


No. 19-5393

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Joanna M. Eiermann — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Lawrence R. Bowers et. al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Joanna M. Eiermann
(Your Name)

523 W. 3rd St.
(Address)

Washington, MO 63090
(City, State, Zip Code)

636-667-2252
(Phone Number)

Question (s) Presented:

1. Is my 9th amendment right being violated, by the Federal Appeals court by ignoring the fact that the State Court Justice, Richard G Stearns dismissed my complaint, making the disparaging remark my allegations are "bizarre assertions", without allowing for a review of my supporting documents, which were provided to the appeals court?
2. Are the Federal Appeals Court and the State Court of Massachusetts ignoring my right to the discovery of facts, supporting my allegations in that the defendants can provide information, which would allow for discovery of an inheritance promised to me by way of an irrevocable trust from Dr. Urban H. Eversole and of the whereabouts of his beloved wife's remains, Madge J. Eversole (my Great Aunt)?

Joanna M. Eiermann

LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Lawrence R. Bowers, Attorney / EXECUTOR
Lahey Clinic Foundation, Inc., a/k/a Lahey
Hospital and Medical Center

RELATED CASES

1. United States Court of Appeals
For the First Circuit No. 18-2222
2. United States District Court
District of Massachusetts
Civil Action No. 18-11762-RGS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	4
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	6
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	7
CONCLUSION.....	8

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Judgement, May 1, 2019 United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.
APPENDIX B	Order of Dismissal, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases

Page Number

None that I know of

N/A

Statute and Rules

1. 9th Amendment, "The enumeration in the constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people".

2. Crime Victims' Rights Act 18 U.S.C. 3771; Crime Victim's Rights

(8) The right to be treated with fairness and with respect for the victim's dignity and privacy.

3. Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure 26 (b)(1)

"Parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, whether it relates to the claim or defense of the party seeking discovery or to the claim or defense of any other party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any books, documents, or other tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of any discoverable matter. It is not ground for objection that the information sought will be inadmissible at the trial if the information sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence."

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was May 1st, 2019.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____A____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Nov. 7, 2018.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____A____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. 9th Amendment Individual Rights of the Constitution.
2. Crime Victims' Rights Act 18 U.S.C. 3771
3. Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure 26 (b) (1)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. I have a right to be treated fairly, with respect to my dignity.
2. I, Joanna M. Eiermann, am related by blood to Dr. Urban Harris Eversole, who is my great Uncle. I have a right to discovery of information, which may lead to the recovery of a promised inheritance and the remains of his beloved wife, Madge J. Eversole.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION


I sought and received the legal advice of professional investigators, law enforcement and attorneys regarding my complaint against the defendants. I was told due to lack of funds and lack of interest that my complaint was not worth pursuing for them.

It is of National Importance for a United States Citizen to be able to represent themselves in a legal complaint, without prejudice or discrimination due to lack of money, economic status or education.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,


Joanna M. Eiermann

Date: July 23, 2019