


19-360
No. _____

In the
Supreme Court of the United States



**Frances K. Konieczko,
Lawrence W. Konieczko,
Laurie F. Konieczko,**

Petitioners,

v.


**Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.,
sponsored by the Seventh-day Adventist Church,
d/b/a AdventHealth Altamonte Springs,
formerly Florida Hospital Altamonte,
and d/b/a AdventHealth Orlando,
formerly Florida Hospital Orlando,**

Respondents.

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
to the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal**

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

**Frances K. Konieczko
Lawrence W. Konieczko
Laurie F. Konieczko
Self-Represented
PO Box 536253
Orlando, FL 32853**



QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW

1. This Court, the Supreme Court of the United States, granted enemy combatants the right to be heard, therefore, shouldn't we, American-born citizens of the USA, with the husband of Frances, and the father of Lawrence and Laurie, as well as the grandfather of Lawrence and Laurie, having been honest, decent, patriotic American men who sacrificed for this country, the United States of America, and served honorably in the United States military, be justly granted full and fair opportunities to be heard?

2. Shall this Court allow any judge to abuse his or her power and illegally violate the Constitution of the United States and unconstitutionally deprive us of our civil rights and other rights guaranteed to us by the Constitution of the United States, including, but not limited to, our right to be heard?

3. Shall this Court allow judges to willfully, intentionally, and maliciously make false statements, refuse to disqualify themselves when served with timely, legally-sufficient motions to disqualify, and illegally not remove a judge when by law they are required to do so?

4. Shall this Court allow judges to illegally refuse to disclose ex parte communications, interactions, and monetary transactions?

(Questions continued on next page.)

QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW (CONTINUED)

5. Shall this Court allow judges, lawyers, and respondents to obstruct justice?

6. Shall this Court allow this case to be illegally dismissed "with prejudice", especially when the defendants have been obstructing justice and withholding evidence, and this case has not been amended one time, which is clearly provided for by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and also for which there is legal precedent, having been established by many court cases?

7. Shall this Court allow the record of this case to stand fraudulently falsified, with Judge LeBlanc, Judge Frederick Lauten, and judges at the Fifth District Court of Appeal refusing to have the record corrected?

8. Shall this Court immediately order the AdventHealth Care Center Apopka South, formerly known as the Florida Living Nursing Center Apopka, to immediately cease and desist from the unhealthy, inhumane practice of strapping down patients in their beds against their will?

9. Shall this Court order the respondents to immediately cease and desist from hypnotizing patients against their will?

PARTIES

**The family of William A. Konieczko
Frances K. Konieczko, his wife
Lawrence W. Konieczko, P.E., his son
Laurie F. Konieczko, his daughter
Petitioners**

v.

**Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.,
sponsored by the Seventh-day Adventist Church,
d/b/a AdventHealth Altamonte Springs,
formerly Florida Hospital Altamonte,
and d/b/a AdventHealth Orlando,
formerly Florida Hospital Orlando,
Respondents**

**Attneys for Respondents
Christian Trowbridge, Charles Ingram
2600 Lk. Lucien Dr., Ste. 330
Maitland, FL 32751**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Questions Presented for Review	i, ii
Parties	iii
Table of Authorities	v
Introduction.....	1
Importance of Jurisdiction.....	1
Constitutional Provisions Guaranteeing Our Rights.....	10
Statement of the Case and Facts.....	11
Reasons for Granting the Petition.....	20
Conclusion.....	21
Appendix:	
Florida supreme court	
February 13, 2019 statement by clerk.....	1a
February 27, 2019 statement by clerk.....	2a
Florida 5dca	
October 23, 2018 illegal dismissal.....	3a
October 30, 2018 illegal disregard for REPLY BRIEF, complicit / in conspiracy with fraudulent dismissal	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

The Supreme Judge of the World

**Jesus Christ, King of Kings
and Lord of Lords,
as recorded in the book of John.....Pages 24, 25**

**The Lord Almighty, as recorded
in the book of the
Jewish Prophet, Zechariah.....Page 24**

**The Lord Almighty, as recorded
in the book of Exodus, by the
Jewish Prophet, Moses.....Page 24**

**The Lord Almighty, as recorded
in the book of the
Jewish Prophet, Amos.....Page 25**

Constitution and Statutes

**U.S. Constitution.....Pages 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8,
9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23,
24, 25**

**Amend I, U.S. Constitution.....Pages 1, 2, 3, 5,
6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21,
22, 23, 24, 25**

18 U.S.C. 242.....Pages 2, 8, 11

42 U.S.C. 1983....Pages 2, 8, 10, 13, 16, 22, 23

Rule 10 (c) of SCOTUS Rules.....Page 2

Florida Statutes 38.10.....Pages 3, 6, 8, 10, 13

**Rule 2.330 of the Florida Rules of Judicial
Administration.....Page 23**

**Florida Rules of Civil Procedure,
Rule 1.190 (a).....Page 14**

Cases

**About the right to have a “fair opportunity”
to be heard “before a neutral decision-maker”:**

**United States Supreme Court,
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld (2004).....Page 2**

**United States Supreme Court,
Rasul v. Bush (2004).....Page 2**

**Cases listed below - supportive of liberality
in amendments, freely amending, amending
to bring out true facts, and amending to
cause cases to be decided on their
merits.....Pages 14, 15**

***Colandrea v. King*, 661 So. 2d 1250 (Fla 2nd
DCA 1995)**

***Bostwick v. Bostwick*, 346 So. 2d 150 (Fla. 1st
DCA 1974)**

***Bradham v. Hayes Enterprises, Inc.*, 306 So. 2d
568 (Fla. 1st DCA 1975)**

***Craig v. East Pasco Medical Center, Inc.*, 650
So. 2d 179 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1995)**

Lazar v. Allen, 347 So. 2d 457 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1977)

Old Republic Ins. Co. v. Wilson, 449 So. 2d 421 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1984)

Gamma Development Corp. v. Steinberg, 621 So. 2d 718 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993)

Associated Television & Communications, Inc. v. Dutch Village Mobile Homes of Melbourne, Ltd., 347 So. 2d 253 (Fla. 4th DCA 1977)

Ujcic v. City of Apopka, 581 So. 2d 218 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991)

In re Grist's Estate, 83 So. 2d 860 (1955)

Twyman v. Livingston, 58 So. 2d 518 (1952)

Marks v. Fields, 36 So. 2d 612, 160 Fla. 789 (1948)

Walker Fertilizer Co., for Use and Benefit of Walker v. Cole, 197 So. 777, 144 Fla. 37, 132 A.L.R. 536.

Hatcher v. Chandler, 589 So. 2d 428 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991)

Walker v. Senn, 340 So. 2d 975 (Fla. 1st DCA 1976)

EAC USA Inc. v. Kawa, 805 So. 2d 1 (Fla. 1st DCA 2001)

Omasta v. Bedingfield, 689 So. 2d 409 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997)

Kirkland By and Through Kirkland v. State Dept. of Health and Rehabilitation

Services, 424 So. 2d 925 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983)

State Farm Fire and Cas. Co. v. Fleet Financial Corp., 724 So. 2d 1218 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998)

Carter v. Ferrell, 666 So. 2d 556 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1995)

INTRODUCTION

We file this Petition for the honor of and out of love for our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ, and our beloved family member, William A. Konieczko, the very, very precious husband and father, for whom we must have justice. Also, we seek justice to definitely stop the evil perpetrators of malicious wrongdoing, so that other innocent people will not be harmed by them.

IMPORTANCE OF JURISDICTION

This case was illegally dismissed with prejudice on November 6, 2017, at a hearing that was scheduled in violation to the Equal Protection clause of the Constitution of the United States, with the respondents being illegally enabled by Judge Robert LeBlanc to obstruct justice by withholding evidence, with the respondents being guilty of bad faith litigation, including lying at the hearing, and without us having had a full and fair opportunity to be heard.

There are very compelling reasons, including those set forth in our Petition, for this Court to grant a writ of certiorari.

All three of the lower tribunals - the Florida Ninth Judicial Circuit Court, the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal, and the Florida Supreme Court have violated our rights.

There have been unconscionable violations including, but not limited to, very egregious and unethical violations of our rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States, including, but not limited to, our right to be heard, freedom of speech, freedom of religion, equal protection, and our right to petition the government for a redress of grievances. With these violations, there have been unfair, arbitrary, and capricious abuses of power in violation of 18 U.S.C. 242 and 42 U.S.C. 1983.

The Rules of SCOTUS indicate the character of the reasons considered when granting a petition for a writ of certiorari. Pertinent to this case is Rule 10 (c), "a state court...has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of this Court."

There have been state court judges, and also clerks, who have decided that provisions of the federal constitution, the Constitution of the United States, are provisions that they can ignore, deprive us of, and not uphold, even in violation of their oaths. These decisions to violate our rights conflict with relevant decisions of this Court, especially the two United States Supreme Court cases, *Hamdi v. Rumsfeld* (2004) and *Rasul v. Bush* (2004). We presented this argument in our petition on page 2. This Court decided that "enemy combatants" would have a "fair opportunity" to be heard "before a neutral decision-maker."

It is **VERY, VERY UNFAIR** that terrorists have been granted rights that we have been wrongfully deprived of. There have been **MANY EGREGIOUS AND UNCONSCIONABLE VIOLATIONS** of our right to have a "fair opportunity" to be heard "before a neutral decision-maker" including, but NOT LIMITED TO, those stated herein.

**VIOLATIONS OF OUR RIGHT TO HAVE A
"FAIR OPPORTUNITY" TO BE HEARD "BEFORE
A NEUTRAL DECISION-MAKER" IN FLORIDA'S
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT:**

- Contrary to the false misrepresentations of the respondents, we **DID** file motions to disqualify the very unfair, biased judge, Robert LeBlanc, and also made motions to disqualify him during the November 6, 2017 hearing, especially because of his violations of our rights to equal protection and to be heard, which are guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States. We filed into the record Statements of Evidence pertinent to this hearing and also a USB flashdrive with a recording of the hearing. Below are important statements, from the hearing, which were made by Lawrence W. Konieczko (LW) and Laurie F. Konieczko (LF).

04:37 LF:Frances Konieczko, who's heartbroken over her husband who she was married to for 57 years, and when she read of the betrayal of trust of Florida Hospital saying there was a signed "Do Not Resuscitate" form, and none of us has ever signed that or seen it, she was very, very upset.

05:05 LF: (Speaking of William Konieczko) He has never signed it, he absolutely never signed it!

05:08 LW: He never signed it.

05:10 LF: And we know that.

05:12 LF: We know that because she (speaking of Frances) was with him (William) almost all the time in the hospital. And so was he (speaking of Lawrence).

05:18 LW: I was with her (Frances) the whole time.

05:20 LF: And we discuss everything. We are a close family.

05:21 LW: He (William) never signed it.

05:22 LF: And furthermore, when doctors and nurses were pushy and saying my father was unresponsive, my brother (Lawrence) went to my father and placed his hand in the hand of my mother and said, "If you want us to continue to be doing everything possible to give you life-sustaining treatment and everything to help you live, squeeze [my mother's] hand," and he took her hand and squeezed it very, very, very, very, hard for a long time and did not let go. (LF chokes up with emotion.)

15:06 LF: The complaint needs to be amended, it hasn't been amended one time.

15:16 LF: ...the evidence. We've said over and over...through the documents, over and over we say we object to you obstructing justice...with the "Do Not Resuscitate" form as I just stated and read to you.

16:39 LF: ...you are obstructing justice... you wouldn't let us see the "Do Not Resuscitate" form.

17:05 LF: I already motioned to disqualify you. We want to write that motion out.

17:15 LF: No right during this hearing for how you're being unfair. It's unfair that you won't wait for us to have an amended complaint, you won't wait for us to have more evidence. That's very unfair and you're being very biased, we hereby motion to disqualify judge.

17:46 Judge L: Motion to Disqualify is denied....

17:51 LF: By law, we should be able to write out our Motion to Disqualify now at this hearing.

18:00 LF: By law we should be allowed to write it out.

18:27 LF: We are not having a full and fair opportunity to argue this motion at this time because he is not giving us a fair and full opportunity to argue.

18:41 LF: I said I've got a whole set of sheets here...obviously we object to the Motion to Dismiss in it's entirety. We object that it's frivolous and it's based on bad faith saying to remove the Constitution of the United States...we are not today having a full and fair opportunity to argue, we must have one or more amended complaints because when we get more evidence we shall be able to amend the complaint more. We object to not having a full and fair opportunity to argue. We object...the defendants are guilty of obstruction of justice and the Florida Hospital has betrayed our trust...it is obvious that we must...have the right to amend, and that is very clear in the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure that we should have a right to amend, we did not amend this one time and we want to proffer that we shall be having evidence to offer and we know that we shall and we want to amend. (Judge LeBlanc interrupts.)

21:19 LF: ...we did not have the evidence to amend it with.

21:31 LF: I'm not done yet. I'm not done with my argument.....

22:01 LF: But I'm not done arguing. I'm not done, I've got 12 pages here and I'm only on page 3.

22:09 LF: ...I'm not done, I've got 12 pages here.

22:14 LF: No, I've got 12 pages here. So I motion to disqualify judge because I have 12 pages here of notes and I didn't get to read them all.

22:33 LF: ...it's only fair to cancel the hearing because we did not have a full and fair opportunity to argue, that would have been the fair thing to do.

22:49 LF: But, I said, look, I've got 12 pages of notes here and you wouldn't hear them.

22:56 Bailiff: ...the hearing's over.

As can be seen from the select statements above, we did **NOT** have a "fair opportunity" to be heard "before a neutral decision-maker."

Subsequently, while the circuit court had jurisdiction, based upon 38.10 of the Florida Statutes, we filed a legally-sufficient Motion to Disqualify Judge LeBlanc, and after a total of 30 days, in which the court had jurisdiction, had passed, he had not issued a decision on the motion.

OTHER VIOLATIONS OF OUR RIGHT TO
HAVE A "FAIR OPPORTUNITY" TO BE HEARD
"BEFORE A NEUTRAL DECISION-MAKER" IN
THE FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF
APPEAL:

On August 29, 2018, Lawrence W. Konieczko hand-delivered to the Fifth District Court of Appeal items which included six sealed envelopes, NOT addressed to the clerk of court, but each addressed to one of six judges, which were Judges Torpy, Orfinger, Cohen, Wallis, Palmer, and Evander, for the envelopes to be served upon each of the judges, being that each envelope contained a legally-sufficient motion to disqualify judge. Yet none of these judges, who were all bound by law to disqualify themselves, issued any decision in response, and therefore violating our legal rights, including, but not limited to, our right guaranteed in the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States to petition the government for a redress of grievances, thereby committing egregious violations of 18 U.S.C. 242 and 42 U.S.C. 1983. We look to this Court to rectify this.

As a young woman, with no formal training in the law, Frances K. Konieczko, brought cases against government entities and represented those who could not fight for themselves, because of being disabled or elderly.

Frances would go before the judge and fearlessly speak the truth. Each time the judge would listen respectfully as she presented the facts and the law. She won each case she brought and was highly respected.

The beloved brother of Frances, and dearly loved uncle of Lawrence and Laurie, was not an "enemy combatant," but he was an American soldier who joined the military on his own initiative and had the heartbreaking experience of being in the second wave on Omaha beach on D-Day and seeing many of his buddies in agony dying around him as he himself was pinned down and injured. Then later, he had the very heartbreaking experience of entering the Dachau concentration camp by way of tank as one of the American soldiers who liberated the prisoners. His heart broke as he saw the piles of dead bodies and the prisoners who were still alive looking like walking skeletons.

Also, the father of William, the grandfather of Lawrence and Laurie, had been conscripted, as a young man, to serve in the Russian army, but he absolutely did not want to be a part of an evil army, so, with God's help, he escaped and defected, came to the United States, and joined the United States Army in which he served honorably during World War I, and suffered severe injuries from mustard gas, from which he eventually died.

Also, William Konieczko, the cherished husband of Frances, and the beloved, loving, and compassionate father of Lawrence and Laurie, served honorably in the United States Army as a Staff Sergeant and was very dedicated.

Neither William Konieczko, nor Frances Konieczko, nor Lawrence Konieczko, nor Laurie Konieczko have any criminal records at all whatsoever. Yet, no matter how honorable our family has been, it is **TERRORISTS** and **ENEMY COMBATANTS** that have been given the right to have a "fair opportunity" to be heard "before a neutral decision-maker," while our family has been wrongfully and unfairly deprived of that right.

We look to this Court to overturn these unfair decisions.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS GUARANTEEING OUR RIGHTS

Our civil rights and rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States must be protected, including, but not limited to, our rights to Equal Protection, our rights to Due Process, and our rights contained in the First Amendment.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS

On December 1, 2016, we filed our Complaint with the Florida Ninth Judicial Circuit Court for these legally valid causes of action:

**FRAUD/CONSPIRACY TO FRAUD;
INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL
DISTRESS/CONSPIRACY TO INTENTIONAL
INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;
BREACH OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR
DEALING/CONSPIRACY TO BREACH OF
GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING**

In 2013, we had presented documents of authorization to the respondents and obtained a lot of documents from them, but they have been withholding certain evidence and obstructing justice by illegal actions including, but not limited to, not giving us a copy of the forged DNR form, which they claim to have, but which no one in our family has ever seen or signed. This is absolutely unconscionable. Throughout the course of this case we have emphasized this wrongdoing in more than one court, with many judges having knowledge of this, yet the judges have abused their power and chosen to be complicit/in conspiracy with the obstruction of justice of the respondents.

Judges have refused to make corrections to the record, even when we have had statements from an Orange County Deputy Sheriff and an Orange County Deputy Clerk providing proof of the truth.

The respondents were not the only defendants in the Complaint, there were also Doe defendants, yet Fifth District Court of Appeal judges F. Rand Wallis, Thomas Sawaya, and Wendy Berger illegally instructed the lower tribunal to dismiss the entire "case." The lower tribunal was supposed to have a hearing on this, but then it was canceled on April 13, 2018, although that order, plus the order of dismissal, were fraudulently falsified with the date of April 11, 2018, according to different sources, including the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal. We filed documents pertaining to this, but no judge ever corrected this.

Below are statements from our Initial Brief to

This is a case about the loving, compassionate, honest, decent husband and father, Christian minister to the poor, homeless, and brokenhearted, William A. Konieczko, and how coldhearted, uncompassionate, malicious, evil people unconscionably betrayed the trust of and very deeply wounded our family by intentionally and maliciously failing to conduct themselves in an ethical manner and according to the law, and by egregious, evil, malicious disregard for the life of the beloved William A. Konieczko and for the rights of others.

Judges Cohen, Torpy, Evander, Palmer, Orfinger, and Wallis do not have jurisdiction to have any part in this case, being that they are bound by law to disqualify themselves...It is very important that there be fair judges who handle this appeal because there must be just action. The following standards of review apply to every issue:

Bias

Abuse of Discretion

Abuse of Power

Miscarriage of Justice

Wrongfully striking our documents, denying our access to the courts, and depriving us of our rights to be heard, are in direct conflict with other court decisions, notably two United States Supreme Court Cases, *Hamdi v. Rumsfeld* (2004) and *Rasul v. Bush* (2004). It is unfair that terrorists have been granted rights that we have been wrongfully deprived of. Egregious violations of our rights, including, but not limited to, our civil rights, our constitutional rights, and our rights to due process, especially our rights to be heard, have been committed by judges who have abused their power and gone against the Constitution of the United States and the Rule of Law as they have expressly construed provisions of the state and / or federal constitution(s) as being provisions that they could ignore, deprive us of, and not uphold, even in violation of their oaths.

The defendants should not be allowed to continue to obstruct justice. We object to not having the opportunity to, after obtaining more evidence, amending the complaint.

In the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 1.190 (a) states, "Leave of court shall be given freely when justice so requires..."

There have been many cases supportive of liberality in amendments, freely amending, amending to bring out true facts, and amending to cause cases to be decided on their merits.

Cases which were decided with one or more of these holdings are:

Colandrea v. King, 661 So. 2d 1250 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1995)

Bostwick v. Bostwick, 346 So. 2d 150 (Fla. 1st DCA 1974)

Bradham v. Hayes Enterprises, Inc., 306 So. 2d 568 (Fla. 1st DCA 1975)

Craig v. East Pasco Medical Center, Inc., 650 So. 2d 179 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1995)

Lazar v. Allen, 347 So. 2d 457 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1977)

Old Republic Ins. Co. v. Wilson, 449 So. 2d 421 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1984)

Gamma Development Corp. v. Steinberg, 621 So. 2d 718 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993)

Associated Television & Communications, Inc. v. Dutch Village Mobile Homes of Melbourne, Ltd., 347 So. 2d 253 (Fla. 4th DCA 1977)

Ujcic v. City of Apopka, 581 So. 2d 218 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991)

In re Grist's Estate, 83 So. 2d 860 (1955)

Twyman v. Livingston, 58 So. 2d 518 (1952)

Marks v. Fields, 36 So. 2d 612, 160 Fla. 789 (1948)

Walker Fertilizer Co., for Use and Benefit of Walker v. Cole, 197 So. 777, 144 Fla. 37, 132 A.L.R. 536.

Hatcher v. Chandler, 589 So. 2d 428 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991)

Walker v. Senn, 340 So. 2d 975 (Fla. 1st DCA 1976)

EAC USA Inc. v. Kawa, 805 So. 2d 1 (Fla. 1st DCA 2001)

Omasta v. Bedingfield, 689 So. 2d 409 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997)

Kirkland By and Through Kirkland v. State Dept. of Health and Rehabilitation

Services, 424 So. 2d 925 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983)

State Farm Fire and Cas. Co. v. Fleet Financial Corp., 724 So. 2d 1218 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998)

Carter v. Ferrell, 666 So. 2d 556 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1995)

RELIEF:

The illegal, unfair, unconstitutional order to dismiss must be overturned.

The defendants/appellees must be ordered, pursuant to the authorizations on pages 93 and 94 in this Appendix, to immediately confidentially mail to us (for us to review and decide what to file with the court) the following:

- a copy of the forged DNR
- the full name of and records pertinent to the so-called female respiratory therapist who went in to see William A. Konieczko the morning of December 1, 2012

- the full name of the coldhearted, rude ICU Charge Nurse, Nancy
- the full names of the ICU nurses, Judy and Mark
- any and all instructions and incentives from General Motors, Blue Cross Blue Shield Florida, and Medicare specifically regarding William Konieczko
- any and all instructions and incentives from General Motors, Blue Cross Blue Shield Florida, and Medicare as to how to treat / deny treatment of elderly patients
- and all other information not yet given to us

Then, we must be the ones to prosecute the case by any and all means, such as deposing people.

We must have the information and evidence

We object to all of the violations of our civil rights and constitutional rights and want to be treated fairly, to be heard, and to have our civil rights and rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States protected.

PURSUANT TO ALL APPLICABLE LAWS, STATUTES, AND OTHER AUTHORITIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE FIRST AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND TITLE 42 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1983: THERE MUST BE JUSTICE.

William Konieczko and his father served honorably in the United States military with hopes that their family lived in a country with liberty and justice for all. It was shocking and appalling that there was not justice in that courtroom on Nov. 6, 2017. This is the United States of America, not a communist country. What happened in that hearing should never happen in the United States of America. In the United States of America these defendants and defendants' attorneys should not be allowed to get away with the wrongful things that they have done. **THERE MUST BE JUSTICE.**

The record from the lower tribunal was due on October 23, 2018. On August 24, 2018 we had paid the bill for it and filed instructions to have it

mailed final instructions to the head of the Appeals Division of the lower tribunal to go ahead and transmit the record to the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal, thinking that we could supplement the record with corrections later.

After we sent the letter to the head of the Appeals Division, we received an illegal order from Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal judges Wallis, Edwards, and Harris, dated October 16, 2018, falsely stating that the record was "past due" and demanding that the record be at their court early, by October 19, 2018. To give such short notice is in violation of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, besides, we did not even receive the mailing until the week after October 19, 2018.

Also, it is legally required that the lower tribunal directly transmit the record, and we had already communicated this, as stated above. Very strangely, however, even though we have proof from the USPS that the lower tribunal received our instructions, they never sent the record at all to the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal, even though we had paid for it, and have the receipt to prove it. The Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal illegally used this as an excuse to dismiss the case, which we did not know until after we had filed our Reply Brief.

Judges Wendy Berger, Lambert, and Edwards were complicit / in conspiracy with this illegal dismissal and would not accept our timely-filed Reply Brief. We served a motion for rehearing to vacate the wrongful decisions upon Judge Wendy Berger, with her name on an individual envelope to her, and also served this motion upon Judges Cohen, Torpy, Lambert, Orfinger, and Edwards. Also, a motion for rehearing to vacate the illegal October 16, 2018 order was served upon Judges Wallis, Edwards, and Harris. The motions were timely served. The judges of this court had been illegally abusing their power by not letting us "file" most documents, so we had to serve them instead.

None of these judges vacated their illegal decisions, or did anything at all to rectify the egregious, unconscionable violations of our rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States.

These illegal rulings must be overturned and these judges who have violated their oaths to uphold the Constitution of the United States should be severely dealt with, according to the law. Their violations of 18 U.S.C. 242 are unconscionable, criminal violations.

As can be seen by the documents in this appendix from the Florida Supreme Court, a clerk by the name of Tomasino was trying to block the filing of the order of dismissal from the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal, therefore, we filed a motion to Chief Justice Charles Canady to unstrike the order that the clerk named Tomasino had stricken. Instead of Chief Justice Canady rectifying this matter, another clerk dismissed the case. We do know if any of the several documents we had sent to Chief Justice Charles Canady regarding this case and the other case pending in this Court with a Petition for Rehearing, Case No. 18-1041, were ever given to him. These clerks must be dealt with according to the law for their egregious abuse of power in violating our rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Plaintiffs/Petitioners, seek for this Court to protect our civil rights and constitutional rights, grant justice, and overturn all of these illegal, unconstitutional rulings. Pursuant to the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, it is of very great importance for this Court to grant this writ, because this Court is the court of last resort to redress these extremely important grievances about how our civil rights and constitutional rights have been shockingly, egregiously, and unconscionably violated.

There has not yet been justice for the honor of William as we have been prosecuting the civil case pertaining to how he was murdered at the Florida Hospital Altamonte, recently renamed as AdventHealth Altamonte Springs, with the entity responsible for the records, now named AdventHealth Orlando, illegally obstructing justice by NOT fully acting upon legally executed paperwork and giving us ALL of the necessary records, especially a copy of the ILLEGALLY FORGED DO NOT RESUSCITATE form, which they claim to have, but which NO MEMBER OF OUR FAMILY has ever seen and NO MEMBER OF OUR FAMILY has ever signed.

There have been more violations of our rights in the three lower tribunals, than have been addressed herein.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, and those stated in our Petitions/Briefs filed in this Court for related case no. 18-1041, in January 2019, March 2019, and May 2019, for the efficient use of this Court's time, this Court should consolidate these two cases, protect our civil rights and constitutional rights which have been so egregiously violated, and grant certiorari, as well as obtain all documents that the courts have stricken and/or arbitrarily and illegally refused to file, and also, to see the patterns of illegal abuse of power, obtain and incorporate by reference the documents filed with the Florida

These judges must be dealt with according to the law, or it appears that they may not stop trying to get away with abusing their power.

We look to this Court to overturn all unfair decisions, including, but not limited to, the following:

The illegal, unfair, unconstitutional order to dismiss must be overturned.

The defendants/appellees must be ordered, pursuant to the authorizations on pages 93 and 94 in this Appendix, to immediately confidentially mail to us (for us to review and decide what to file with the court) the following:

- A COPY OF THE FORGED DNR

- the full name of and records pertinent to the so-called female respiratory therapist who went in to see William A. Konieczko the morning of December 1, 2012

- the full name of the coldhearted, rude ICU Charge Nurse, Nancy

- the full name of the ICU nurses, Judy and Mark

- any and all instructions and incentives from General Motors, Blue Cross Blue Shield Florida, and Medicare specifically regarding William Konieczko

- any and all instructions and incentives from General Motors, Blue Cross Blue Shield Florida, and Medicare as to how to treat / deny treatment of elderly patients

and all other information not yet given to us.

Then, we must be the ones to prosecute the case by any and all means, such as deposing people.

We must have the information and evidence necessary to be able to amend the complaint and accurately serve DOE defendants.

We object to all of the violations of our civil rights and constitutional rights and want to be treated fairly, to be heard, and to have our civil rights and rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States protected.

- as set forth in our Reply Brief for case no. 18-1041, especially on page 10, all of the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal judges who were timely-served by us with legally-sufficient motions to disqualify, must be disqualified, according to the law.

- as set forth in our Reply Brief for case no. 18-1041, especially on pages 8-9, the fraudulently falsified orders must instead show the TRUTH.

- as set forth in our Reply Brief for case no. 18-1041, especially on page 13, the respondents must be ordered to give us a copy of the forged DNR form as well as other evidence that they have not given to us, as also set forth above, quoted from our Initial Brief filed at the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal, as follows:

- as set forth in our Reply Brief for case no. 18-1041,, especially on pages 8 and 10, Chief Judge Lauten must abide by the law and appoint a new judge to this case.

- as set forth in the Petition for case no. 18-1041 and in this Petition the violations of the

Procedure must be declared as illegal and unconstitutional, and this court must act to protect our civil rights and constitutional rights which have been violated.

- as set forth in the QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW in this Petition, actions must be taken to overturn wrongful actions against us and resolve each of the issues stated in the nine QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW.

Also, we would like to be informed of which justices grant this Petition, and if any deny this Petition, which justice(s) denied to take action to protect our rights, as guaranteed be the Constitution of the United States.

We have truly thought that all nine justices of this Court would take action to protect our rights. Is there any human being on this earth that YOU love with all your heart? If YOU were seeking justice for the honor of your murdered loved one, wouldn't you want there to be judges who gave you a full and fair opportunity to be heard and PROTECTED YOUR RIGHTS?

We hereby give NOTICE OF INTENT to file motions to recuse all of the justices of this court who denied our Petition for Writ of Certiorari for case no. 18-1041 in this Court, and also for those who denied the Petition for Rehearing for case no. 18-1041. Therefore, we must be informed of who these justices are. This is because we especially set forth very clearly how two of the orders from the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal were fraudulently falsified and we cannot feel that any justice of this court has been or would be fair who denied either of the above-mentioned petitions and took no action at all to protect our rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States, especially by not overturning/vacating orders that were obviously and definitely fraudulently falsified. That is if our petitions were not criminally tampered with, in the mail or otherwise, before being considered by any justices who personally considered them. This Court shall receive investigative inquiries pertinent to these concerns, initiated by us.

On July 27, 2019, we sent, by way of Priority Mail Express, with the proof of delivery showing delivery on July 29, 2019, an APPLICATION TO JUSTICE CLARENCE THOMAS TO APPROVE GUIDELINES FOR APPENDIX AND TO GRANT EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI. This document reads as follows:

"We hereby make this application to JUSTICE CLARENCE THOMAS that he will rectify a discrepancy regarding the fact that the SCOTUS Clerk's Office accepted having the respondents' appendix to their brief, filed in the preceding case in this Court, case no. 18-1041, with the judicial orders having been photocopied and placed sideways in the appendix (booklet), yet the Clerk's office would not allow for us to photocopy the judicial orders and put them sideways in the appendix (booklet). The Constitution of the United States provides for us to have Equal Protection under the law, with fairness in judicial proceedings. It is only fair that we be treated equally. Please grant for us to be approved to be able to photocopy the orders and place them sideways in the appendix (booklet).

Also, in the appendix for the SCOTUS case on the Peace Cross, reduced-size photocopies of letters and photocopies of other documents, which appeared to be classified as evidence, were placed into the appendix. Please grant for us to be approved to be able to photocopy documents and place them in the appendix, as they were able to do in the Peace Cross case.

Another reason that we are making this application for an extension of time is that, unexpectedly, we have had to spend extra time, and will continue to spend extra time, pertaining to confidential investigations into criminal tampering of our mailings to SCOTUS and criminal hacking of our computer, including blocking our computer from accessing certain information from the U. S. Senate, and blocking our computer from being able to access certain websites, including the websites of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Justice, and Sean Hannity. This is a sad situation, knowing that there are such evil criminals out there, and especially since it appears that evidence has implicated members of law enforcement as being the hackers.

Also, whenever court documents are due, there is often evil criminal activity, such as vandalism to our vehicle and/or property. We have many receipts for replacement tires for vandalized tires, and a lot of other evidence. Even though these matters are not specific issues of this civil case, at this time, these situations have unexpectedly taken up time.

We hereby make application for an extension of time to file the revised Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, which is now due on August 6, 2019, such that it will not be due until 60 (sixty) days from the date of your order that will be issued in response to this application.

Thank you for your consideration."

Today, instead of a much anticipated response from Justice Thomas, we received the documents back in the mail, from a clerk of this Court.

However, we still need Justice Thomas to clarify guidelines for any appendix we may file in the future.

The Declaration of Independence, the founding document of this nation, and the forerunner to the Constitution of the United States, speaks of the importance of justice and acknowledges "the Supreme Judge of the world."

The Jewish prophet, Zechariah, as recorded in the book of Zechariah, said, "This is what the Lord Almighty says: Administer true justice..." (Zechariah 7:9), and also, "This is what the Lord Almighty says...render true and sound judgment in your courts..." (Zechariah 8:14,16).

"YOU SHALL KNOW THE TRUTH.."- John 8:32

**"DO NOT DENY JUSTICE DUE TO...
PEOPLE IN THEIR LAWSUITS." - Exodus 23:6**

**"LET JUSTICE RUN DOWN AS WATERS,
AND RIGHTEOUSNESS
AS A MIGHTY STREAM." - Amos 5:24**

**AT HIS OWN TRIAL, JESUS CHRIST SAID,
"...I CAME TO TESTIFY TO THE TRUTH,
EVERYONE ON THE SIDE OF TRUTH
LISTENS TO ME." - John 18:37**

**Frances K. Konieczko
Lawrence W. Konieczko
Laurie F. Konieczko
Self-Represented
PO Box 536253, Orlando, FL 32853**

August 2019