

19-5017


No.

**ORIGINAL**

---

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

---



Shanta G. Phillips-Berry

*Petitioner*

V.

State of Louisiana; Patricia Blackwell Scurlock;

*Repondents*

---

On Appeal from the United States District Court, Eastern District of


Louisiana, No. 2:18-cv-6037,

---

**PETITION FOR WRT OF CERTIORARI**

---

Shanta G. Phillips-Berry  
202 Clemson Street  
Kenner, LA 70062  
(504) 635-8239  
*Pro Se Litigant*



## **CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS**

The undersigned Pro Se Litigant counsel of record for Appellant

The following listed persons and entities as described in the (5<sup>TH</sup> CIR. R. 28.2.1) have an interest in the outcome of this case.

### **DEFENDANT/APPELLEE:**

#### **State of Louisiana**

8585 Archives Ave  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809  
(225)925-6006

#### **Patricia Blackwell Scurlock**

14136 W. Hoffman Rd.  
Hammond, Louisiana 70454  
(504)975-7686, (318)697-1996 or (504)887-7859

Attorney For:

#### **Patricia Blackwell Scurlock**

Raspanti Law Firm, LLC  
3900 N. Causeway Blvd.  
Lakeway One, Ste. 1470  
Metairie, Louisiana 70002  
(504)836-7330

### **Appellant**

#### **Shanta G. Phillips-Berry**

202 Clemson Street  
Kenner, Louisiana  
(504) 635-8239  
*Pro Se Litigant*

/s/ Shanta G. Phillips-Berry  
Pro Se Litigant of Record for Appellant

## **PRESENTED ARGUMENT**

1. The district court abused its discretion by not relying on the erroneous legal premise that a citizen(s) of the state of Louisiana and this great country deserves a fair trial by jury. Not allowing evidence to be presented in a timely manor as stated in the constitution. Leaving appellant and citizen(s) open to attacks, injuries, and other hateful actions bought on by Appellee(s) and others.
2. Assuming – if this Court of our country would have properly addressed this matter the outcome would have been resulted, by evidence presented to trial and jurors. In failing to properly comply with its own laws and rules of the United States of America appellant was force to file appeal.

## **JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT**

Appellant appeals from (1) October 01, 2018 as to case terminated September 18, 2018 by Judge Martin L.C Feldman of the U.S. District Court Eastern District of Louisiana (New Orleans) (2) case is connected to 4 other cases; This court of Louisiana transferred case(s) it to different Magistrate Judges, Judge Karen Wells Roby, Judge Jane Triche Milazzo, and Judge Joseph C. Wilkinson, Jr. August 27, 2018 thru August 30, 2018 which confused appellant as to location case(s). (3) Order denying as "MOOT" entered on October 03, 2018 by Judge Martin L. C. Feldman, appellant replied timely filing a Notice of Appeal, This Court has jurisdiction over the appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1291

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS .....	i
PRESENTED ARGUMENT .....	ii
JURISDICTION STATEMENT .....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	iv
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	1
STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW .....	<b>3</b>
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	4
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT .....	7
ARGUMENT .....	8

1. The United State District Court Eastern District of Louisiana did not grant appellant a court date or trail on four cases, holding information for months calling information provide frivolous without seeking trail with jury to justify statement. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(B)(i)
  - A. Court documentation available at Jefferson Parish 24<sup>th</sup> District where protective orders was filed several times, against Appellee(s)
  - B. Documentations also available with Police Reports and complaints filed in Kenner, Jefferson Parish, and New Orleans Louisiana
  - C. Medical and Law malpractice documentation/information available across the Cities of New Orleans, Jefferson/Metro, and Kenner Louisiana areas
2. Assuming - somehow the courts failing to provide a court date or trail jury date contributed to on-going activities by not pursuing Appellee (s), not giving appellant the opportunity to introduce evidence of its policy limits at trial,
3. The Eastern District Court of Louisiana repeatedly abused its discretion in denying relief, even when appellant resolved issues in a timely manor upon request.

4. Based on information's location by professional and government agencies the Eastern District Court of Louisiana should have without dough grant appellant the consideration and opportunity to prove case in United States District Court of Appeals

CONCLUSION ..... 10

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE ..... 11

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ..... 12

APPENDIX A

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Belanger v. Geico Gen. Ins. Co.</i> , 623 F. App'x 684 (5th Cir. 2015) .....	40
<i>Cutrerera v. Bd. of Supervisors of La. State Univ.</i> , 429 F.3d 108 (5th Cir. 2005) .....	38
<i>Dey v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins.</i> , 789 F.3d 629 (5th Cir. 2015) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Esmark Apparel, Inc. v. James</i> , 10 F.3d 1156 (5th Cir. 1994) .....	17
<i>FDIC v. Castle</i> , 781 F.2d 1101 (5th Cir. 1986) .....	28, 29, 36
<i>Fennell v. Marion Indep. Sch. Dist.</i> , 804 F.3d 398 (5th Cir. 2015) .....	38, 40
<i>Koon v. United States</i> , 518 U.S. 81 (1996) .....	17, 19
<i>Logan v. Zimmerman Brush Co.</i> , 455 U.S. 422 (1982) .....	38

### STATUTES

LA. 18 U.S.C. § 1030	
LA. 28 U.S.C. § 1291 .....	1
LA. REV. STAT. § 22:1269 .....	18, 19, 28, 37
LA. REV. STAT. § 22:1892 .....	37
LA. REV. STAT. § 22:1973 .....	37
DISABILITY ACT [ADA] (42 U.S.C. §1201) 42 U.S.C. §§ 1971	
42 U.S.C. § 1983, 18 U.S.C. § 245	

## **RULES**

FED. R. CIV. P. 16 .....	21
FED. R. CIV. 65.1 .....	25 FED. R.
CIV. 65 .....	25
FED. R. CIV. P. 59(e) .....	10, 29
FED. R. CIV. P. 60(b) .....	<i>passim</i>
LA. CODE EVID. art. 411 .....	13, 20
LA. LOCAL CRIMINAL RULE 5 .....	57
LA. LOCAL CRIMINAL RULE 32 .....	58
LA. LOCAL CRIMINAL RULE 35, 38 & 41.....	15, 16
LA. LOCAL CIVIL RULE 47 – JURORS .....	19, 20
LA. LOCAL CRIMINAL RULE 53 .....	62



## **STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW**

1. The district court abused its discretion by not relying on the erroneous legal premise that a citizen(s) of the state of Louisiana and this great country deserves a fair trial by jury. Not allowing evidence to be presented in a timely manor as stated in the constitution. Leaving appellant and citizen(s) open to attacks, injuries, and other hateful actions bought on by Appellee(s) and others.
2. Assuming – if this Court of our country would have properly addressed this matter the outcome would have been resulted, by evidence presented to trial and jurors. In failing to properly comply with its own laws and rules of the United States of America appellant was force to file appeal.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Cases connected to conspiracy No.18-31067 filed August 30, 2018, No.18-31068 filed June 18, 2018, No.18-31073 filed August 15, 2018 and No.18-31077 filed August 18, 2018 all Appellee(s) committed crimes in some form against appellant and citizens of this City of Kenner, Louisiana. First, while working for Space Walk Incorporation owner Patricia Blackwell Scurlock broke many laws and committed many felonies against appellant discrimination against equal pay, after bring appellant home one day after work seeing where appellant lived and how she was living on Holly Cross Street in Kenner; in December of 2007 employer hired Jonathan Weber (a white male) to do same job for nine thousand dollars a month while paying appellant three hundred and fifty dollar a week for years. This employer wanted appellant to train Mr. Weber, appellant refused and walked out. Mr. Weber left the job after a month and appellant returned. Because of financial obligation appellant returned upon request; workmen compensation, violation of civil rights against employee, fraudulently used government funds to support criminal activities, trying to kill appellant all to cover-up money laundering conspiracy, forced employee Operations Manager; Appellant; Shanta G. Phillips-Berry unknowingly submits documentation to launder money from the Gulf Coast Oil Spill / BP in 2008. To enforce this criminal act(s) Appellee(s) created a conspiracy. While enforcing this conspiracy many civil rights laws and voting laws were broken and over looked by many professionals servicing community. These hateful act(s) of crime against appellant used, doctors Thaddeus L. Teaford whom committed medical malpractice on August 14, 2014 by injecting appellant with painful mind reading platform device, surgery at Ochsner Baptist Hospital four times misleading appellant, doctors overmedicating appellant at

Ochsner Main Campus, on Jefferson highway, therapy numinous times Ochsner Clearview in Metairie, Louisiana all paid by government Insurance fraud, and private own companies insurance. On September 24, 2010 appellant was in a minor accident seek several Attorneys Brandon Venegas, Morris Bart Law Firm, Guest Law Firm, Robert Lenter, and Joseph Albe former New Orleans officer, aware of plot, whom promoted conspiracy by committing law malpractice, NOT report this behavior by covering up and/or participating receiving monetary favors, trips or vacations reinforcing corruption throughout Louisiana Justice System. Police officers participated from Kenner Police, Jefferson Parish and New Orleans departments' committed physical and emotional acts of abuse to appellant and others some deaths. Secondly, some dates may change but incident occurred, a minor accident in Kenner on September of 2010 officer submits a fraudulent report No. 12-0512 NO, arrested by Kenner Police April 28, 2012 for a traffic stop, in jailed for three days and transferred to Jefferson Parish report made to Internal Affairs over the phone typed June 29, 2012, August 05, 2015 burglary at Uncle Storage in Kenner police fell to follow-up, August 14, 2014 Doctor Thaddeus Teaford injected appellant with painful device that injects pain, reads thoughts and tracks location, surgery at Ochsner Baptist Hospital four different times having surgery misleading appellant to think it was pain medication injections, doctors overmedicating appellant at Ochsner Main Campus, on Jefferson highway, therapy numinous times Ochsner Clearview in Metairie, Louisiana all paid by government Insurance fraud using Medicare, Medicaid, Tri-Care and private own company insurance Humana. Many medical items were purchased wheelchair, walkers, scooters, tub-chair, in-home therapy and more. This device which was created to help patients whom are paralyzed and have no motor skills

to communicate is now being used as a weapon across this country. This weapon installed in appellant's body is also being implanted and used on prison inmates, and released reformed felons across this country to which have paid the price by time severed. This device being used is harmful to health, breaking civil rights, voting rights and laws that effect the constitution of this great country.

Living at 1405 Montana Ave Kenner, appellant went to vote on November 8, 2016 unaware of the device appellant was carrying in body committing treason but **NOT** voting for Trump, next day drones flying over home, stolen internet device someone was using it from October 28 - November 11, 2016 Jefferson Parish Police report, April 1, 2017 just moved in new Housing Authority house 202 Clemson Street Kenner, drones flying over home called Kenner Police, April 05, and 07, 2017, April 14, 2017 Refrigerator damaged told by repair man Kenner report, April 15, 2017 appellant purchased new back window on truck weeks before on Airline Highway, Metairie three hundred dollars Kenner Police called truck window stolen and replaced with old window with tape to hold on that fell off, May 20, 2017 Ipad stolen reported to Kenner Police, June 17, 2017 damages to truck oil all over ground with prints on hood of truck Kenner Police called and came, West Virginia being ran off road March 26, 2017 called 911, On September 30, 2018 sexually assaulted again by Jefferson Parish female officers reported that day was told by officer Internal Affairs returning call next day, today is November 28, 2018 no call. These hateful crimes have been ongoing since lawsuit was filed for workmen's Compensation, hose and plot to kill appellant to cover-up actions promoting conspiracy to commit treason, laundering money, destroying evidence, corrupt justice force appellant who unknowingly participated. Appellant has benefits being with held by

Social Security Office at this time by Appellee.

## **SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT**

Louisiana is one of only a few states that have not established a procedural right of action directly assist appellant's with NO relationship single men, women, young or elderly individuals with legal assistants in cases which criminals seek to destroy via it employer or neighbor(s). These low income individuals are left to fin for themselves. This state of Louisiana has felled to protect its citizens from harm and danger. In fact, if legal aide services were available for appellant the injuries sustained would not have occurred. There are many priority streaked individuals seeking legal help against praetors, these individuals are being left to try there best to defend themselves without law degrees. This state only provides help to people in relationship or family member which leaves anyone other than family to harm, attack, and injure.

Louisiana has also adopted this reformed justice program which is hurting and harming its citizen. This program violates citizen's civil rights, voting rights and spiritual rights to exists as a human and an Americans. This device created to help individuals unable to help themselves in now being used a weapon to inject pain, destroy and control behaviors of individuals in the justice system. Unfortunately this device was gotten into the hands of people with criminalistic behavior mentally whom are professionals, government, and private wealthy individuals to purchase as a product, being used on animals and humans. This man made device being placed in the human body to control, harm, and destroy lives should be band removed and destroyed from any human body. How dare this country with the best way of living cause harm to its own citizens. People all across the world fight to come to this country for freedom and someone took it

personal to take something that helps paralyzed individuals to promote criminal activities for self gain. These people needing to control others need to be imprisoned, every day people with this device implanted fight to live. Living should not be something you fight for, as citizens of this country the Deceleration of Independence is something the veterans of WWI and WWII fought for, appellant great-grandfather Luchen Ursin fought in both wars. He die at the age of 97 years old and appellant heard this story a hundred times as a child; however, people were fighting for freedom, that's why appellant feels so strongly about hurting individuals in this country for personal gain at any cost. Unfortunately these Appellee(s) acted in bad faith using every source of government to discredit this country's justice system and caused corruption to cities afar. These individuals and companies should comply with state laws and the courts to restore and replace appellant and this country's faith and dignity back to good standers.

### **ARGUMENT**

1. Appellant argued that by not being able to receive a fair trail jury's verdict, court date or sentence date, appellant was imprisoned without a sentencing with cruel and usual punishment. Which this country's justice system rules unwarranted and is called kidnapping which violates individuals civil rights which is also stated in this constitution. Anyone that prevents any citizen(s) from movement throughout this country restraining, holding or stopping them in any form is a crime. This person should be treated as any criminal kidnapping and breaking laws. This technical implant leads appellant to think about anyone married to a military spouse which has been place in this situation using military medical benefit. Unfortunately appellant wasn't using the benefits they were being stolen, and

used for fraudulent insurance claims by Appellee(s).

2. Appellant also argues the fact about this reformed justice system using implants to control human life is a violation of this constitution as well. This country has grown in technology in many areas that will help people all across this country; but to use something that was created to help disabled individuals unable to communicate is inhuman and goes above levels of humanity. Technology creates robs to do job removing humans from work and now this country is trying to make the human popularity robs which offends GOD and any other spiritual community. This device is being place in humans all across this country is a deceptive device is and opens doors to criminal to easily access banking, private and personal. Nothing and No one is safe now. These high ranking professionals and wealthy citizens exposed low income, poverty stridden citizens to racial and hateful acts of crimes, creating a conspiracy to easily mislead and enforce corruption with in the justice system.
3. With the use of government departments, transportation departments, private own companies, the communication department, internet and cell phone high ranking professionals, and wealthy citizens throughout this state of Louisiana are able to harmed, threatened, forced and blackmail forcing its citizens to commit act in which criminal behave. This country fell to protect appellant and citizen's civil rights promoting criminalistic behavior leaves an open platform for personal gain.

## CONCLUSION

Appellant respectfully requests that this Court the United States of America take actions and responsibility to reverse these criminal activities throughout this country's justice system. Where as the Eastern District Court fell to set trail date, to address allegations filed in complaint(s); with laws broken, crimes committed, and government funds used fraudulently.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Shanta G. Phillips-Berry  
202 Clemson Street  
Kenner, Louisiana 70062  
(504) 606-9239  
*Pro Se Litigant*



## **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

1. This brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a) (7) (B) because it contains 3,606 words, as determined by the word-count function of Microsoft Word 2013, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(f) and Fifth Circuit Rule 32.2.
2. This brief complies with the typeface requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a) (5) and the type style requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a) (6) because it has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2013 in 14-point Century font.

/s/ Shanta G. Phillips-Berry