


No. 19-5088

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Quancidine Anson-Gribble
(Your Name) PETITIONER



United States DPM, et al ^{vs.} — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US Court of Appeals, For the Fourth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Quancidine Anson-Gribble
(Your Name)

6129 Louise Street
(Address)

Fayetteville, NC 28314
(City, State, Zip Code)

910-527-2876
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Why was my case dismissed in both Courts, lower and appeals court when I entered all of my documentation (over 500 pages) that proves my case, 5:16-cv-70-FL & 18-2219.
2. Why was there a dismissal in the lower courts when I, nor the opposing atty, filed a, "SHOW CAUSE ORDER".
3. Why was the opposing atty, Attorney Roberto F. Ramirez allowed to represent all 16 Defendants.
4. Why was Attorney Roberto F. Ramirez allowed to file a NOTICE of Appearance after the Statute of Limitations had run out for all 16 Defendants and after I filed a MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT.
5. Why was the Case Mgr. Jennifer Rize, (18-2219) allowed to only contact the Attorney, Attorney Ramirez and NOT ALL OF THE Defendants - Appellees.
6. Why did both courts ERROR in following their own Rules & Procedures.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

United States Office of Personnel Management
Survivors Outreach Services
Katherine Archuleta
Donna Seymour
Army Community Services
Charlotte Watson
Amy Melendez
Joanie L. Hammons
David E. McDermott
Tim Szatkowski
Cynthia Virrueta
John W. Ellerbe
Tim Klemowski
Ethel Knock
Jeffrey M. Sanborn
Kay Hagan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW | 1 |
| JURISDICTION..... | |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED | |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE | |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT | |
| CONCLUSION..... | |

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

case# 18-2219

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

case# 6:16-cv-70-FL

☒ reported at *Pacer.gov*; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was April 11, 2019.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

23 pages.
Whistle

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

#1. PRAECIPE, case # 5:16-CV-00070-FL, * document 95, * sent in total of 17 pages, only 2 pages filed. CIVIL RIGHTS & CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATED, OBSTRUCTION of Justice, FRAUD ON THE COURTS, & THE PLAINTIFF.

#2. PACER.gov Manifest, case 5:16-CV-00070-FL, DOCUMENT ENTRIES, "Terminated" without the Plaintiff's knowledge, #1, #3, #82, #83, #86. CIVIL RIGHTS & CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATED, OBSTRUCTION of JUSTICE, FRAUD ON THE COURTS & THE PLAINTIFF.

#3. JUDGMENT, this case is dismissed without prejudice for failure to respond to the "Show Cause Order". MOTION was never filed for a "Show Cause Order", NOR ONE was signed by a Judge. CIVIL RIGHTS & CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATED, OBSTRUCTION of JUSTICE, FRAUD ON THE COURTS, & THE PLAINTIFF.

#4. SENT TO FBI on Ms. Jennifer Rre, case manager for the appeals court. Statutory provisions involved all of the above violations.

#5. Attorney Roberto F Rameriz * CONFLICT OF INTEREST * DOCUMENTS, 85, 86 & 87

11 pages
Hubble

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On both cases, original filing 5:16-cv-70, FL of 18-2219, my documents were "NOT" entered on time and or deleted all together. The Courts and their employees had committed OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. I had to contact the FBI, Citing CIVIL RIGHTS Violations as I am a Pro Se Litigant and my CIVIL RIGHTS were Violated. I Sent in all of my documents and all 16 Defendants were served by the US Marshal's. None of the 16 Defendants RESPONDED. It was only after I mailed in the DEFAULT JUDGEMENT that Attorney Roberto Rameriz became counsel. Attorney Rameriz represented all 16 Defendants. He knew this was a "CONFLICT OF INTEREST" and in the Appeals Court only represented OPM. Both Courts have failed me, and for this reason, I am requesting that I am granted the WRITS OF CERTIORARI for my case to be heard before the Judges. The Judges should be OUTRAGED to what these lower courts are doing to Pro Se litigants.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Attorney, Attorney Roberto F. Rameriz represented All 16 Defendants in his capacity as the Assistant United States Attorney, knowing that this was a "CONFLICT of INTEREST" and did so without the knowledge of All 16 Defendants.

I ask that my petition be granted based on OBSTRUCTION of the Courts, their employees and Attorney Rameriz.

The Courts failed to process my documents in the ORDER of timely manner that they were received. Changing documents dates to begin the lawsuit process. This is "FRAUD" on the Plaintiff, CIVIL RIGHTS violations on the Plaintiff.

I ask that my petition be granted based on CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, FRAUD, and the manipulation of my documents and in PACER.gov.

The Courts allowed a Deputy Clerk to electronically sign the Judgment 5:16-cv-70-FL, Sandra K. Collins, for dismissing my case knowing that a "PRECIPICE" had been filed against Judge Louise W. Flanagan and court employees. I ask that my petition be granted. *Amable*

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Hubble

Date: 07/01/2019