

19-5341

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATE

NO 18A924

ORIGINAL

Roshard Whitehead, pro se
Petitioner

v.

mark INCH

Respondent

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

MAY 08 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

ON Petition For writ OF certiorari
TO The SUPREME COURT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Roshard Whitehead B10144
Jefferson Correctional Institution
1050 BIG Joe Road
MONTICELLO, FLORIDA 32344

No. 18A924

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Roshard Whitehead rose — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

mark inch — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Roshard Whitehead

(Your Name)

Okeechobee Correctional Institution

3420 N. E. 168th St

(Address)

Okeechobee Florida 34972

(City, State, Zip Code)

None

(Phone Number)

1

QUESTION PRESENTED

QUESTION ONE

This question comes national importance of constitutional law of the United States Constitution and the Florida Constitution.

Whether all courts overlooked Federal law and U.S. Constitution when they overlooked, under the exclusionary rule evidence obtained in an encounter that is in violation of the 4th amendment of the Constitution including the direct product of police misconduct and evidence derived from the illegal conduct or fruit of the poisonous tree can not be used in a criminal trial. Against the victim of the illegal search and seizure U.S. v. Perkins 348 F.3d 965; the petitioner is protected by the U.S.C.A. 5; U.S.C.A. 14; DOYLE v. OHIO, 96 S. Ct 2240.

QUESTION TWO

Whether all courts overlooked Federal law and U.S. Constitutional law when they overlooked the Fifth Amendment violation. The 5th amendment prohibits a prosecutor from commenting directly or indirectly on a defendant's failure to testify GRIFFIN v. CALIFORNIA 380 U.S. 609; Darden v. Wainwright 477 U.S. 168 quoting Donnelly v. DeChristoforo 416 U.S. 637; State v. DiGiulio 491 2d 1129, 1139; Chapman v. California 386 U.S. 18.

QUESTION THREE

Whether all courts overlooked Federal Law and U.S. Constitution when they overlooked Federal Rule 3 and 4.

which state "Provide that and written sworn complaint
1. setting forth the essential facts constituting the offense
charge see Giordenello v. U.S. 357 U.S. 480; 78 S.Ct 1245;
28 U.S.C.A. Pugoch v. Klein 193 F.Supp 630 639-639j
US v. Blierley 331 F.Supp 1182; 1183. the Federal
courts require strict compliance with the requirement
of Rule 3 see Brown v. Duggan 329 F.Supp 207

LIST OF PARTIES

ALL parties appear in the caption of the case on
the cover page

TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
OPINIONS BELOW	5
JURISDICTION	5
CONSTITUTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	6
CONCLUSION	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Decision of the ELEVENTH circuit
APPENDIX B	Motion For Extension OF TIME
APPENDIX C	Motion For Reconsideration COA
APPENDIX D	Motion For Extension OF TIME
APPENDIX E	Motion For Extension OF TIME
APPENDIX F	Motion For COA
APPENDIX G	Motion Granted Extension OF TIME
APPENDIX H	Notice OF APPEAL
APPENDIX I	Petition For Extension OF TIME
APPENDIX J	Objection to the magistrate Report
APPENDIX K	transcript order INFORMATION

APPENDIX L NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

APPENDIX M NOTICE OF APPEAL

APPENDIX N FINAL JUDGMENT SOUTHERN DISTRICT

APPENDIX O motion for extension time

APPENDIX P Report of magistrate Judge

APPENDIX Q ANSWER to show cause

APPENDIX R 2254

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE #
U.S. v Perkins 348 F.3d 965	2
DOYLE v OHIO 96 S.Ct. 2240	2
GRIFFIN v California 380 U.S. 609	2
Darden v Wainwright 477 U.S. 168	2
Donnelly v Dechristoforo 416 U.S. 637	2
STATE v DIGUILIO 491 2d 1129, 1139	2
Chapman v CALIFORNIA 396 U.S. 18	2
Gordenello v US 357 U.S. 480	3
Pugach v Klein 193 F. Supp. 630 at 638-639	3
U.S. v BLIERLEY 331 F. Supp. 1182 at 1183	3
BROWN v Dugg 329 F. Supp. 207	3

STATUTES and RULES

Federal Rule 3	2
Federal Rule 4	2, 3

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATE

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari
ISSUE to review the judgement below.

OPINIONS BELOW

FOR CASES FROM Federal courts:

The opinion of the United State Court of Appeals appears at appendix "A" to The petition and is reported at 2018 US. APP. Lexis 19706 Whitehead v Fla Dep't Corr.

The opinion of United State district courts APPERS at appendix "N" Reported at 2017 US Dist Lexis 191978 Whitehead v Jones

JURISDICTION

FOR CASES FROM Federal court

The date on which the United State Court of Appeals was decided my case was 7-17-2018

many extension of time was Filed the LAST extension of time granted TO included 7-17-2019

The Jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C § 1257(a)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

US CONST III

US CONST VI

18 U.S.C.A

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner Filed many motions petitions to many different courts see Appendix also said Lead Det Leeanh SCHEIDER was arrested FOR Forgery of police arrest affidavit in about 75 cases with petitioner case been one of the cases that was Filed in her Information

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioner states this is a problem that has National Importance that is covered in Federal Rule 3 and 4 and The Constitution of the United States that must be followed see above. petitioner requested this court to do what ever deem under the constitution of the United States. there About 75+ people that this will effect and National many more

CONCLUSION

The petition For a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Rashard Whitehead B16144

Jefferson Correctional Institution

1050 BIG JOE Road

Monticello, FL 32344