



IN THE
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
18A 950
CIRCUIT NO. 17-2185

CHARLES QUATRINE JR.
V PETITIONER,
MARY BERGHUIS,
RESPONDENT.



DEAR CLERK OF THE U.S. SUPREME CT.

PLEASE FIND THE ENCLOSED FOR FILING:
PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF
COUNSEL AND AN EXTENTION ~~TIME~~
OF TIME TO FILE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI.
ALONG WITH PROOF OF SERVICE.

PLEASE INFORM ME OF ANY DELINQUENCIES,
OR INACCURACIES, FOR CORRECTION.

CHARLES QUATRINE JR.
17512 MARTIN RD.
ROSEVILLE, MT
48066
586-944-7330

THANK YOU, FOR YOUR
ASSISTANCE.

SINCERELY,
A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Charles Quatrine Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

CHARLES QUATRINE

FEBRUARY 11, 2019

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

NO. _____

6TH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 17-2185

CHARLES QUATRINE, JR.

PETITIONER,

v

MARY BERGHUIS,

RESPONDENT.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
AND EXTENSION FOR TIME TO FILE CERTIORARI

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (e)(1)

CHARLES QUATRINE, JR.
PETITIONER IN PRO SE
17512 MARTIN RD
ROSELLE, MT 48066

DATE: FEBRUARY 11, 2019



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

6TH CIRCUIT: CASE NO. 17-2185

CHARLES QUATRINE, JR.
PETITIONER,

✓

MARY BERGHUIS,
RESPONDENT.

MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL AND
TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE WITH BRIEF IN SUPPORT

PETITIONER CHARLES QUATRINE, JR, REQUESTS
THAT THIS COURT GRANT HIS MOTION FOR THE
FOLLOWING REASONS:

1. DEFENDANT IS INDIGENT AND WITHOUT
RESOURCES TO RETAIN COUNSEL.
2. THE ISSUE AT HAND IS A COMPLEX
AND UNUSUAL CIRCUIT COURT, CONFLICT OF LAW.
3. PETITIONER HAS ONLY RECENTLY BEEN
RELEASED FROM PRISON AFTER SERVING 14 YEARS
FOR A CRIME HE DID NOT COMMIT

4. PETITIONER HAS BEEN ASSIGNED A HIGHLY RESTRICTED PAROLE, WITH NO MEANING ACCESS TO THE INTERNET FOR RESEARCH, AND COMPLETE RESTRICTION FROM A HOME COMPUTER.

5. PETITIONER HAS NO TRANSPORTATION TO A LAW LIBRARY

6. PETITIONER'S MOTHER AN, ELDERLY WOMAN, USED HER LIFE'S SAVINGS TO RETAIN AN ATTORNEY WHO PROFESSED TO BEING AN EXPERIENCED FEDERAL CRIMINAL ATTORNEY, ONLY LATER IT WAS DISCOVERED 'GARY STRAUSS' WAS A REAL ESTATE ATTORNEY WHO SUBMITTED A 6TH CIRCUIT BRIEF ALMOST DEVOID OF ALL THE FACTS SUPPLIED TO HIM. HE THEN WITHDREW BEFORE FILING A RESPONSE BRIEF, WHEN FURTHER DEMANDS FOR FUNDS WERE NOT MET. THIS LEFT THE PETITIONER ON HIS OWN TO FILE A HAND-WRITTEN RESPONSE AND MOTION TO REHEAR.

Cont.

SAME TIME, THE SAME COURT DENIED RELIEF TO THE PETITIONER.

THE CASE: HOLBROOK V CURTIN, 833 F3d 612, OVERTURNED THE DECISION IN PETITIONER'S CASE ONLY "SEVEN" DAYS LATER. (AUGUST 15, 2016) EVEN MORE DISTURBING, WAS THE MANDATE IN QUATRINE V. BURGHAUS, WAS ISSUED "AFTER" THE HOLBROOK OPINION, WHICH REVERSED THE QUATRINE OPINION:

THIS CONDENSED OUTLINE IS BUT A SNIPET ~~OF~~ OF SOME UNUSUAL RULINGS.

PETITIONER, QUATRINE HAS NEITHER THE SKILLFUL KNOWLEDGE NOR THE RESOURCES, NOR THE ACCESS TO ANY MATERIALS OF LAW TO ARTICULATE THE CONSTITUTIONAL ANOMALIES TO THIS SUPERIOR COURT.

IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, PETITIONER PRAYS UPON THIS COURT TO ASSIGN COUNSEL TO ALLOW HIM A PUNCHER'S CHANCE TO EXONERATE HIMSELF.

7. PETITIONER IS INNOCENT AND HAS SPENT OVER 14 YEARS IN PRISON AFTER AN ARREST, TRIAL AND CONVICTION, FRAUGHT WITH CONSTITUTIONAL ERR.

8. THE FRIVOLOUS BARRING OF HIS WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, MEANS THE PETITIONER HAS BEEN DENIED HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PRESENT INCONTRAVERTIBLE EVIDENCE OF HIS INNOCENCE.

9. THE MANDATE IN THE 6TH CIRCUIT FOR THIS CASE WAS ISSUED JAN 13, 2019

BRIEF IN SUPPORT

PETITIONER, CHARLES QUATRINE, JR., HAS TRULY NEVER RECEIVED HIS DAY IN COURT. THE DETAILS OF HIS TRIAL ARE SO ALARMING, EVEN A CURSORY GLANCE OF THE RECORD, IS CAUSE FOR CONCERN.

ALL THAT IS NECESSARY FOR THE CORRECTION OF AN EGREGIOUS ERR, IS A COMPETANT COUNSEL TO SORT OUT THE COMPLEXITIES OF A VERY UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE, WHICH INVOLVES A CHANGE IN LAW, ~~WHICH~~ WHICH FAVORED THE PETITIONER, THAT WAS ENACTED AT THE VERY

PETITIONER CHARLES QUATRINE MUST ENDURE A SUFFOCATING AND HIGHLY STIPULATED, PAROLE WHICH IS A 2-YEAR HOUSE ARREST. HE IS RESTRICTED AND CONFINED TO HIS RESIDENCE OTHER THAN TO HIS PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT, AND A FEW HOURS ON SUNDAYS, WHICH WITHOUT TRANSPORTATION OR AN OPEN LAW LIBRARY, IS MEANINGLESS.

EVEN WITH ANY SUCH ACCESS TO LEGAL MATERIALS, PETITIONER WOULD HAVE NO IDEA HOW TO APPROACH, AN UNPRECEDENTED OCCURRENCE IN THE 6TH CIRCUIT.

THE MANDATE FOR THE 6TH CIRCUIT OPINION IN THIS CASE WAS ISSUED JANUARY 16, 2019 LEAVING PETITIONER, HELPLESS TO PREPARE FOR A PETITION TO THIS HIGH COURT, HENCE THE REQUEST FOR AN EXTENTION OF TIME.

THE EXTRA TIME PREFERABLY (60) SIXTY DAYS WOULD ALLOW A CAPABLE ATTORNEY TO PREPARE.

IN PRISON, PETITIONER WAS AIDED BY JAILHOUSE LAWYERS. EVEN THOUGH THEIR ASSISTANCE WAS CRUDE AND OFTEN MISGUIDED, IT WAS BETTER THAN NOTHING, NOW, EVEN THIS HELP IS NOT AVAILABLE.

AS NOTED PETITIONER'S MOTHER WAS MISLED BY AN UNQUALIFIED ATTORNEY WHO ADVERTISED THAT HE WOULD REPRESENT HER SON FOR \$2,500⁰⁰ WHICH, LATER TURNED INTO \$5,000⁰⁰, AND THEN A REQUEST FOR MORE, AS MENTIONED PETITIONER'S MOTHER IS ELDERLY AND LIVING ON A FIXED INCOME AND HAD NOTHING LEFT TO GIVE.

PETITIONER, BELIEVES AN ATTORNEY APTISED OF FEDERAL CRIMINAL LITIGATION, COULD HAVE SUCCESSFULLY FACILITATED RELIEF IN THE 6TH CIRCUIT, BUT THEIR RETAINERS WERE CONSISTANTLY IN THE \$30⁰⁰-40,000⁰⁰ RANGE, LONG OUT OF RANGE OF THIS PETITIONER'S FAMILY RESOURCES. ~~RENEW~~

CONCLUSION

PETITIONER, CHARLES QUATRINE, JR. IS NOT ASKING THIS HONORABLE COURT FOR THE MOON, ONLY FOR SOME SEMBLANCE OF SANITY. THE CONDUCT OF THE MUNICIPALITIES AND COURT OFFICIALS IN THIS ACTION, HAS BEEN SHAMEFUL, AND NOW AN INNOCENT AND INDIGENT PETITIONER, WILL BE FACED WITH A MOST UNENVIABLE TASK, OF TRYING TO PERSUADE THIS SUPERIOR COURT, TO RIGHT A TERRIBLE WRONG. PETITIONER IS A VOICE CRYING OUT FROM THE DESERT, PRAYING THAT COMMON DECENCY, IF NOT COMMON SENSE WILL PREVAIL.

PETITIONER UNDERSTANDS THAT THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A "SLAM DUNK", BUT THE EVIDENCE THAT IS BEING SURPRESSED BY THE COURTS, IS AS CLOSE AS IT GETS, WHEN IT COMES TO EXPOSING A FRAUDULANT CONVICTION, AND GOING 20 YEARS OF LOST LIFE,

RELIEF REQUESTED

PETITIONER, CHARLES QUATRINE, JR.,
RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THIS HONORABLE COURT
TO GRANT HIS MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT
OF COUNSEL, AND AN EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE, PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1)

THE SIXTH CIRCUIT OPINION WAS ISSUED
JANUARY 2, 2019 AND THE FINAL MANDATE
JANUARY 16, 2019 CASE NO. 17-2185.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Charles Quatrine

PROOF OF SERVICE

I CHARLES QUATRINE, SUBMIT I SERVED A COPY
OF THIS FILING TO THE ATTORNEY FOR THE RESPONDENT
ON FEBRUARY 11, 2019, THROUGH THE U.S.P.O. TO THE
ADDRESS BELOW.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

P.O. BOX 30217 LANSING MI. 48909

(7)

Charles Quatrine