

**In the  
Supreme Court of the United States**

---

CHRISTINA ALESSIO,

*Petitioner,*

v.

UNITED AIRLINES, INC.,

*Respondent.*

---

**On a Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the  
United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit**

---

**PETITION FOR REHEARING**

---

CHRISTINA ALESSIO

*PETITIONER PRO SE*

1970 N. CLEVELAND-MASSILLON ROAD

UNIT 589

BATH, OH 44210

(330) 338-7052

DECEMBER 19, 2019

---

SUPREME COURT PRESS



(888) 958-5705



BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
PETITION FOR REHEARING .....	1
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION .....	1
CONCLUSION .....	4
RULE 44 CERTIFICATE .....	6

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

## CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. art. I.....	3
U.S. Const. art. II.....	3
U.S. Const. art. III .....	3

## JUDICIAL RULES

Sup. Ct. R. 44.....	1
---------------------	---



## PETITION FOR REHEARING

THE SUPREME COURT of the UNITED STATES of AMERICA, per Rule 44, allows a Petition for Rehearing, with respect to the decision of the Court. The Petition for Rehearing, Case: #19-395, is respectfully being filed on December 19, 2019, within the 25 days required of the Courts decision.

The Court's decision on November 25, 2019, states: "Petition DENIED."



## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Respectfully,

*Petitioner* believes "IN GOD WE TRUST".

*Petitioner* believes in "EQUAL JUSTICE UNDER THE LAW".

*Petitioner* believes in due process.

*Petitioner* began communication with the *Respondent* regarding, Safety and Health concerns of approving **Chemical Substance Products used inside the Aircraft Cabin** environment, of which communication was also made respectfully, with the Association of Flight Attendants (AFA) Union.

*Petitioner* respectfully, further reached out to our Government Agency: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), for an outside third party opinion. With respect, the EEOC could not certify

the *Respondent* was in compliance with the statutes (**Reference App.94a-95a**).

*Petitioner* has since, April 18, 2017, held the responsibility with this knowledge given from the EEOC, an obligation and duty to continue to reach out to our Government at the Lower Courts, respectfully, with a request for an answer to the **Federal Question** that the EEOC could not certify, in the interest of the **Air-Travelers Public Safety and Health, in the air.**

**Federal Question:**

Respectfully, is the *Respondent* in compliance with the statutes using **Chemical Substance Products inside the Aircraft Cabin?**

*Petitioner*, having reached the **Highest Court in the Land**, is hoping to receive an answer to the **Federal Question**, believed to be a **Public Civil and Human "Right to Know"**.

*Petitioner* believes the answer is needed for the respectful simple sake of the **Safety and Health of the Global Air-Traveling Public.**

*Petitioner* is being very clear, this case is not about me. It's about WE.

**"WE THE PEOPLE"**. People traveling in the air and breathing **Chemical Substance Products** during the flight.

*Petitioner* believes the **United States Federal Government** should know *all* products being used inside Commercial Aircraft Cabins, and that *all* products should have a **"Certificate of Compliance" with "100% Transparency"**.

*Petitioner* believes in the United States Federal Government, which include 3 co-equal Branches. Articles I, II, III, respectfully found in THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION of AMERICA:

Article I. Legislative Branch

Article II. Executive Branch

Article III. Judicial Branch

*Petitioner* believes each Branch works together to ensure American Citizens' Civil and Human Rights are being protected.

*Petitioner* has communicated to the best of her ability, the Facts of this Case: #19-395, to all 3 Branches of Government, with the hopes of receiving an answer to the Federal Question of which the EEOC, could not certify.

*Petitioner* believes, THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION: To Protect the People.

*Petitioner* believes, "Transparency is the best Policy".

*Petitioner* believes with an answer to the Federal Question, that a Federal Law regarding this case, needs to be created by the 116th Legislative Branch providing all Air-Travelers with knowledge of a "Certificate of Compliance" providing 100% Safe and Transparent (Products made Public), to the Respondent's Aircraft Cabin Products, because Safety is Top Priority.

With great respect, this Petition for Rehearing will be read at *Petitioners* future hearing: Claim #19-202076, which was dismissed without prejudice on

December 3, 2019, with the ability to be heard at a later date. Respectfully, the hearing will be Court Reported to preserve the record and for the Public's knowledge, for the respectful truth be told.

With the upmost respect, may it concluded that this Petition for Rehearing be denied, may it then be that maybe one day **"WE THE PEOPLE"**, will come together for sake of the **Public's Safety and Health in the Aircraft Cabin**. Respectfully, maybe just maybe one day, the Air-Traveling Public will come together and become - AMERICA, THE JURY.



### CONCLUSION

With the upmost respect, the *Petitioner Pro se*, would sincerely like to take this opportunity to thank you for your time with the full review of **Case: #19-395**, in the **Highest Court in the Land, THE SUPREME COURT** of the UNITED STATES of AMERICA.

**Most Honorable Mr. Chief Justice John Roberts**

**Most Honorable Justice Clarence Thomas**

**Most Honorable Justice Ruth Ginsburg**

**Most Honorable Justice Stephen Breyer**

**Most Honorable Justice Samuel Alito**

**Most Honorable Justice Sonia Sotomayor**

**Most Honorable Justice Elena Kagan**

**Most Honorable Justice Neil Gorsuch**

**Most Honorable Justice Brett Kavanaugh**

Respectfully, for the foregoing reasons submitted in good conscience and good faith, may **THE SUPREME COURT** find merit in this case for change, providing an answer to a **Federal Question**, granting the *Petitioner* a rehearing.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTINA ALESSIO  
*PETITIONER PRO SE*  
1970 N. CLEVELAND-MASSILLON ROAD  
UNIT 589  
BATH, OH 44210  
(330) 338-7052

DECEMBER 19, 2019

# RULE 44 CERTIFICATE

I, CHRISTINA ALESSIO, petitioner pro se, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct:

1. This Petition for Rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay.

2. The grounds of this petition are limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

Christina Alessio

Signature

Executed on 12.16.19  
Date



State of Ohio

County of SUMMIT } ss.

Sworn to or affirmed and subscribed before me by

CHRISTINA ALESSIO  
Name of Person Making Jurat

this date of 12.16.2019  
Date

Kristen Hamid  
Signature of Notary Public Administering Jurat

NOTARY PUBLIC  
Title or Rank

Apr. 22. 2023  
Commission Expiration Date