

EXHIBIT A

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

POWER ANALYTICS CORPORATION,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

**OPERATION TECHNOLOGY INC, DBA ETAP,
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.,**
Defendants-Appellees

OSISOFT, LLC,
Defendant

2018-1428

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Central District of California in No. 8:16-cv-01955-JAK-
FFM, Judge John A. Kronstadt.

JUDGMENT

ROBERT F. RUYAK, RuyakCherian LLP, Washington,
DC, argued for plaintiff-appellant. Also represented by
AMADOU KILKENNY DIAW; KORULA T. CHERIAN, Berkeley,
CA; JERROLD GANZFRIED, Ganzfried Law, Washington,
DC.

JOHN D. VANDENBERG, Klarquist Sparkman, LLP, Portland, OR, argued for all defendants-appellees. Defendant-appellee Operation Technology, Inc. also represented by SARAH ELISABETH JELSEMA, SALUMEH R. LOESCH.

REGINALD J. HILL, Jenner & Block LLP, Chicago, IL, for defendant-appellee Schneider Electric USA, Inc. Also represented by BENJAMIN J. BRADFORD, LISA MARIE SCHOEDEL; ADAM G. UNIKOWSKY, Washington, DC.

THIS CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

PER CURIAM (DYK, WALLACH, and CHEN, *Circuit Judges*).

AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

January 15, 2019

Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner

Peter R. Marksteiner
Clerk of Court

EXHIBIT B

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

POWER ANALYTICS CORPORATION,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

**OPERATION TECHNOLOGY INC, DBA ETAP,
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.,**
Defendants-Appellees

OSISOFT, LLC,
Defendant

2018-1428

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Central District of California in No. 8:16-cv-01955-JAK-
FFM, Judge John A. Kronstadt.

**ON PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING AND
REHEARING EN BANC**

Before PROST, *Chief Judge*, NEWMAN, LOURIE, DYK,
MOORE, O'MALLEY, REYNA, WALLACH, TARANTO, CHEN,
HUGHES, and STOLL, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER

Appellant Power Analytics Corporation filed a combined petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc. The petition was referred to the panel that heard the appeal, and thereafter the petition for rehearing en banc was referred to the circuit judges who are in regular active service.

Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The petition for panel rehearing is denied.

The petition for rehearing en banc is denied.

The mandate of the court will issue on March 28, 2019.

FOR THE COURT

March 21, 2019

Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner

Peter R. Marksteiner
Clerk of Court