTION

### *The Highest Court Has It*

The date on which the United States Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decided the case was an intentionally harassing number hack date 12/18/2018, which is similar to how The Courts have played into reported violations not limited to since day one of appellate court obstruction and beforehand in Central District Court.

- Filing Timeline Would Be 90 Days + 60 Additional Days
- 90 Days Since Obstructing Order Would Make File Date Mid May 2019
- Emergency Motion for Reconsideration Timely Filed @ Ninth Circuit
- Emergency Motion etc. Currently Neglected @ Ninth Circuit
- Illegal Order Dismissing Nonexistent Appeal Appears @ Appendix A

**The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. §1254(1).**

**Article III, Section II of the Constitution** establishes the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. The Court has original and appellate jurisdiction because the case involves a points of constitutional and federal law and the United States is most definitely a party on some level.

**The Certiorari Act of 1925** gives the Court the discretion to decide whether or not to move forward on a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, but The Supreme Court agrees which to hear out of many cases that it is asked to review each year where this case unobstructed is surely a most deserving 1 in 7.53 billion.

The best-known power of the Supreme Court is the doctrine of judicial review established in the case of **Marbury v. Madison (1803)**. The **Judiciary Act of 1789** gave the Supreme Court original jurisdiction to issue Writs of Mandamus compelling government officials to act in accordance with the law. **Article VI of the Constitution** establishes the Constitution as the Supreme Law of the Land thereby establishing authority to strike down judgements made in state and subordinate courts.

**Fourteenth Amendment (1869)** makes provisions of the Bill of Rights applicable to federal and state government not limited to DUE PROCESS.

## JURISDICTION OVERRIDING FILING TIMELINE

Not only do the rules for extraordinary circumstances dictate that time for filing be extended by an additional 60 days, if even necessary where there remains lack of final judgment, but this Petition also does not technically require a separate application for extension of time to file for reasons as follows.

### SCOTUS Rule 13.5

“For good cause, a **Justice may extend the time** to file a petition...”

Two specific main reasons to extend time are 1) a final order has not been received regarding an Emergency Motion for Reconsideration and other corrected filings and 2) justice is being obstructed, assistant counsel should have been appointed, and Petitioner must be granted leniency as a first time petitioner deserving of due process.

“application must be filed with the Clerk at least 10 days before the date the petition is due, **except in extraordinary circumstances**”

This emergency situation merits filing everything at once and as is where SCOTUS rules are additionally unclear about final judgment in relation to a Motion for Reconsideration.

### SCOTUS Rule 30.2

“Whenever a Justice or the Clerk is empowered by law or these Rules to extend the time to file any document...” [filing date and time rules are discretionarily up to the Justice or Clerk] “**...in the most extraordinary circumstances.**”

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

### *Justice's Job is to Preserve Our Rights*

#### **First Amendment**

“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.”

Respondents have been trying to use religion Petitioner does not subscribe to as a weapon giving false justification to attack Plaintiff from both sides of the cross, more so prior to present, and not limited to judges are suspect of being cast like actors in part because of this, name hacks, other similarities with Defendants as described in Exhibit 52. Petitioner is the press; runs two daily Internet based publications, was more than an editor for his major university newspaper while in college, has worked on several other online and print publications since high school, and has been credentialed as press/media by major event productions, festivals, and trade shows. Not only have Respondents been censoring Petitioner, but obstruction of justice is also censorship in that the press should and would be taking this very seriously if judges and Respondents were not conspiring to steal Petitioner's days in court. By cutting reach and communications, Respondents at this point being enabled by justice obstructing judges, are disrupting the ability to peacefully assemble and petition for not limited to possible redress from unfortunate corruption within the Government.



## **Second Amendment**

“...the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.”

John Doe Defendants including conspiring law enforcement identified under seal falsely imprisoned Petitioner on a 5150 hold probably not limited to for the purpose of infringing on the right to bear arms after illegally delaying a carry concealed weapon permit that Plaintiff at the time only tried to acquire because of law enforcement neglecting criminal threats. This is a big deal because it also resulted in Petitioner being forced to move before he was ready and then an entire chain of racketeering activity for which he was the victim not limited to pretty much everything added to The Complaint/FAC since 2014.

## **Fourth Amendment**

“The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”

Not only did an illegal search and seizure result in false imprisonment of Petitioner (record was expunged), but obstruction of justice has led to recent situations of illegal searches, and more importantly seizure specifically of Petitioner’s car. This also caused grand theft of physical property not limited to devices containing intellectual property.

## **Fifth Amendment**

“...nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without **DUE PROCESS** of law...”

This is a huge reason to move forward with the Petition. Respondents were originally enabling John Doe Defendants and now corrupt courts are enabling Respondents who have been holding Petitioner captive through poverty, denial of service attacks (hacks), stalking, stealing property, not only on a literal level of false imprisonment, but also stalling and obstructing and depriving Petitioner of normal life and liberty.

### **Sixth Amendment**

“...and to have the assistance of counsel for his defence...”

Petitioner was criminally denied real representation from public defenders when falsely charged with what has since been expunged. Petitioner has only been playing defense and responding to evil unjustifiable attacks since day one. Justice is also being obstructed not only in acquiring private council, but also through blocking appointment of assistant pro bono counsel for that “defence” by the Ninth Circuit who should have appointed requested counsel before seriously considering dismissing.

### **Eighth Amendment**

“Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.”

Obstruction of justice is inflicting cruel and unusual punishment not limited to new and recent violations and resulting damages. False imprisonment included excessive bail

when Petitioner had requested and should have been allowed to leave and return on own recognisance. Respondents in conspiracy with John Doe Defendant have been trying to steal everything from Plaintiff especially anything relating to financial.

### **Fourteenth Amendment**

“All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without **DUE PROCESS** of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

The big one appears again meaning due process is a right so important that it has two amendments, both of which are main reasons this Petition must be granted. Each time this Petition, the Complaint and FAC mention obstruction of justice or obstructors, on the federal or state levels, Constitutional violations of DUE PROCESS should be inferred.

### **Case Law: Exception To Res Judicata:**

“The United States Supreme Court has stated for at least ninety years that only ‘in the absence of fraud or collusion’ does a judgment from a court with jurisdiction operate as res judicata... The exception mentioned by the Fourth Circuit in Resolute Insurance Co.—one for fraud, deception, accident, or mistake—is a classic example...”

The *res judicata* claim was a deceptive defense that did not fail either because of fraud not limited to Respondents conspiring with crooked judges, or less likely because the judges made mistakes. Ignoring Plaintiff’s response to bogus MTDs based on the doctrine of *res judicata* was failure to recognize precedents already set by SCOTUS.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

### *Honest Like Abe; Not Frivolous*

Petitioner alleges that through an obvious pattern of racketeering activity, conspiring Respondents, and John Doe Defendants who have not been dismissed in any court and are possibly pending amendment into the case, have been relentlessly attacking Petitioner and defrauding him of life, liberty, freedom, rights, time, money, relationships, and interstate to international business. Conspiring Respondents and John Doe Defendants, their criminal enterprise and racketeering activity have directly and indirectly caused serious injury and irreparable damage to the Petitioner and his businesses whose claim is brought pursuant to The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 (RICO), Title 18 USC §§ 1961 et seq., and more specifically under the civil law cause of action at § 1964(a)(c).

Evolving in severity over at least a decade, Defendants have been literally terrorizing Plaintiff in their conspiracy to sabotage and steal/control both business and personal life through incessant and illegal actions not limited to fraud, espionage, defamation, grand theft, harassment, stalking, threats, physical assault, entrapment, false imprisonment, and obstruction of justice. Multiple reports have been criminally obstructed and neglected at pretty much all law enforcement agencies and for no good reason.

Irreparable damages to Plaintiff include but are not limited to the killing of relationships, loss of business, money, property, and time, and creation and exacerbation health related issues; Defendants most recently caused Petitioner to break his foot and interfered with the healthcare process, then stole his car before taking the laptop, etc. Defendants are intentionally trying to bleed Plaintiff to death by a thousand cuts in the back while forcing him to watch as others abduct and rape his pioneering claims where starving him into submission has proved to be impossible. These cowards are hiding behind falsely perceived anonymity and trying to force literal death trap situations to make it look like Petitioner caused his own tragedy. Defendants' unwarranted actions are like a customized form of terroristic torture, which have been preventing Plaintiff from achieving what he has devoted a lifetime of both education and hard work. They are promoting losers from below and doing everything possible to disrupt Petitioner's honest existence. This is much more serious than many instances of attempted murder.

In effort to misdirect surveyors, Defendant actions have been adaptively responsive to Plaintiff complaints or hiding other suspicious activity not limited to the point of changing religious beliefs or practices and career directions since reporting to authorities and coerced publication. They are acting bipolar in many instances where it seems like they pretend to use their power for good but with truly self-serving intentions that Petitioner sees through, or are just foolish, so they fail, get mad, and take it out on Petitioner for no fair reason. Oppressive fools not only in positions of authority do not see past the framework, obstruct justice, and permit them to proceed. Obvious efforts

have been made to cover up crimes and misdirect others while fraudulently positioning Defendants specifically John Does where they would have rank over Plaintiff in areas they have no truly justifiable claim. A bunch of these frauds hardly graduated high school and are basically goons and others have degrees they do not deserve; people who only got into colleges based on grades altered by private schools etc. This is intolerable to Petitioner as it should be to all hard working and educated people.

Plaintiff owns, operates, and most importantly created several connected business ventures, all of which rely upon fair use of the Internet. The most damaging to development of business and personal growth since initial and illegal disabling abuse of power over Petitioner's social media accounts and communication technologies, a still present problem, is the dark cloud surrounding a major part of this complaint being domain name fraud also currently disrupting Plaintiff's life. When a business idea fails, Plaintiff maintains it until he has more resources, refocuses without repeating the same things expecting different results, and proceeds to move in other directions where resources may be more easily obtained. John Doe Defendants are unoriginal, trying to copy everything while cutting off and pushing Plaintiff out of his innovative life no matter what he does or where he goes. They simply cannot compete on a level playing field. Petitioner can not be fairly beat, so they imitate, frame, and try to cheat. Plaintiff has really been held up by all of this, for years, and it is literally killing him, and aside from illegal obstructions, mostly due to a dispute undoubtedly caused by suspects trying to steal, control, and defraud the Plaintiff of a dot com.

Money and power are the obvious motive, fueled by greed and envy, and evidence of original business files loaded with relevant information is attached to the Complaint. Timing makes certain suspects look reasonably guilty and simple subpoenas should further prove them to be in violation of the law if there is not enough evidence as is; however, testimony on top of everything already filed should be sufficient.

Respondents and John Doe Defendants have received money not only through unfair competition, but also from abuse of power theft exhibited in a very easy to understand paper trail proving not limited to money being stolen directly from the Plaintiff's "terminated" Chase bank account. That money still has not been returned and damage to nearly perfect credit at the time was done and remains. Defendants have also invested money and other resources into unfair competition connected to the enterprise, which has caused serious problems for the Plaintiff including basically everything endured from the predicate acts. Injury is of a personal, social, and commercial nature. The enterprise affects interstate commerce in that both the Plaintiff and Defendants' businesses are not just national, but also international.

Direct causation of damages is proven by clear and convincing facts and evidence. The injuries were proximately caused and would not have occurred but for the activity of the enterprise first noticed at Facebook, which is where the nexus to affairs connecting the conspiracy and pattern of racketeering activity appears to have emerged; however, the

repetitive pattern of attacks makes Petitioner question things from earlier in life, which could be answered in Discovery and amended as necessary. Regardless of were attacks may have technically stated, Facebook was the first recognizable enabler and the connection between Plaintiff's personal networks, all of which has been affected. Defendant John Does have only been able to commit many or most if not all offenses solely by virtue of their positions in the enterprise and connections to Respondent corporations where power is still being abused. Respondents are still violating rights on the daily and only making things worse. They are not communicating any demands with daily attacks and it is kind of crazy that The Courts have done nothing when accusations have not even been denied straight up.

The case is currently in a frozen state in the Ninth Circuit where emergency motions have not been responded to, which is obstruction of justice, disrupting DUE PROCESS, and causing more damages as if both Respondents and corrupt Courts think Petitioner is going to die, get entrapped, or forgive the unforgivable while submitting to peonage with Defendants not getting out of the way of this goodness. Respondents and corrupt court actors have been trying to cheat Petitioner out of his days in court through trickery that has probably plagued less intelligent pro se litigants for too long. Time to move forward.



## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

### *Human Rights + The Law of Our Land & Beyond*

**DUE PROCESS!** The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution each contain a Due Process clause. Due Process deals with the administration of justice and acts as a safeguard from arbitrary denial of life, liberty, or property by the government outside the sanction of law. Not limited to Central District Court and the Ninth Circuit violated this Constitutional right through unacceptable obstructions. No one should ever be victimized by The Court like this and punishment for obstructors should be a precedence setting or solidifying deterrent. Additionally, the court must grant equal due process rights to all pro se Petitioners in forma pauperis without the financial burden of unnecessarily printing and mailing documents that are just going to get scanned into a computer.

Defendant John Does reside in multiple states, districts, circuits, and countries. Not only is a national verdict necessary, but it should also help in International Court where Petitioner unfortunately anticipates foreseen foreign litigation. This case will surely affect the entire country and the world, in a most positive way assuming Petitioner is successful. Legal issues that are important to many people need clarification, and this case could potentially have more than Constitutional impact, which is necessary for modern times. Important questions affecting beyond the whole nation need answers.

SCOTUS must create or sustain a precedent that every court in the country has to follow for the wellbeing of the people, all of whom have a reliance upon an untainted justice system, secure communications and information technology. The law needs to be applied equally to all people including corporations no matter where they live or are located; at least in the United States. The Complaint, FAC, non-existent Appeal, and most specifically this Petition involve federal laws and our Constitution. Petitioner's rights, under the Bill of Rights, were worse than denied by lower courts, which is a seriously intolerable cruelty more probably intentional than error if not totally criminal.

Every year, the Supreme Court receives about 10,000 petitions for Writ of Certiorari, but only hears about 100 of them. This case is possibly the most relevant like number 1 in more than 10,000; probably 1 in 7,530,000,000. Conflicts of law are present, not just the doctrine of *res judicata* being used as a license to keep committing new counts of the same crime, but there must also be better clarification as to what constitutes or is lacking from successfully stating a claim if everyone is not full of it, which they most probably are and for which they should be punished. The Supreme Court has to step in and decide the law and this case so all areas of the country can then operate in unison. This case is most important, a major social issue, and more pertinent than unusual.

SCOTUS should also hear this case because lower courts disregarded past Supreme Court decisions and Constitutional rights, and therefore must be overruled. The Court must liberally construe the pro se allegations as the 1972 SCOTUS precedent *Haines v. Kerner* dictates. The Judiciary Act of 1789 states that "in all courts of the United States,

the parties may plead and manage their own causes personally." It follows that federal judges must respect the pro se litigants' right to represent themselves. Thus, the Supreme Court and Congress have means to remedy the problems with federal judges who disrespect and ignore the rights this Petitioner in pro per. Disrespectful neglect is certainly part of the reason Plaintiff is or was in need of appointment of [assistant] council, but also why obstruction of justice in acquiring representation or legal guidance has been a major obstacle since the beginning.

Not hearing this case would be another obstruction of justice for which Plaintiff would both suffer and file criminal RICO against not limited to Justices in District Court, which is certainly not of preference. Petitioner seeks resolution above suspicion that he was forced Supreme Court possibly to end the case with final illegal judgement where all corrupt actors probably think something like pardon from POTUS is their safety net. President Trump received no less than a million dollars from at least one of the main John Doe Suspects who is also invested in Defendants specifically Respondent Facebook who any voter on the platform at the time would probably agree is responsible for electing President Obama; very possibly Trump as well based on a thought process that he would return the favor with pardons or other support if Petitioner gets that far. President Trump supporting Defendants could be linked to felonious grounds for impeachment; however, there can be a final end to Respondent tyranny if his and the Justices' actions are in alignment with practicing what they preach.

By law, every federal judge must take an oath affirming to "administer justice without respect to person [or corporation], and do equal right to the poor and to the rich," and to "faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as judge under the Constitution and laws of the United States." Defendants and justice obstructors seem to be have been slowly pushing and preparing to taint the Supreme Court. Plaintiff filed in District Court for a trial not by jury specifically because of foreseen illegal jury selection and tampering and being able to hold a judge criminally accountable. Defendants then created a jury of corrupt one-sided judges all appearing to be cast like actors with predispositions to side with Defendants such as a rare openly gay magistrate judge being cast probably by a criminal clerk instead of randomly to defend the similar openly gay Tim Cook who was a Defendant in one of the state cases and could be amended back into a federal one. This is just an example and not an attack on homosexuals. Morally inferior criminals are violating Plaintiffs life and liberty.

Plaintiff with good reason more than suspects that crooked judges and fraud attorneys have been both bribed and promised obstructions and/or pardons as necessary. Furthermore, Plaintiff has made great effort to reach President Trump and surely connected with campaign and White House staff who started playing into name and number hacks similar to not only the Obama campaign but also shady clerks and judges filing things also in relation to reported nuisances where this type of intentional engagement with things being complained about could make the violator responsible for all claims through conspiracy regardless of proven collusion. President Trump is also

suspected to have appointed Justice Kavanaugh based on what Plaintiff refers to as a “name hack” and possibly to prove to corrupt people with deep pockets that he is willing try and stop this case. Plaintiff is certainly more intelligent than The President, possibly than all Justices and Respondents/Defendants. If this case is not heard, Plaintiff will start filing new RICO criminal over civil claims not limited to obstruction of justice including worse than felony charges against The President and with enough evidence for a conviction, which could very possibly lead to impeachment, and/or removal of justice obstructing Justices where there are surely plenty of firms more willing to take down President Trump than who are not so interested in going after current Respondents.

With all due respect because no decisions have been made in this court, and Petitioner believes in writing the future can create change making differences, this case can rid our society of a toxic culture that must be terminated while making a statement that the American dream is still very much alive. Petitioner and Defendants in collaboration could end and prevent present and future crimes not limited to on the Internet. SCOTUS can affirm that all citizens have the same rights while shedding new lights on grey areas of the law and discrimination such as false entitlement based on birth order, ageism, relationship or parental status, and religious intolerance. Corruption within law enforcement and the Department of Justice can be deterred if not terminated. Doctors aligned with pharmaceutical and companies can forced to focus on cures over shady ways to keep billing patients etc. The People will see that justice is possible and that there are legal means for peaceful resolution to the most serious of conflicts that could

have turned out extremely violent. Have we not seen enough negativity in the news? How about a story about brain power turning seemingly inevitable tragedy into triumph and justice for future generations? There is much more to possibly discuss or amend. Do not deny this righteousness. Trust the Petitioner to make America great again.

Plaintiff voted for both President Trump and Obama, and still has faith in the Justice System and the Justices, but most importantly in true justice above all. Plaintiff voted for our President over Clinton for two main reasons: 1) More likely to uphold the Constitution; specifically complete rights to this case based on support for the Second Amendment where opponent was not on the same page, and 2) Trump is a businessman who would not turn his back on the value of cannabis hemp; at least medical marijuana, which plays a major role in Petitioner's current legal media business and its opportunity for future growth. Moreover, President Trump should be wise enough not to jump into sunken Respondent battleships because he already has enough scandals on his plate. This case is not about our President or Justices nor should it or future litigation be.

Plaintiff originally proposed a very thoughtful solution in the original Complaint and has offered Defendants generous equity in exchange for what should be record breaking direct deposits. Equity prevents nationwide to international investors unaffiliated with the criminal aspects of the enterprise from seeing any loss. The offer is still on the settlement table, but only until Plaintiff can see the finish line in this has been a slam dunk case since before 2014. Plaintiff does not intend to settle for anything other than

not pressing criminal charges. Defendants must be punished as to deter from future wrongdoing. They should be faced with a choice between termination of their business and freedom, or in taking serious dents to their finances and power plus their loss of the domain name that should not be in possession of anyone other than Petitioner. Respondents and John Doe Defendants for no legit reason more than tried to take the Plaintiff's life, time, freedom, business, money, relationships, and physical property. They literally tried to take everything and therefore should be required to give up anything. Plaintiff, opposed to all other evil parties, is good, a proven provider of solutions, and will put the money, domain name, and power to righteous use making the world a better place mostly from the security of new castle on The Mountain where walls certainly work. Please open the flood gates of communication, ask your own questions if there is any doubt or missing information, or permit access to the next levels. Seriously, ask Petitioner about anything where forty pages formatted like twenty has to be enough to prove why this case must be heard by SCOTUS, but is hardly space to write about recent violations on top of many years of obstruction and their criminal foundation. Justices, our nation, and the world will be pleasantly surprised, enlightened, and prepared for universal justice.

## CONCLUSION

### *Due Process & Justice For All*

Times have changed and precedences must be set or at least maintained in order to uphold the sanctity of our Constitution and liberty. The United States won the final World War with the advent of the Internet, which our government owns, but certainly does not control. It can however be regulated for the best interests of all without being used to criminally attack or traffick humans. Private citizens controlling corporate interests must not own or govern our country and the universe. We need checks and balances that extend to Respondent corporations run by the most wealthy people with enough money and power to bribe anyone, to rig, taint, or sway elections, and even to corrupt the Department of Justice. This case can create order where it does not but must exist. Respondent CEOs are not God other than possibly we are all our own Gods and therefore they must stop acting like they own the universe. This is a case of not only national, but also international and possibly intergalactic importance. For the sake of civil society, for the best interests of humanity and our nation, Constitutional rights must be upheld; therefore, Petition for *Writ of Certiorari* absolutely must be GRANTED as demanded by the law is JUSTICE.

Respectfully Submitted,

  
/s/ RUSSELL ROPE 05/06/2019  
*Petitioner & Plaintiff In Pro Per*