

No. 19-360

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

Frances K. Konieczko,
Lawrence W. Konieczko,
Laurie F. Konieczko,
Petitioners,

v.

Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.,
sponsored by the Seventh-day Adventist Church,
d/b/a AdventHealth Altamonte Springs,
formerly Florida Hospital Altamonte,
and d/b/a AdventHealth Orlando,
formerly Florida Hospital Orlando,
Respondents.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
to the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal

PETITION FOR REHEARING
IN SUPPORT OF THE
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Frances K. Konieczko
Lawrence W. Konieczko
Laurie F. Konieczko
Self-Represented
PO Box 540542
Orlando, FL 32854

QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW

1. This Court, the Supreme Court of the United States, granted enemy combatants the right to be heard, therefore, shouldn't we, American-born citizens of the USA, with the husband of Frances, and the father of Lawrence and Laurie, as well as the grandfather of Lawrence and Laurie, having been honest, decent, patriotic American men who sacrificed for this country, the United States of America, and served honorably in the United States military, be justly granted full and fair opportunities to be heard?

2. Shall this Court allow any judge to abuse his or her power and illegally violate the Constitution of the United States and unconstitutionally deprive us of our civil rights and other rights guaranteed to us by the Constitution of the United States, including, but not limited to, our right to be heard?

3. Shall this Court allow judges to willfully, intentionally, and maliciously make false statements, refuse to disqualify themselves when served with timely, legally-sufficient motions to disqualify, and illegally not remove a judge when by law they are required to do so?

4. Shall this Court allow judges to illegally refuse to disclose exparte communications, interactions, and monetary transactions?

(Questions continued on next page.)

QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW (CONTINUED)

5. Shall this Court allow judges, lawyers, and respondents to obstruct justice?

6. Shall this Court allow this case to be illegally dismissed "with prejudice", especially when the defendants have been obstructing justice and withholding evidence, and this case has not been amended one time, which is clearly provided for by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and also for which there is legal precedent, having been established by many court cases?

7. Shall this Court allow the record of this case to stand fraudulently falsified, with Judge LeBlanc, Judge Frederick Lauten, and judges at the Fifth District Court of Appeal refusing to have the record corrected?

8. Shall this Court immediately order the AdventHealth Care Center Apopka South, formerly known as the Florida Living Nursing Center Apopka, to immediately cease and desist from the unhealthy, inhumane practice of strapping down patients in their beds against their will?

9. Shall this Court order the respondents to immediately cease and desist from hypnotizing patients against their will?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Questions.....	i
Table of Authorities	iv
Introduction.....	1
Argument.....	1
Conclusion.....	4

Certificate for Petition for Rehearing

Attachments - Sixty-three (63) pages

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

The Supreme Judge of the World

**Jesus Christ, King of Kings
and Lord of Lords.....Pages 1, 2, 3, 4**

Constitution and Statutes

U.S. Constitution.....Pages 1, 2, 3, 4

Amend I, U.S. Constitution.....Pages 1, 2, 3, 4

18 U.S.C. 242.....Pages 1, 2, 3, 4

42 U.S.C. 1983.....Pages 1, 2, 3, 4

INTRODUCTION

We file this Petition for Rehearing for the honor of our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ, and our beloved family member, William A. Konieczko, the very precious husband and father, for whom we must have justice. Also, we seek justice to stop the evil perpetrators of malicious wrongdoing, so that others will not be harmed by them.

ARGUMENT

It is very important that all of the issues contained in the five applications sent by us to Justice Clarence Thomas be resolved. These five applications are contained in the attached sixty-three (63) pages, along with proofs of delivery to this Court.

One important issue is that we have been seeking since July 2019 for Justice Thomas to give us guidelines for our appendix, as explained in our applications to him.

Another important issue, which we wrote to Justice Thomas about, is that our house was criminally set on fire, and we explained to him issues pertinent. The evil crime of setting our house on fire was perpetrated six days before the Reply Brief for this case was due, and caused our house to become classified as "uninhabitable."

Therefore, it is very important for this Court to provide us more time to file our Reply Brief and Supplemental Briefs. Before the fire, we had been working on our Reply Brief, being that it is very, very important that we not be cheated out of our Constitutional right to be heard, so that we can refute the egregious, unethical lies and appalling fraud upon the court perpetrated by the respondents.

The morning of the fire, right before smoke was seen in the house, just before the smoke alarm went off, there was a very obvious, dangerous, toxic smell of gasoline, so we knew this was very evil, nefarious, criminal arson. The fire spread extremely fast - very, very quickly filling the house with very horrible smoke. It was very obvious that evil criminals wanted to kill our entire family.

Later, after the fire had been put out, supposed firemen were shockingly very tyrannical and nasty and would not let us go into our own house, or even go near our house. Immediately after the supposed firemen left in the fire truck, we, (Lawrence and Laurie), ran into our house. The first thing that we noticed was that a large storage bin, (which had been filled from front to back with court documents, legal documents, and evidence), had been set up high on a table, with the debris brushed off. After opening the bin, we were shocked to see hundreds of pages of documents had been stolen, including many documents we had been planning to use for our SCOTUS Reply Brief and Appendix.

Our Constitutional rights must be upheld by this Court so that we may have time to respond to the many points raised in the respondents' opposition brief with a full Reply Brief, with an attached Appendix containing many pages of documentary evidence, especially since the opposition brief perpetrates very evil lies and very malicious fraud upon the court. Also, we have been preparing Supplemental Briefs.

Our Constitutional rights have been horribly violated in the lower courts, and we have NOT had full and fair opportunities to be heard.

In the application which we sent to Justice Thomas in July, we set forth the issues regarding criminal tampering of our mailings to SCOTUS, and criminal hacking of our computer, including the blocking of our computer from certain websites such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Department of Justice.

Additionally, booklets which had been carefully proofread by us, then returned to us by SCOTUS, had pages changed around, and have also been ripped up and gouged.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, we look to this Court to take action to protect our rights, as guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States, to address all of the issues presented in our five applications to Justice Clarence Thomas, and to give us the time to prepare our very important Reply Brief, and our Supplemental Briefs.

WE HAVE JUST CAUSES AND THE
CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES
GUARANTEES OUR RIGHTS, INCLUDING,
BUT NOT LIMITED TO, OUR RIGHT TO
BE HEARD, OUR RIGHT TO LIBERTY, AND
OUR RIGHT TO BE GRANTED JUSTICE
ACCORDING TO THE LAW.

Frances K. Konieczko
Lawrence W. Konieczko
Laurie F. Konieczko
Self-Represented
PO Box 540542
Orlando, FL 32854

December 2019

cc: Attorney General of the United States,
William Barr,
pertinent to confidential investigations

Certificate for Petition for Rehearing

This hereby certifies that this Petition is presented in good faith and in accordance with the grounds specified in Rule 44 of the SCOTUS Rules.

December 17, 2019:

Frances K. Konieczko

Frances K. Konieczko

Lawrence W. Konieczko

Lawrence W. Konieczko

Laurie F. Konieczko

Laurie F. Konieczko