## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

\_\_\_\_

No. 19-1442

WILLIE EARL CARR and KIM L. MINOR, PETITIONERS

v.

ANDREW M. SAUL, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, RESPONDENT

\_\_\_\_

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF A JOINT APPENDIX

\_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Rules 21 and 26.8 of this Court, petitioners Willie Earl Carr and Kim L. Minor respectfully move for leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in the above-captioned case. Counsel for respondent Andrew M. Saul, Commissioner of Social Security, has authorized undersigned counsel to state that respondent concurs in this motion.

The question presented in this case is whether claimants seeking disability benefits under the Social Security Act must exhaust Appointments Clause challenges before the Administrative Law Judge as a prerequisite to obtaining judicial review. This case thus presents a pure question of law. The opinion of the court of appeals and the district court's decisions below are reproduced in the appendix to the petition for certiorari. The parties do not believe that any other portion of the record merits special attention that warrants the preparation and expense of a joint appendix. A separate joint appendix therefore would not materially assist the Court's consideration of this case.

For the foregoing reasons, the motion to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lisa S. Blatt

LISA S. BLATT

Counsel of Record for Petitioner

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

725 12th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 434-5000

lblatt@wc.com

December 11, 2020