1	RESOLUTION NO.
2	WHEREAS, the Austin City Council passed Ordinance No. 20141211-050 in
4	December 2014 to prohibit discrimination in housing based on an individual's source
5	of income and to recognize the "inalienable rights of each individual to obtain housing
6	without regard to race, color, creed, religion, sex, national origin, disability, student
7	status, marital status, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, or source
8	of income"; and
9	WHEREAS, during the 84th legislative session, the State of Texas passed
10	Senate Bill 267 into law, which prohibits a municipality or county from protecting
11	individuals from housing discrimination because an individual relies on federal
12	housing assistance as a source of income; and
13	WHEREAS, the aforementioned prohibition in Senate Bill 267 on enforcement
14	of municipal and county local ordinances and orders to protect against housing
15	discrimination for those relying on federal housing assistance as a source of income
16	remains in full force and effect; and
17	WHEREAS, the Austin City Council passed Resolution No. 20170817-054 in
18	August 2017, directing the City Manager to prepare and initiate litigation, as
19	appropriate, against the State of Texas to allow the City of Austin and other Texas
20	municipalities to fight housing discrimination based on source of income; and

20

21	WHEREAS, as directed in Resolution No. 2017/0817-054, the City Manager
22	initiated such litigation on behalf of the City of Austin in the United States District
23	Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin Division, in a case styled and docketed
24	as City of Austin v. Ken Paxton, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Texas,
25	et al., No. 1:17-cv-00843-SS (hereinafter, Paxton SOI suit), making the legal claims
26	set forth in the City of Austin's First Amended Complaint filed on October 30, 2017
27	(Paxton SOI suit Docket No. 16); and
28	WHEREAS, the federal district court entered an Order on July 12, 2018 (Paxton
29	SOI suit Docket No. 32), holding that it had jurisdiction in the case, dismissing two of
30	the City of Austin claims, and declining to dismiss one of them; and
31	WHEREAS, in an interlocutory appeal taken by the State of Texas Defendants
32	in the Paxton SOI suit, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in a
33	decision reported at 943 F.3d 993 (5th Cir. 2019) ("Paxton appeal"), held that the
34	Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution meant that the district court
35	lacked jurisdiction over the State of Texas Defendants; and
36	WHEREAS, in an Order on February 26, 2020 (Paxton SOI suit Docket No.
37	46), upon receipt of the mandate issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the
38	Fifth Circuit in the Paxton appeal, the federal district court dismissed the Paxton SOI
39	suit without prejudice on the ground that it lacked jurisdiction; and

40	WHEREAS, in a case styled and docketed as City of Austin v. Ken Paxton,
41	Docket No. 19-1441, the City of Austin has petitioned the Supreme Court of the United
42	States to issue a writ of certiorari to review and reverse the appeals court decision and
43	judgment in the <i>Paxton</i> appeal; and
44	WHEREAS, the Austin City Council believes that the State of Texas' Senate
45	Bill 267, codified as Section 250.007 of the Texas Local Government Code, does and
46	continues to interfere with the City's moral and legal responsibility to protect our
47	residents from housing discrimination; NOW, THEREFORE,
48	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
49	In the event that the City succeeds in obtaining review and a reversal in the
50	pending Supreme Court matter, and as soon as reasonably possible after such success,
51	the City Manager is hereby directed to continue pursuit of the remaining claim in the
52	Paxton SOI suit, as well as to pursue such other claims as may be made, in good faith
53	legal judgment, against the State of Texas and such of its officials, including the
54	Attorney General of Texas, as may be appropriate to allow the City of Austin to
55	implement and enforce Ordinance No. 20141211-050, by either re-opening or re-filing
56	the Paxton SOI suit or by instituting a newly filed lawsuit in the same federal district
57	court.
58 59 60	ADOPTED:, 2020 ATTEST: Jannette S. Goodall
61	City Clerk