

No. 19-5565

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

CARLOS MIGUEL PEREZ, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov
(202) 514-2217

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 19-5565

CARLOS MIGUEL PEREZ, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

Petitioner contends (Pet. 7-8) that his conviction for possessing a firearm and ammunition as a felon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2), is infirm because the courts below did not recognize that knowledge of status is an element of that offense. In Rehaif v. United States, 139 S. Ct. 2191 (2019), this Court held that the mens rea of knowledge under Sections 922(g) and 924(a)(2) applies “both to the defendant’s conduct and to the defendant’s status.” Id. at 2194. Accordingly, the appropriate course is to grant the petition for a writ of certiorari, vacate

the decision below, and remand the case for further consideration in light of Rehaif.*

Respectfully submitted.

NOEL J. FRANCISCO
Solicitor General

SEPTEMBER 2019

* The government waives any further response to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless this Court requests otherwise.