NO. ___ - _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DARRYL DEWAYNE WILLIAMS,

Petitioner - Applicant

V.

LORI DAVIS, Director, Texas Department of Criminal Justice,

Respondent - Appellee

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS, FIFTH CIRCUIT

MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME

(Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.3)

April 26, 2019

NOW INTO COURT:

COMES DARRLY DEWAYE WILLIAMS, Petitioner, and would respectfully
present this pro se motion to enlarge time and inso would show
the following:

I.

JURISDICTION

Applicant (Williams) appealed the Western District Court's
decision to deny him relief into the Fifth Circuit Court of
Appeals which was denied on February 25, 2019. Williams now
appeals to this Honorable Court. This Court has jurisdiction

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1257(a) as Williams is appealing a final

judgment out of the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals

juxtaposed with Supreme Court Rule 30.3.

II.
SPECIFICS

Williams is an inmate at the Clements Unit in Potter County,

Texas pursuant to his conviction for delivery of a controlled

substance; to wit: cocaine, in a school zone (habitual) out of

the 19th Judicial District Court where he was sentenced to a

25-99 or life sentence of penal servitude in cause no.: W-17-

CA-132.

As a Texas prisoner, access to the unit law library is limited,

at best to two hours per day, five days a week, ten hours a week.

giving the nature of the issues now ripe for appeal, vast

research is required.

It should be noted that legal research at the Clements unit is

arduous, restrictive, and time intensive. E.g., the last Supreme

Court volume "on the shelf" is the 125th, (2005). Beyond this,

an inmate must shepardize cases which nets a page of case cites,

received the following day, which, if beyond the confines of "the

shelf;" the law library allows one to electronically order up to

three of those cases, also received the following day. If these

cases fail to meet the needs of the researcher, he may order

three more cases, received the following day, on and on.

Moreover, Williams has elicited the assistance of a fellow

inmate. See In re Bonilla, 424 S.W. 3d 528 (2014) (The State of

Texas allows "jailhouse lawyers" with an inmate helping inmates

only).

policy. Regardless, law library officials cannot excuse inmates from work or school to have legal visits; therefore, giving that Williams and his assistant both work for the prison, at different and conflicting hours, they are limited to two, two-hour sessions per week. The totality of the circumstances require Williams to move the Court to enlarge his time in order to perfect his appeal.

Williams understands that time extensions are disfavored; however, Williams' restraints are numerous and formidable; therefore, he requests the Court's patience and the Court's understanding that he moves in good faith.

Williams prays this Honorable Court will grant him an additional sixty (60) days to perfect his writ of certiorari so as to better his chances for review.

SO PRAYED this 26th day of April, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

Daryll Dewayne Williams
TDCJ #1910015
Clements Unit
9601 Spur 591
Amarillo, TX
79107

3.

April 26, 2019

Office of the Clerk
U.S. Supreme Court
Washington, DC 20543-0001

RE: Motion to Enlarge Time

Dear Honorable Court Clerk:

On February 25, 2019, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals denied
my COA and I intend to appeal that decision into this Honorable
Court. Unfortunately, the issues at bar are convoluted and as a
prisoner in Texas, legal research is quite difficult. Nonetheless,
I am in need to file a motion to enlarge time, much to the dis-
favor of the Court. As much as I loath to ask for such a request,
the circumstances force me to this. Please find and file this
motion for judicial review. Thank you for your time and energy
in this matter. With warm and sincere regards.

Sincerly,

Darryl Dewayne Williams
TDCJ #1910015
Clements Unit
9601 Spur 591
Amarillo, TX
79107