

Case No. 19-545


THE SUPREME COURT  
OF THE UNITED  
STATES

Jean Coulter, Petitioner  
v.  
Gerri Volchko Paulisick  
and  
Joseph R. Paulisick, Respondents

On Petition for Certiorari  
to the United States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

Petition for Rehearing

Jean Coulter, Petitioner  
620 Butler Crossing #3  
PMB 172  
Butler, Pennsylvania 16001  
412-616-9505  
jeanecoulter@yahoo.com



**Basis for the Request for Rehearing**

Pursuant to Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Part VIII. Disposition of Cases, Rule 44. Rehearing, Section 2 :

"its grounds shall be limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented."

Petitioner Coulter has previously raised the Issue that the matter under consideration at this time, was decided on the basis of a judge protecting another member of the Justice Us System – rather than an example of situation where one Party disagrees with a legitimate decision by a Judge seeking to bring about Justice for the Parties. However, This Honorable Court has never been presented with the stark reality of the true breadth of this form of corruption of the Justice System. And, it is believed that **members of the judiciary do not truly comprehend the extent of the repercussions of the fact that not "merely" judges are in a position where they "enjoy" the impunity to act without fear of consequences for even clearly criminal actions, simply because of their "connection" to the Just Us System.**

**Discussion**

In the dissenting opinion for In re Griffiths, 413 US 717 - Supreme Court 1973, Mr. Chief Justice Berger observed :

"The role of a lawyer as an officer of the court predates the Constitution; ... always within—never outside—the law... That this is often unenforceable, that departures from it remain

undetected, and that judges and bar associations have been singularly tolerant of misdeeds of their brethren,

**... The crucial factor in all these cases is that the advocates performed their dual role—officer of the court and advocate for a client— strictly within and never in derogation of high ethical standards.**

There is thus a reasonable, rational basis for a State to conclude that persons owing first loyalty to this country will grasp these traditions and apply our concepts more than those who seek the benefits of American citizenship while declining to accept the burdens of citizenship in this country."

(emphasis added)

This underlying principle, perhaps, explains the origins of the current concept, that there are more than just rare occasions when the "misdeeds" of certain members of the Justice System must be overlooked – on the theory that misdeeds by judges, lawyers (and even Law Enforcement) must be over-looked, regardless of the reasons for that "member's" improper acts.

**When this concept is extended to the judge's or lawyer's (or officer's) personal lives as well, the dangers of any such System become patently obvious.**

I doubt that Mr. Chief Justice Berger would have ever imagined the current Issues presented by the systematic corruption of the Justice System – which are the result of the extension of "tolerance" beyond "merely" "the misdeeds of their brethren" (and even encompass occasions when their brethren are acting

outside of their role in the search for genuine "justice") – particularly as it has become so accepted at all levels of the System, that those protected by its influence, extend far beyond the judiciary, and even beyond "official" members of this branch of our government.

The Extent of the "Web of Lawful Injustice"<sup>1</sup>

Jurists

Indeed, The System does not "only" protect the Judiciary. In addition to the impunity being extended to each of the **Nine (9) U. S. Supreme Court Justices**, as well as each and every one of the nation's **One Hundred Seventy-Nine (179) Federal Appellate Court Judges** and **Six Hundred Seventy-Seven (677) District Court Judges** the traditional concept of the Just Us System also extends to all **Three Hundred Fifty (350) U. S. Bankruptcy Court Judges** and the **Five Hundred Seventy-Nine (579) Magistrate Judges**<sup>2</sup> as well. Additionally, each State Court Judge is afforded the same "courtesy" – with that meaning that approximately **another Three Thousand<sup>3</sup> (3,000) State Court Judges** (at various levels) are also part of the "Just Us System".

Attorneys

Further, the impunity has been extended to attorneys as well – and this adds **One Million Three Hundred Thirty Eight Thousand Six**

---

<sup>1</sup> I remember seeing a book with this title on my Father's bookshelf (he too was a lawyer).

<sup>2</sup> [https://ballotpedia.org/United\\_States\\_federal\\_courts](https://ballotpedia.org/United_States_federal_courts)

<sup>3</sup>

[https://iaals.du.edu/sites/default/files/documents/publications/judicial\\_faq.pdf](https://iaals.du.edu/sites/default/files/documents/publications/judicial_faq.pdf)

**Hundred Seventy-Eight<sup>4</sup> (1,338,678) Lawyers in the United States who have come to expect, and receive, the benefits of the “Just Us System”.**

**Law Enforcement**

And even members of Law Enforcement have found that they can avail themselves of the benefits of the “Just Us System” as well. The estimates of the number of members of Law Enforcement, who are also beneficiaries of the extension of the “singular[] toleran[ce] of misdeeds of their brethren”, adds an additional **Six Hundred Eighty-Six Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-Five (686,665)<sup>5</sup> Law Enforcement Officers.**

**Then there are the “Friends and Family” Members**

However, it would be naive to expect that individual members of the “Just Us System” do not extend their protection to close associates (in the Police Department, etc.) and family members. In the Instant Matter, Respondents’ have been asked to accept responsibility for their decision to inform Coulter’s Brother of damages to the house – as well as the subsequent thefts by Coulter’s brother, when Respondents informed Coulter’s Sister-in-law that the alarm system would be “dead” because the power line was brought to the ground (when Respondent’s tree (for the third time) caused significant damage to Respondent’s house). This occurred, quite simply, because both Coulter’s brother, and Respondent Gerri Paulisick are lawyers. And Coulter’s Brother

---

4

[www.abajournal.com/news/article/lawyer\\_population\\_15\\_higher\\_than\\_10\\_years\\_ago\\_new\\_aba\\_data\\_shows](http://www.abajournal.com/news/article/lawyer_population_15_higher_than_10_years_ago_new_aba_data_shows)

5 <https://www.statista.com/statistics/191694/number-of-law-enforcement-officers-in-the-us/>

reciprocated, refusing to "join" in Coulter's attempt to recover for the damages caused by Paulisicks (as one of them is one of Coulter's Brother's "brethren".)

For the "average" member of the "Just Us System", perhaps only their spouse and child(ren) constitute the extent of their Web of Friends and Family – for a total of perhaps six (6) "related" people for each "official" member of the Just Us System".

But for some, sweeping "unrelated" others into this "plan", apparently has become almost second nature. Indeed, Respondent's Brother has extended the reach of his "Web" to also include each of his Wife's three (3) Sisters as well as the sister's Husbands and Children – as is utilized when his Wife wanted to "gift" her siblings with a portion of the proceeds from Coulter's deceased Mother's personal assets. The Brother's Wife is very generous with her Sisters (conscious that they did not marry an "up and coming young lawyer" the way she did. Unfortunately, for Coulter and her Sister, the largess which Coulter's Sister-In-Law distributes to her Sisters (and their Families), is funded, in equal parts, from Coulter's (and her Sister's) pockets as well as that of the Brother's Family. So, for Coulter's Brother, the web encompasses nearly eighteen (18) "related" individuals.

So, perhaps it's more "accurate" – to consider that for each "official" member of the "Just Us System" (Judges, Lawyers and Police) – there are actually six (6) more who enjoy the benefits of impunity within the courts' System.

Therefore, to calculate the complete scope of the problem created by the decision to display "forbearance" to the misdeeds of the current group of

"brethren", we must multiply the total of "official" members, by six (6), or :

**Federal Judges' Webs**

$$((9 + 179 + 677 + 350 + 579) \times 6) = \\ 1,794 \times 6 = 10,764$$

**State Judges' Webs**

$$(3000 \times 6) = 18,000$$

**Lawyers' Webs**

$$(1,338,678 \times 6) = 8,032,068$$

**Law Enforcement's Web**

$$(686,665 \times 6) = 4,119,990$$

Coulter asks that you "take notice" that the **"Web of Lawful Injustice" currently spreads its cape of influence around more than 12,000,000 (twelve million) individuals** (assuming that the Web surrounds just six (6) Friends and Family, for each "official member of the "Web".

It is a sobering thought to realize that the estimated current population of the entire United States was Three Hundred Twenty-Seven Million Two Hundred Thousand (327,200,000) people. Therefore, the "Web" of the "Just Us System", so this actually means that **nearly four percent (4%) of Americans feel confident that they can behave any way that they chose - confident that the "Just Us System" will protect them from any attack resulting from their wrong-doings!**

Can there be any wonder then why the Justice System in this country is held in such low regard by the extreme majority of Americans?

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jean Coulter

Jean Coulter, Petitioner

I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is  
presented in good faith and not for delay.



Jean Coulter  
Jean Coulter, Petitioner  
620 Butler Crossing #3, PMB 172  
Butler, Pennsylvania 16001  
412-616-9505  
jeanecoulter@yahoo.com