

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS AND ORDERS

Per Curiam Order of the District Court of Appeal of Florida Second District (September 12, 2018).....	1a
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Refer Incident to Law Enforcement and to Further Amend 2/23/17 Order (March 2, 2017)	2a
Order on Defendant's Motion to Clarify and Order Affirming Rulings Entered by Predecessor Judge (March 1, 2017)	4a
Order of the Circuit Court Denying Motion for Reconsideration (February 23, 2017)	7a
Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Omitted Counterclaim (June 9, 2016)	10a
Amended Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial (June 8, 2016).....	12a
Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial (June 8, 2016)	14a
Uniform Final Judgment of Mortgage Foreclosure (April 7, 2015)	16a
Amended Order of the District Court of Appeal Denying Motion for Rehearing (January 8, 2019).....	25a

**PER CURIAM ORDER OF THE DISTRICT COURT
OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA SECOND DISTRICT
(SEPTEMBER 12, 2018)**

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF
FLORIDA SECOND DISTRICT

MILES CHRISTIAN-HART,

Appellant,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Appellee.

Case No. 2D16-2875

2D17-1110

Consolidated

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Sarasota County;
Nancy K. Donnellan, Senior Judge and
Brian A. Iten, Judge.

KHOZAM, SLEET, and BADALAMENTI, JJ.

PER CURIAM

Affirmed.

KHOZAM, SLEET, and BADALAMENTI, JJ., Concur.

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
REFER INCIDENT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AND
TO FURTHER AMEND 2/23/17 ORDER
(MARCH 2, 2017)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SARASOTA
COUNTY, FLORIDA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MILES CHRISTIAN HART, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2010 CA 012116 NC

This Cause is being considered without hearing on Defendant's Motion to Refer Incident to Law Enforcement and To Further Amend 2/23/17 Order to Reserve Jurisdiction Based on Findings Thereof (e-filed March 1, 2017). On February 23, 2017, this Court entered an Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration. On March 1, 2017, this Court entered an Order on Defendant's Motion to Clarify and Order Affirming Rulings and Orders Entered By Predecessor Judge. In the instant motion, Defendant requests the Court refer "the matter" (re: fraud on the court) to law enforcement and enter a second amended order reserving jurisdiction

pending the outcome of the law enforcement investigation.

Accordingly, the Court does hereby
ORDER that said motion be and the same is hereby
Denied.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, in Sarasota
County, Florida on this 2 day of March, 2017.

/s/ Frederick P. Mercurio
Circuit Judge

**ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CLARIFY
AND ORDER AFFIRMING RULINGS ENTERED
BY PREDECESSOR JUDGE
(MARCH 1, 2017)**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SARASOTA
COUNTY, FLORIDA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MILES CHRISTIAN HART, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2010 CA 012116 NC

This Cause is being considered without hearing on Defendant's Motion to Clarify Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration (dated February 28, 2017). On February 23, 2017, this Court entered an Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration. In the instant motion, Defendant requests the Court enter an amended order clarifying its ruling. Accordingly, the Court states as follows:

The Movant sought judicial review and reconsideration of the following orders entered by the predecessor judge, the Honorable Brian Iten: 1.) Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial (e-filed

June 9, 2016); 2.) Amended Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial (e-filed June 9, 2016); and 3.) Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Omitted Counterclaim (e-filed June 10, 2016). Judge Iten entered an Order for Disqualification on June 14, 2016, granting Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Circuit Judge.

Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.160(h) provides that RE: Prior Rulings-prior factual or legal rulings by a disqualified judge may be reconsidered and vacated or amended by a successor judge based upon a motion for reconsideration, which must be filed within 20 days of the order of disqualification, unless good cause is shown for a delay in moving for reconsideration or other grounds for reconsideration exist. The Court found the Motion for Reconsideration was timely filed.

On February 22, 2017, the Court heard argument of counsel, reviewed the supporting documentation and case law submitted by counsel, and reconsidered the rulings of the predecessor judge. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the 1.) Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial (e-filed June 9, 2016); 2.) Amended Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial (e-filed June 9, 2016); and 3.) Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Omitted Counterclaim (e-filed June 10, 2016) are adopted as so entered. This Court agrees with Judge Iten's Orders and adopts said Orders as its own.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, in Sarasota
County, Florida on this 1 day of March, 2017.

/s/ Frederick P. Mercurio
Circuit Judge

**ORDER OF THE CIRCUIT COURT DENYING
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
(FEBRUARY 23, 2017)**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SARASOTA
COUNTY, FLORIDA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MILES CHRISTIAN HART, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2010 CA 012116 NC

This Cause came before the Court on February 22, 2017 on Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Prior Orders (e-filed June 23, 2016). Charlene Calhoun, Esq., appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff and Chioma Michel, Esq. and Steven Fox, Esq., represented the Defendant.

This foreclosure action is nearly seven years old and has an extensive judicial/litigation history, which includes multiple hearings and a trial conducted by predecessor judges. The Second District Court—by Order dated October 11, 2016—granted Appellant's unopposed motion to relinquish jurisdiction to permit

the trial court to rule on the pending motion for reconsideration. Pursuant to Administrative Order 2016-13A.4, the undersigned judge was reassigned to Circuit Civil Division A effective January 2, 2017 and is currently assigned this case. By subsequent Order by the Second DCA dated January 30, 2017, relinquishing jurisdiction to the trial court until March 1, 2017 and under Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.330(h), this Court has jurisdiction to hear Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration.

The Movant seeks judicial review and reconsideration of the following orders entered by the predecessor judge, the Honorable Brian Iten: 1.) Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial (e-filed June 9, 2016); 2.) Amended Order Denying Defendant's Motion for New Trial (e-filed June 9, 2016); and 3.) Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Omitted Counterclaim (e-filed June 10, 2016). Judge Iten entered an Order for Disqualification on June 14, 2016, granting Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Circuit Judge.

Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.160(h) provides that RE: Prior Rulings-prior factual or legal rulings by a disqualified judge may be reconsidered and vacated or amended by a successor judge based upon a motion for reconsideration, which must be filed within 20 days of the order of disqualification, unless good cause is shown for a delay in moving for reconsideration or other grounds for reconsideration exist. The Court finds that the Motion for Reconsideration is thus timely filed.

Accordingly, the Court having heard argument of counsel, reviewed the supporting documentation and

case law submitted by counsel, and being otherwise duly advised in the premises, does hereby

ORDER that Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Prior Orders be and the same is hereby Denied. A motion for reconsideration is directed to a "non-final" order and its sole purpose is to call to the attention of the court any error, omission, or oversight that may have been committed during the hearing and/or the preparation of the judge's order. This Court finds no such error, omission or oversight, has occurred and thus affirms and adopts the Orders so entered.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, in Sarasota County, Florida on this 23 day of Feb, 2017.

/s/ Frederick P. Mercurio
Circuit Judge

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE OMITTED COUNTERCLAIM
(JUNE 9, 2016)**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SARASOTA
COUNTY, FLORIDA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MILES CHRISTIAN HART, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2010 CA 012116 NC

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court on Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Amended Counter-claim, filed on April 17, 2015, and the Court having reviewed the file and being otherwise duly and sufficiently advised, the Court hereby finds as follows:

- 1) A bench trial was held on April 7, 2015, at which time Defendant was represented by Paul Cherry, Esquire.
- 2) On April 14, 2015, the Court issued its Order of Withdrawal of Counsel, relieving Mr. Cherry of further responsibility in this case.

3) On April 17, 2015, Defendant filed his Pro Se Motion for New Trial. Said Motion was never heard.

4) On May 3, 2016, Steven Fox, Esquire, filed Defendant's Motion to Set Aside and Vacate Foreclosure sale. The May 3rd Motion was granted at a May 6, 2016 hearing, where Mr. Fox appeared on behalf of Defendant.¹

5) On June 1, 2016, at 6:49 pm, Steven Fox, Esquire, filed his Amended Motion for New Trial.

6) On June 1, 2016, at 6:54 pm, Steven Fox, Esquire, filed the instant Motion for Leave to File Amended Counterclaim. Said Motion included the following reference: "The undersigned counsel enters his appearance herein."

7) On June 8, 2016, the Court denied the April 17, 2015 Pro Se Motion for New Trial.

8) In the June 8th Order, the Court indicated that it was not permitting the June 1, 2016 amendment to 2015 Motion for new trial.

9) Inasmuch as a new trial has been denied, the Court declines to provide leave to file the omitted counterclaim. *See* Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.170(f).

In light of the foregoing, said Motion is DENIED.

DONE AND ORDERED in Sarasota County, Florida, this 9th day of June, 2016.

/s/ Brian A. Iten
Circuit Judge

¹ At the time, the Court was not aware that Steven Fox, Esquire, had not filed a Notice of Appearance in the instant case.

**AMENDED ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL
(JUNE 8, 2016)**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SARASOTA
COUNTY, FLORIDA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MILES CHRISTIAN HART, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2010 CA 012116 NC

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court on Defendant's Motion for New Trial, filed on April 17, 2015,¹ and the Court having reviewed the file and

¹ The record reveals that the bench trial was held on April 7, 2015, at which time Defendant was represented by Paul Cherry, Esquire. On April 14, 2015, the Court issued its Order of Withdrawal of Counsel, relieving Mr. Cherry of further responsibility in this case. On April 17, 2015, Defendant filed his Pro Se Motion for New Trial. Said Motion was never heard. On May 3, 2016, Steven Fox, Esquire, filed Defendant's Motion to Set Aside and Vacate Foreclosure sale. The May 3rd Motion was granted at a May 6, 2016 hearing, where Mr. Fox appeared on behalf of Defendant. On June 1, 2016, Steven Fox filed his Amended Motion for New Trial. While a trial court can, in its discretion, allow an amended

being otherwise duly and sufficiently advised, the Court hereby finds as follows:

Said Motion is DENIED.

DONE AND ORDERED in Sarasota County, Florida, this 8th day of June, 2016.

/s/ Brian A. Iten
Circuit Judge

motion for new trial to be filed beyond the 15-day window provided in Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.530(b), *see Adkins v. Burdeshaw*, 220 So.2d 39, 41 (Fla. 1st DCA 1969), here the Court will not permit such amendment for the following reasons: (a) said Amended Motion proves to be a nullity, for Mr. Fox filed no notice of appearance in this case prior to or contemporaneous with his filing of the Amended Motion, *see Pasco County v. Quail Hollow Properties, Inc.*, 693 So.2d 82 (Fla. 2d DCA 1997), and (b) the Court, in exercising its discretion under Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.530(b), finds that the belated filing of said Amended Motion is unfairly prejudicial to Plaintiff.

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL
(JUNE 8, 2016)**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SARASOTA
COUNTY, FLORIDA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MILES CHRISTIAN HART, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2010 CA 012116 NC

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court on Defendant's Motion for New Trial, filed on April 17, 2015,¹ and the Court having reviewed the file and

¹ The record reveals that the bench trial was held on April 7, 2015, at which time Defendant was represented by Paul Cherry, Esquire. On April 14, 2015, the Court issued its Order of Withdrawal of Counsel, relieving Mr. Cherry of further responsibility in this case. On April 17, 2015, Defendant filed his Pro Se Motion for New Trial. Said Motion was never heard. On May 3, 2016, Steven Fox, Esquire, filed Defendant's Motion to Set Aside and Vacate Foreclosure sale. The May 3rd Motion was granted at a May 6, 2016 hearing, where Mr. Fox appeared on behalf of Defendant. On June 1, 2016, Steven Fox filed his Amended Motion for New Trial. While the Court can, in its discretion, allow an amended

being otherwise duly and sufficiently advised, the Court hereby finds as follows:

Said Motion is DENIED.

DONE AND ORDERED in Sarasota County, Florida, this 8th day of June, 2016.

/s/ Brian A. Iten
Circuit Judge

motion for new trial to be filed beyond the 15-day window provided in Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.530(b). *see Adkins v. Burdeshaw*, 220 So.2d 39, 41 (Fla. 1st DCA 1969), here the Court will not permit such amendment, inasmuch as said Amended Motion proves to be a nullity, for Mr. Fox has never filed a notice of appearance in this case. *See Pasco County v. Quail Hollow Properties, Inc.*, 693 So.2d 82 (Fla. 2d DCA 1997).

UNIFORM FINAL JUDGMENT
OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE
(APRIL 7, 2015)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
TWELFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN & FOR SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY
RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS
INSTRUMENT # 2015040979 4 PG(S)
4/8/2015 8:40 AM
KAREN E. RUSHING
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA
CIVIL COURTS Receipt # 1846907

MILES CHRISTIAN HART; THE UNKNOWN
SPOUSE OF MILES CHRISTIAN HART;
SARASOTA COUNTY; SARASOTA SPRINGS
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC.; ANY AND ALL
UNKNOWN PARTIES CLAIMING THROUGH,
UNDER, AND AGAINST THE HEREIN NAMED
INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT(S) WHO ARE NOT
KNOWN BE DEAD OR ALIVE, WHETHER SAID
UNKNOWN PARTY MAY CLAIM AN INTEREST
AS SPOUSES, HEIRS, DEVISEES, GRANTEEES, OR
OTHER CLAIMANTS; TENANT #1, TENANT #2,
TENANT #3 and TENANT #4 the Names Being
Fictitious to Account for Parties in Possession,

Defendant(s).

Clerk Case Number: 2010 CA 012116 NC

Division: Circuit Civil-C

This form substantially complies with Form 1.996, adopted by the Florida Supreme Court February 11, 2010, SC09-1579; and revised by the Florida Supreme Court December 9, 2010, SC09-1579; form published in 12th Circuit on 4-5-10 and revised on 1/4/2011.

THIS action was tried before the Court. On the evidence presented

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:

1. Plaintiff, WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., c/o Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 4101 Wiseman Blvd., San Antonio, TX 78251 is due:

Unpaid Principal balance due on the note secured by the mortgage foreclosed	\$148,930.10
Interest on the note and mortgage from June 1, 2010 to April 7, 2015	\$26,205.67
Pre-Accelerated Late Charges	\$501.32
Title search and examination	\$400.00
Filing Fee	\$965.00
Service of Process	\$330.00
Mediation Fee	\$750.00
Esquire Solutions/Transcript	\$496.94
Additional Costs:	
Escrow Advance	\$13,560.69
Attorney fees	\$1,300.00
GRAND TOTAL DUE TO PLAINTIFF	<u>\$193,439.72</u>
	\$192,942.78

that shall bear interest from this date forward at the prevailing legal interest rate of 4.75%.

2. Plaintiff hold the Lien for the total sum superior to all claims or estates of defendant(s), on the following described property in SARASOTA County, Florida:

LOT 253, UNIT 2, SARASOTA SPRINGS SUB-DIVISION, ACCORDING TO THE MAP OR PLAT THEREOF, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 8 AT PAGE 6, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA.

3. If the total amount with interest at the rate described in Paragraph 1 and all costs accrued subsequent to this Judgment are not paid, the Clerk of the Court shall sell the subject property at public sale as set forth below to the highest bidder for cash, except as prescribed in paragraph 4, in accordance with Section 45.031, Florida Statutes, using the following method:

Sales Information	Date (Clerk Inserts)	Time	Location
Sarasota County	August 5, 2015	9:00 AM	Foreclosure Sales conducted via Internet: www.sarasota.realforeclose.com
Manatee County		11:00 AM	Foreclosure Sales conducted via Internet: www.manatee.realforeclose.com

DeSoto County		11:00 AM	DeSoto County Courthouse 115 Oak Street, Arcadia, FL 34266 www.desotoclerk. com
------------------	--	-------------	--

4. Plaintiff shall advance all subsequent costs of this action and shall be reimbursed for them by the Clerk if Plaintiff is not the purchaser of the property for sale, provided however, that the purchaser of the property for sale shall be responsible for the documentary stamps payable on the Certificate of Title. If Plaintiff is the purchaser, the clerk shall credit plaintiff's bid with the total sum with interest and costs accruing subsequent to this judgment, or such part of it, as is necessary to pay the bid in full.

5. On filing the Certificate of Title the Clerk shall distribute the proceeds of the sale, so far as they are sufficient, by paying: first, all of the Plaintiff's costs; second, documentary stamps affixed to the Certificate; third, Plaintiff's attorneys' fees; fourth, the sum total due to the Plaintiff, less the items paid, plus interest at the rate prescribed in Paragraph 1 from this date to the date of the sale; and by retaining any remaining amount pending the further order of this court.

6. On filing of the Certificate of Sale, defendant(s) and all persons claiming under or against defendant(s) since the filing of the Notice of Lis Pendens shall be foreclosed of all estate or claim in the property, except as to claims or rights under Chapter 718 or Chapter 720, Florida Statutes, if any. Upon the filing of the Certificate of Title, the person named on the Certificate of Title shall be let into possession of the property.

NOTICE: Issuance of a Writ of Possession does not exempt Plaintiff from complying with federal law requiring notice to tenants residing on foreclosed property. To insure compliance with federal law, Plaintiff should consult with counsel before serving the Writ of Possession.

7. The Court finds, based upon the affidavits presented and upon inquiry of counsel for the Plaintiff, that the flat fee of \$1,300.00 is reasonable and appropriate for the Plaintiff's counsel's attorney's fees. The Court finds that there are no reasons for either reduction or enhancement pursuant to *Florida Patient's Compensation Funds v. Rowe*, 472 So.2d 1145 (Fla. 1985), and the Court therefore has awarded reasonable attorney's fees in the amount indicated in paragraph 1 of this Judgment.

8. The Court specifically reserves jurisdiction to enter further orders the Court deems just and proper to include, without limitation, the following: orders related to pursuit and entry of deficiency judgment, if Defendant has not been discharged in bankruptcy, or it is not prohibited by federal law or mutual settlement agreement; orders granting additional attorney's fees and costs; writs of possession; orders determining the amount and responsibility for assessments that may be due a condominium or homeowner's association pursuant to sections 718.116 or 720.3085 of the Florida Statues; orders arising out of reforeclosure, to include permitting a supplemental complaint to add an interest-holder, and/or; orders involving reformation of the mortgage instrument or deed to perfect title [sic].

9. Additions, Modifications, or Changes to Standard Form

Any additions, modifications, or changes to the provisions above may only be set forth in this paragraph.

**NOTICE PURSUANT TO § 45.031,
FLORIDA STATUTES (2006).**

If this property is sold at public auction, there may be additional money from the sale after payment of persons who are entitled to be paid from the sale proceeds pursuant to this Final Judgment.

If you are a subordinate lien holder claiming a right to funds remaining after the sale, you must file a claim with the Clerk no later than sixty (60) days after the sale. If you fail to file a claim, you will not be entitled to any remaining funds.

If the property being foreclosure on has qualified for the homestead tax exemption in the most recent approved tax roll, the following additional language applies:

If you are the property owner, you may claim these funds yourself. You are not required to have a lawyer or any other representation and you do not have to assign your rights to anyone else in order for you to claim any money to which you are entitled. Please check with the Clerk of Court for your county within ten (10) days after the sale to see if there is additional money from the foreclosure sale that the clerk has in the registry of the Court.

Sarasota County Clerk of Court	2000 Main Street, Sarasota, FL 34237 (941) 861-7400 www.sarasotaclerk.com
Manatee County Clerk of Court	1115 Manatee Ave. W., Bradenton, FL 34205 (941) 749-1800 www.manateeclerk.com
DeSoto County Clerk of Court	115 East Oak Street, Arcadia, FL 34266 (863) 993-4876 www.desotoclerk.com

If you decide to sell your home or hire someone to help you claim the additional money, you should read very carefully all papers you are required to sign, ask someone else, preferably an attorney who is not related to the person offering help to you, to make sure that you understand what you are signing and that you are not transferring your property or the equity in your property without the proper information. If you cannot afford to pay an attorney, you may contact the local legal services listed below to see if you qualify financially for their services. If they cannot assist you, they may be able to refer you to a local bar referral agency or suggest other options. If you choose to contact one of the services listed below, you should do so as soon as possible after receipt or this notice.

Sarasota County

Legal Aid of Manasota Sarasota Office
1900 Main Street,
Suite 302
Sarasota, FL 34236
(941) 366-0038

Venice Office
7810 South Tamiami Trail,
Suite A6
Venice, FL 34293
(941) 366-1746

Gulfcoast Legal Services
1750 17th Street
Bldg. 1
Sarasota, FL 34236
(941) 366-1746
www.gulfcoastlegal.org

Manatee County

Legal Aid of Manasota
1101 6th Avenue
West Bradenton, FL 34205
(941) 747-1628
www.gulfcoastlegal.org

Gulfcoast Legal Services
430 12th Street,
West Bradenton, FL 34205
(941) 746-6151
www.gulfcoastlegal.org

DeSoto County

Florida Rural Legal Services
3210 Cleveland Avenue
Suite A
Fort Myers, FL 33901
(800) 476-8937
www.frls.org

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers in Sarasota
County, Florida, this 7 day of April, 2015.

/s/ Nancy K. Donnellan
Judge Kimberly Bonner
Circuit Judge
Twelfth Judicial Circuit

**AMENDED ORDER OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF
APPEAL DENYING MOTION FOR REHEARING
(JANUARY 8, 2019)**

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF
FLORIDA SECOND DISTRICT,
POST OFFICE BOX 327, LAKELAND, FL 33802-0327

MILES CHRISTIAN-HART,

Appellant/Petitioner(s),

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Appellee/Respondent(s).

Case No. 2D16-2875
2D17-1110

L.T. No.: 2010-CA-012116 NC,
2010-CA-012116 NC.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:

Appellant's motion for rehearing and rehearing en banc is denied.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true copy of the original court order.

Served:

Milan Brkich, Esq.

Emily Y. Rottmann, Esq.

Barbara Hart

Albertelli Law
C. H. Houston, III, Esq.
Sara F. Holladay-Tobias, Esq.
Steven Fox, Esq.
Karen E. Rushing, Clerk.

mep

/s/Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel
Clerk