

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Opinion..... A

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

May 10, 2019

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 17-41245  
Conference Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JUAN PABLO REVELO SALCEDO, also known as Valderrama,

Defendant-Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:15-CR-155-6  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before HIGGINSON, COSTA, and HO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Juan Pablo Revelo Salcedo has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Revelo Salcedo has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Revelo Salcedo's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 17-41245

without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Revelo Salcedo's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Revelo Salcedo's motion for an application and order for Title III intercepts is DENIED.