Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20006-6801 202.747.1900 main 202.747.1901 fax www.sheppardmullin.com

David S. Gallacher 202.747.1921 direct dgallacher@sheppardmullin.com

December 9, 2019

#### VIA ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM AND HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20543-0001

Re: Waiver of Response to the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Winston-Salem Industries for the Blind v. United States of America; PDS Consultants, Inc., No. 19-329

To the Honorable Scott S. Harris, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 15.5, Respondent PDS Consultants, Inc. ("PDS") hereby waives its right to file a response to the petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-referenced case, unless one is requested by the Court. A signed copy of the Court's waiver form is enclosed with this letter.

The Court ruled as recently as three years ago in the unanimous decision of Kingdomware Technologies, Inc. v. United States, — U.S. —, 136 S. Ct. 1969, 1976, 195 L.Ed.2d 344 (2016), that the Veterans Benefits, Health Care, and Information Technology Act of 2006 ("VBA") (codified in relevant part at 38 U.S.C. §§ 8127-8128) requires the United States Department of Veterans Affairs (the "VA") "to apply the Rule of Two to all contracting determinations and to award contracts to veteranowned small businesses" when utilizing the procedures under 38 U.S.C. § 8127(d).

The Hon. Scott S. Harris December 9, 2019 Page 2

In the opinion below, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit correctly applied *Kingdomware* to hold that the VBA's Rule of Two takes precedence over the Javits-Wager-O'Day Act, 41 U.S.C. §§ 8501-8506 ("JWOD"), and thus, the VA is required to give priority under 38 U.S.C. §8127(d) to veteran-owned small businesses where two or more such businesses could provide goods or services at a fair and reasonable price. This is required notwithstanding the fact that those goods or services are on the AbilityOne procurement list and ordinarily would result in a contract being awarded to a qualified non-profit under JWOD.

Petitioner Winston-Salem Industries for the Blind and the various *amici* have proffered no meritorious basis for revisiting the Court's unanimous decision in *Kingdomware* or for overturning the Federal Circuit's opinion below applying this Court's unanimous decision. Nor have IFB or the *amici* raised any substantively new legal arguments that were not already considered by the lower courts. In truth, the main argument advanced by Petitioner and *amici* alike is that the policy implications of the lower courts' decisions will have a disparate impact on the JWOD community. But those kinds of policy concerns are to be set and weighed by Congress, not the courts. Simply put, there is nothing for the Court to do here.

In recognition of the fact that the case clearly does not warrant review by this Court (as demonstrated by the sound opinion below), Respondent waives the right to file a brief in opposition, unless one is requested by the Court.

The Hon. Scott S. Harris December 9, 2019 Page 3

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Gallacher

Counsel of Record

Emily S. Theriault

Keeley A. McCarty

Adam A. Bartolanzo

SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 100 Washington, DC 20006-6801 Telephone: (202) 747-1921 Direct Facsimile: (202) 747-3807

Email: dgallacher@sheppardmullin.com

Counsel for Respondent PDS Consultants, Inc.

Enclosure (as stated)

#### RULE 29.6 STATEMENT

Pursuant to this Court's Rule 29.6, Respondent PDS Consultants, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and that no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

The Hon. Scott S. Harris December 9, 2019 Page 4

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

No. 19-329

I, David S. Gallacher, do hereby certify that on December 9, 2019, I caused one copy and an electronic copy of the above-referenced documents to be served by first-class mail and by email on the following parties:

Carter G. Phillips, Esq. Sidley Austin LLP 1501 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 <a href="mailto:cphillips@sidley.com">cphillips@sidley.com</a> (202) 736-8000

Noel J. Francisco, Esq. Solicitor General United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001 SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov (202) 514-2217

Robert Reeves Anderson, Esq. Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP 370 Seventeenth Street, Suite 4400 Denver, CO 80202 Reeves.Anderson@arnoldporter.com (303) 863-2325

Thomas Mark Bondy, Esq. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 1152 15 Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 tbondy@orrick.com (202) 339-8406

The Hon. Scott S. Harris December 9, 2019 Page 5

> Angela Ingram Carmon, Esq. City of Winston-Salem P.O. Box 2511 Winston-Salem, NC 27102 angelacacityofws.org (336) 747-7404

Lawrence S. Ebner, Esq.
Capital Appellate Advocacy PLLC
1701 Pennsylvania Avc., NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20006
lawrence.ebner@capitalappellate.com
(202) 729-6337

Tracye Winfrey Howard, Esq. Wiley Rein, LLP 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 twhoward@wileyrein.com (202) 719-7000

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr., Esq. Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP 1155 F Street, NW, 7th Floor Washington, DC 20004 donald.verrilliamto.com (202) 220-1101

David S. Gallacher

# WAIVER

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court Case No. 19-329	
	United States of America; PDS Consultants, Inc.
(Petitioner)	(Respondent)
I DO NOT INTEND TO FILE A RESPONSE to the pay the Court.	petition for a writ of certiorari unless one is requested
Please check the appropriate boxes:	
☐ Please enter my appearance as Counsel of Rec	cord for all respondents.
There are multiple respondents, and I do appearance as Counsel of Record for the follow PDS Consultants, Inc.	not represent all respondents. Please enter my ving respondent(s):
■ I am a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court  ☐ I am not presently a member of the Bar of this C	of the United States.  ourt. Should a response be requested, the response
will be filed by a Bar member	our c. Should a response of requested, the response
Date: December 9, 2019	
(Type or print) Name David S. Gallacher, E	Esq.
■ Mr. □ Ms. □ Firm Sheppard Mullin Richter & Ha	
Address 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue	NW, Suite 100
City & State Washington, DC	<sub>Zip</sub> 20006
	dgallacher@sheppardmullin.com

A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE SENT TO PETITIONER'S COUNSEL OR TO PETITIONER IF  $PRO\ SE$ . PLEASE INDICATE BELOW THE NAME(S) OF THE RECIPIENT(S) OF A COPY OF THIS FORM. NO ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE IS REQUIRED.

CC: See Certificate of Service attached to Letter