ORIGINAL

No. 19-5285

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SUPREME COURT, U.S.
FILED
OCT 21 2019
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

DANIEL LUKE MEIER— PETITIONER

VS.

ALLSTATE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE CORP.

AND AMANDA MEGAN BERGER — RESPONDENT(S)

ON

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Daniel Luke Meier
P.O. Box 1146
Farmington, MI
48332
(248) 991-7023
lmeier265@yahoo.com

Petitioner

Darwin L. Burke, Jr. (P55496)
Ruggirello, Velardo, Novara & Ver Beek, P.C.
65 Southbound Gratiot Avenue
Mt. Clemens MI 48043
(586) 469-8660 // Fax (586) 463-6997
dburke@rvnvlaw.com
wmazur@rvnvlaw.com

Mark J. Zausmer (P31721)
Bryan R. Padgett (P72948)
Zausmer, August, Caldwell, P.C.
31700 Middlebelt Road, Suite 150
Farmington Hills, MI 48334
(248)851-4111 // Fax (248) 821-0100
bpadgett@zacfirm.com

Counsel for defendants Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company and Amanda Megan Berger

RECEIVED
OCT 25 2019
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

1. The petitioner, Daniel Luke Meier, respectfully files this motion for reconsideration of denial October 7, 2019 pursuant to FSC Rule 44. This motion for reconsideration is clearly being filed in good faith. This motion also includes intervening circumstances of a substantial and controlling effect.

2. As stated, and not denied by either respondant in Daniel Luke Meier's Petition for Writ of Certiori, since the accident in 2013 the Petitioner has suffered seizures, and numerous other continuing ailments as a result of the accident, severe closed head injury and back and neck injuries, as presented in the picture above, and in the petition, that both of the respondents/ defendant's admit was 100% the fault of Amanda Megan Bergers negligence.

3. On the night of Monday September 23, 2019 the petitioner had a seizure causing further spinal damage, when he got up on Tuesday September 24, 2019 he could no longer walk. The petitioner was rushed to the emergency room under the care of 6 (six) doctors and was kept in the hospital until Friday September 27, 2019. Petitioner was on several mediations including morphene the whole time. The Petitioner underwent a CT scan, MRI, and a spinal epidural. The petitioner cannot walk without a walker now and is in constant extreme pain. The petitioner is also in physical therapy, again, twice a week. There is concern that the accident and the subsequent corrupt legal proceedings is also causing continuing post traumatic stress disorder. Active problems listed are traumatic brain injury and displacement of lumbar disc with radicubpathy and seizures. Petitioner is now further disabled and severely handicapped and must use a 4 (four) wheel walker just to get around which is clearly a continued impairment of bodily function caused by the negligence of the defendants. Spine Treatment Center: Fusion Vs. Disk Replacement has a video on YouTube how an accident 10 years ago damages disks with long term disease implications beginning at 9 min an 20 secoonds of the video.

4. Allstate property and casualty insurance Company filed a waiver admitting all facts and law in the petitioners Writ of Certiorai, counsel for Amanda Megan Berger did not present any misstatement of the facts or law set forth by the petitioner in their responsive pleading. The law states:

FSC Rule 15(2). In addition to presenting other arguments for denying the petition, the brief in opposition should address any perceived misstatement of fact or law in the petition that bears on what issues properly would be before the Court if certiorari were granted. Counsel are admonished (warned or urged) that they have an obligation to the Court to point out in the brief in opposition, *and not later*, any perceived misstatement made in the petition. Any objection to consideration of a question presented based on what occurred in the proceedings below, if the objection does not go to jurisdiction, may be *deemed waived unless called to the Court's attention* in the brief in opposition.

5. Is this case a matter of public concern? The public overwhelmingly believes it is, as proven by a public Yahoo comment the petitioner left on a news article for the Supreme Court. There was a total of 110 thumbs up, as opposed to 7 thumbs down, for a total of 117 votes calculating to a 94% support for the petitioner, and all 5 comments were supporting of the petitioner. Here is what people have to say:

Luke Meier 8 days ago **As the Supreme Court Gets Back to Work, Five Big Cases to Watch** react-text: 564 Here is an even bigger case to watch. Go to the Supreme Court docket search and read the petition 19-5285. See how Allstate Insurance Company conspires with a judge to politically attack a petitioner. See how they deliberately misrepresent the law and then when it gets to the Supreme Court they do a waiver admitting the petitioner was correct the whole time! We will see if the Supreme Court does anything about this insane corruption. Remember a waiver means that everything in the petition has been admitted. This is true deep State corruption in the most obvious form. Let's see if the Supreme Court does anything about this violation of constitutional and due process rights. It was heard last week and the response should be posted today /react-text Less react-text: 575 Reply /react-text Replies (5)

Thumbs up 110 Thumbs down 7

GuidelinesReply

Larry 8 days ago react-text: 869 @MaynardsGerbilEmporium

True. Would be better to have State Farm. /react-text react-text: 876 Reply /react-text **Thumbs up 41**

Anna 8 days ago react-text: 925 @G of course they are silent on matters that really would affect the daily lives of people. They care more about illegals. /react-text react-text: 932 Reply /react-text **Thumbs up 13**

MaynardsGerbilEmporium8 days ago react-text: 952 You're NOT in "good hands" with Allstate. /react-text react-text: 959 Reply /react-text **Thumbs up14**

JRR Trollkien 8 days ago react-text: 979 @G It doesn't fit any of their current agendas. /react-text react-text: 986 Reply /react-text **Thumbs up13**

G 8 days ago react-text: 1006 Wow, media silence on this too /react-text react-text: 1013 Reply /react-text **Thumbs up 16**

6. "Equal Justice Under the Law, these words, written above the main entrance of the Supreme Court building are supposed to express the "untimate responsibility" of the Supreme Court of the United States. The people believe that the Supreme Court is no longer concerned with the basic rights and welfare of the people and corruption in the lower courts is being caused by the Supreme Court itself ignoring gross, outrageous, violations of law and manifest injustice when brought to their attention.

7. There is no longer confidence in the integrity of this court. The people feel, by inaction, the Supreme Court is condoing selective illegal behavior and fostering agenda creating an enviornment if distrust in the legal system by allowing widespread chronic corruption in the courts. The people feel the Supreme Court is not fulfilling it's core basic duties. It is similar to a person content to be playing in their garden while their house is burning down to the ground right in front of them while everyone else is yelling to do something.

7. Irregularities in this Supreme Courts procedures itself included:

a. The petitioners appendicies D and E were not posted to the docket but respondent was allowed to post other items.

b. Petitioner was not allowed access to e-file but the respondents were; all other courts allowed both parties the same e-filing rights.

c. The denial of Certiori was not signed by a Judge, but the clerk, and not posted on the docket by the actual scanning of the document.

d. Petitioners letter to the clerk concering improper filing by the respondent and failure to post documents and was never responded to by the clerk.

e. Respondent was allowed to file blank papers and incorrect exhibits that were deliberately not the same documents petioner filed in the lower courts for exhibits. This was to deliberately mislead this court.

f. No granting of the fee waiver was ever posted.

8. Due to these extreme irregularities, the Petitioner does not believe that the Supreme Court Judges ever were presented with the petition in any manner as required by Supreme Court Procedure and law. This is based on the denial never being signed by any of the Judges, only the Clerk ,and then not fully posted on the docket for everyone to see.

CONCLUSION

Wherefore, based on the facts, law, and public concern stated above, the petitioner Daniel Luke Meier respectfully files this Motion for Reconsideration and requests the honorable court to reconsider the public concern, facts and the law and continuing traumatic loss to the petitioner as set forth in this motion, and in the Petition for Writ of Certiorari; and that the Petition for Writ of Certiorari and this Motion for Reconsideration should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel Luke Meier

Date: October 21, 2019