

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Office of the Attorney General

Jason S. Miyares Attorney General

February 18, 2022

202 North Ninth Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 786-2071 Fax (804) 786-1991 Virginia Relay Services 800-828-1120 7-1-1

Honorable Scott S. Harris Clerk Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: <u>Thomas E. Dobbs, State Health Officer of the Mississippi Department of Health, et al. v. Jackson Women's Health Organization, et al.</u>, No. 19-1392.

Dear Mr. Harris:

This case involves a challenge to Mississippi's Gestational Age Act, which prohibits abortions after fifteen weeks' gestation, with exceptions for medical emergency or severe fetal abnormality. See Miss. Code Ann. § 41-41-191. The District Court held that the Act violated women's due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment and that permanent injunctive relief was appropriate to enjoin the enforcement of the legislation. See Jackson Women's Health Org. v. Currier, 349 F. Supp. 3d 536 (S.D. Miss. 2018). The Fifth Circuit affirmed. See Jackson Women's Health Org. v. Dobbs, 945 F.3d 265 (CA5 2019). This Court granted certiorari on the question whether all pre-viability prohibitions on elective abortions are unconstitutional. Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org., 141 S. Ct. 2619 (May 17, 2021) (No. 19-1392).

Virginia joined a group of twenty-two States, the District of Columbia, and the North Carolina Attorney General contending that Mississippi's prohibition on pre-viability abortions is—and should remain—unconstitutional based on precedent including *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania* v. *Casey*, 505 U.S. 833 (1992), and *Roe* v. *Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973). *See* Brief for State of California, et al. as *Amici Curiae*, *Dobbs* v. *Jackson Women's Health Org.*, No. 19-1392 (Sept. 20, 2021).

Following the change in Administration on January 15, 2022, the Attorney General has reconsidered Virginia's position in this case. The purpose of this letter is to notify the Court that Virginia no longer adheres to the arguments contained in its previously filed brief. Virginia is now of the view that the Constitution is silent on the question of abortion, and that it is therefore up to the people in the several States to determine the legal status and regulatory treatment of abortion.

Virginia now urges this Court to reverse the Fifth Circuit. It is Virginia's position that the Court's decisions in *Roe* and *Casey* were wrongly decided. Unmoored from the Constitution's text, the Court's abortion jurisprudence has proven unworkable, and the Court's effort to save it has distorted other areas of the law. This Court should restore judicial neutrality to the abortion debate by permitting the people of the States to resolve these questions for themselves.

I would appreciate it if you would circulate this letter to the Members of the Court.

Sincerely,

/s/ Andrew N. Ferguson

Andrew N. Ferguson Solicitor General of Virginia

CC: See attached service list.

19-1392

SERVICE LIST:

Scott Grant Stewart
Mississippi Attorney General's Office
P. O. Box 220
Jackson, MS 39205-0220
Scott.stewart@ago.ms.gov
Counsel for Thomas E. Dobbs, et al.

Hillary Anne Schneller
Center for Reproductive Rights
199 Water Street, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10038
hschneller@reprorights.org
Counsel of record for Jackson Women's Health Organization, et al.

Julie Rikelman
Center for Reproductive Rights
199 Water Street, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10038
jrikelman@reprorights.org
Counsel for Jackson Women's Health Organization, et al.

Elizabeth B. Prelogar Solicitor General United State Dept. of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001 SupremeCtBriefs@USDOJ.gov Counsel for United States

Helen H. Hong
California Department of Justice, Office of Solicitor General
600 West Broadway Street, Suite 1805
San Diego, CA 92101
helen.hong@doj.ca.gov
Counsel for States of California, et al.