

APPENDIX

APPENDIX 1.....	1
APPENDIX 2.....	4
APPENDIX 3.....	11
APPENDIX 4.....	12
APPENDIX 5.....	12
APPENDIX 6.....	23
APPENDIX 7.....	44
APPENDIX 8.....	59

APPENDIX 1

: Court of Appeals 12/3/19 unpublished en banc
decision

FILED: December 3, 2019

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-1502 (1 :09-cv-003479 -CCB)

YURI J. STOYANOV Plaintiff - Appellant

V.

RAY MABUS; JAMES H. KING, Individually and in
his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; KEVIN
M. WILSON. Individually and in his Official Capacity
as the Head of Code 74 Carderock Division Naval
Surface Warfare Center; DAVID L. MAYO,
Individually and in his Official Capacity a~ the Head
of Code 743 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare
Center; MARK THOMAS, Individually and in his
Official Capacity as BEO Chief and Commander of
Code 00 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare
Center; DAVID CARON, Individually and in his
Official Capacity as Assistant Counsel Code 39
Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center;
JACK K. TEMPLETON, Individually and in his
Official Capacity as Head of Code 20 Carderock

Division Naval Surface Warfare center; CATHERINE L. KESSMEIER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Counsel of Code 004 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; KENETH R. GOLDMAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 71 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; KENNETH I. FORMAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 73 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; SAM HAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 74 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; CIRO MINOPOLI, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 75 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; WILLIAM SNYDER, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 20 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; M. WADE, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 21 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; M. I. BABERICH, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Head of Code 64 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; BRUCE CROCK, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 741 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; WILLIAM MARTIN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 722 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; CHARLES R. REEVES, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Product Area Director of Code 09 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; L. MURPHY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Read of Code 22 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; DAVID WINTER, DR Former Secretary of the Navy U.S. Department of the Navy GARY ROGHEAD, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Navy Operations; ARCHER M.

MACY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Commander of NSWC; PAUL B. SULLIVAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Commander of SEA 00; JEFFERSON BEAUREGARD SESSIONS III, Attorney General; ROBERT K. HUR, U. S. Attorney, U.S. Attorney; MARGARET LONG, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Administrative/Technical Specialist Code 39; GARY M. JEBSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; GARTH JENSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Deputy Head of Code 70; MIKE MULLEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Naval Operations; ELAINE B. MCKINNEY, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Deputy EEO Chief Code 004; WAYNE WEIKERT, Individually and in her Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; CHRIS D. MEYER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as EEO Chief and Commander of Code 00; JEROME CARRUBBA, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Security Manager of Code 03; NEACLESA ANDERSON, Individually and in her Official Capacity as General Counsel of Code 04; JOSEPH VIGNALI, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7204; PAUL SHANG, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 707; SUN HAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74; ROBERT WINGO, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7502; ROBERT KOLLARS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7102; JAMES SHANNON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of NSWC; KEVIN M. MCCOY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of NAYSEA; GARY ROUGHHEAD, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Naval

Operations; BARBARA REDINGER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Security Manager Code 40; B. CAHILL, Ms., Individually and in her Official Capacity as Head of Workforce Relations Branch Code 39

Defendants - Appellees

ORDER

The petition for rehearing en banc was circulated to the full court. No judge requested a poll under Fed. R. App. P. 35. The court denies the petition for rehearing en banc.

For the Court

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk

APPENDIX 2

: Court of Appeals 9/30/19 unpublished decision

FILED: September 30, 2019

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-1502 (1:09-cv-03479-CCB)

YURI J. STOYANOV

Plaintiff - Appellant

V.

RAY MABUS; JAMES H. KING, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; KEVIN M. WILSON. Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; DAVID L. MAYO, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 743 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; MARK THOMAS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as BEO Chief and Commander of Code 00 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; DAVID CARON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Assistant Counsel Code 39

Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; JACK K. TEMPLETON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 20 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare center; CATHERINE L. KESSMEIIER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Counsel of Code 004 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; KENETH R. GOLDMAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 71 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; KENNETH I. FORMAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 73 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; SAM HAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 74 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; CIRO MINOPOLI, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 75 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; WILLIAM SNYDER, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 20 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; M. WADE, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 21 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; M. I. BABERICH, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Head of Code 64 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; BRUCE CROCK, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 741 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; WILLIAM MARTIN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 722 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; CHARLES R. REEVES, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Product Area Director of Code 09 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; L. MURPHY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Read of Code 22 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; DAVID WINTER, DR Former

Secretary of the Navy U.S. Department of the Navy GARY ROGHEAD, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Navy Operations; ARCHER M. MACY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Commander of NSWC; PAUL B. SULLIVAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Commander of SEA 00; JEFFERSON BEAUREGARD SESSIONS III, Attorney General; ROBERT K. HUR, U. S. Attorney, U.S. Attorney; MARGARET LONG, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Administrative/Technical Specialist Code 39; GARY M. JEBSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; GARTH JENSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Deputy Head of Code 70; MIKE MULLEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Naval Operations; ELAINE B. MCKINNEY, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Deputy EEO Chief Code 004; WAYNE WEIKERT, Individually and in her Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; CHRIS D. MEYER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as EEO Chief and Commander of Code 00; JEROME CARRUBBA, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Security Manager of Code 03; NEACLESA ANDERSON, Individually and in her Official Capacity as General Counsel of Code 04; JOSEPH VIGNALI, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7204; PAUL SHANG, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 707; SUN HAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74; ROBERT WINGO, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7502; ROBERT KOLLARS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7102; JAMES SHANNON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of NSWC; KEVIN M.

MCCOY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of NAYSEA; GARY ROUGHHEAD, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Naval Operations; BARBARA REDINGER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Security Manager Code 40; B. CAHILL, Ms., Individually and in her Official Capacity as Head of Workforce Relations Branch Code 39

Defendants - Appellees

JUDGMENT

In accordance with the decision of this court, this appeal is dismissed.

This judgment shall take effect upon issuance of this court's mandate in

accordance with Fed. R. App. P.41;

/s/ PATRICIA S. CONNOR, CLERK

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-1502 (1 :09-cv-003479 -CCB)

YURI I. STOYANOV,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

V.

RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy United States Department of the Navy; JAMES H. ICING, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; KEVIN M. WILSON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; DAVID L. MAYO, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 743 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; MARK THOMAS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as EEO Chief and Commander of

Code 00 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; DAVID CARON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Assistant Counsel Code 39 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; JACK K. TEMPLETON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 20 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; CATHERINE L. KESSMEIER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Counsel of Code 004 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; KENETH It GOLDMAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 71 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; KENNETH I. FORMAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 73 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; SAM HAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 74 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; CIRO M1NOPOLI, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 75 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; WILLIAM SNYDER, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 20 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; M. WADE, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 21 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; M. J. BABERICH, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Head of Code 64 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; BRUCE CROCK, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 741 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; WILLIAM MARTIN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 722 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; CHARLES it REEVES, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Product Area Director of Code 09

Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; L. MURPHY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 22 Carderock Division Naval Surface Warfare Center; DAVID WINTER, DR Former Secretary of the Navy U.S. Department of the Navy; GARY ROGI-IEAD, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Navy Operations; ARCHER M. MACY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Commander of NSWC; PAUL H. SULLIVAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Commander of SEA 00; JEFFERSON BEAUREGARD SESSIONS III, Attorney General; ROBERT K. HUR, U. S. Attorney, U.S. Attorney; MARGARET LONG, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Administrative/Technical Specialist Code 39; GARY M. JEBSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; GARTH JENSEN, individually and his Official Capacity as Deputy Head of Code Z0; MIKE-MULLEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Naval Operations; ELAINE B. MCKINNBY, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Deputy EEC) Chief Code 004; WAYNE WEIKERT, Individually and in her Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; CHRIS O. MEYER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as EEC) Chief and Commander of Code 00; JEROME CARRUBBA, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Security Manager of Code 03; NEACLESA KNDERSON, Individually and in her Official Capacity as general Counsel of Code 04; JOSEPH VIGNALLT, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7204; PAUL SHANG, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 707; SUN HAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74; ROBERT WINGO, Individually and in his Official Capacity as

the Head of Code 7502; ROBERT KOLLAPS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7102; JAMES SHANNON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of NSWC; KEVIN M. MCCOY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of NAVSEA; GARY ROIJGIIHEAD, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Naval Operations; BARBARA REDINGER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Security Manager Code 40; B. CAHILL, Ms., Individually and in her Official Capacity as Head of Workforce Relations Branch Code 39,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Catherine C. Blake, District Judge. (1:09-cv-03479-CCB)

Submitted: September 26, 2019 Decided
September 30, 2019

2

Before NIEMEYER and KEENAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Yuri J. Stoyanov, Appellant Pro Se

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

3

PER CURIAM:

Yuri I. Stoyanov seeks to appeal the district court's orders granting Defendants' motion to consolidate Stoyanov's several employment discrimination lawsuits against Defendants and denying Stoyanov's subsequently filed motion to reconsider consolidation and for sanctions. This court may exercise jurisdiction

only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012);*Fed. R. Civ. P.54(B);-Cohen v.-Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.* 337 U.S. 541, 545-6, (1949). Because the underlying litigation remains ongoing, the orders Stoyanov seeks to appeal are neither final orders nor appealable interlocutory or collateral orders. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

APPENDIX 3

: District judge Blake's 4/16/19 Order
Case 1:09-cv-03479-CCB Document 30 Filed 04/16/19
Page 1 of 1
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
YURI J. STOYANOV
v. Civil No, CCB-09-3479
RAY MABUS, SECRETARY OF THE NAVY, et al.
ORDER

Various motions are pending and have been reviewed.
My rulings follow.

1. the defendants' motion to consolidate (ECF No. 27) is Granted. The plaintiff's remaining related employment discrimination cases, Civil Action Nos. 11-739, 12-2458, 13-141, 13-142, and 14-3262, are hereby reactivated and consolidated with this case, Civil Action No.CCB-09-3 479;
2. the defendants' motion for extension of time (ECF No. 28) is Granted;
3. the plaintiff's motion to investigate fraud (ECF No. 20), motion for sanctions (ECF No. 26), and

second motion for sanctions (ECF No. 29) are Denied; and

4 the Clerk, shall send copies of this Order to the plaintiff and counsel of record. So Ordered this 16th day of April, 2019.

/s/

Catherine C. Blake/ United States District Judge

APPENDIX 4

: District judge Blake's 4/30/19 Order
Case 1:09-cv-03479-CCB Document 32 Filed 04/30/19
Page 1 of 8

District judge Blake's 4/30/19 Order was handwritten "denied" on the front page of Plaintiff's 3/25/19 motion to reconsider and rescind 4/16/19 order Document 31:

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER AND
RESCIND THE 4/16/19 ORDER AND TO ISSUE
NEW ORDER TO RESCIND CONSOLIDATION OF
LAWSUITS AND TO GRANT PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS TO INVESTIGATE RECURRING MAIL
FRAUD AND WIRE FRAUD COMMITTED BY
DEFENDANTS AND TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS
AGAINST DEFENDANTS**

APPENDIX 5

: Petitioner's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud
Dr. YURI J. Stoyanov, Plaintiff
vs. Case No. CCB-09-3479
MR. RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy, et al.,
Defendants

...oOo...

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO INVESTIGATE FRAUD
AND TO COMPEL DEFENDANTS'
REPRESENTATIVE TO ACCEPT AND SERVE WITH**

SUMMONS DEFENDANTS KESSMEIER, CARON, HAN, CROCK, FORMAN, GOLDMAN, WILSON, MARTIN, WADE, SNYDER, BABERICH, REEVES, MURPHY, THOMAS, TEMPLETON, MAYO

Plaintiff, Dr. Yuri Stoyanov, files this Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' representative to accept and serve with summons Defendants KESSMEIER, CARON, HAN, CROCK, FORMAN, GOLDMAN, WILSON, MARTIN, WADE, SNYDER, BABERICH, REEVES, MURPHY, THOMAS, TEMPLETON, MAYO for the following cause:

1. Filing of this Motion was predicated by Defendants KESSMEIER, CARON, HAN, CROCK, FORMAN, GOLDMAN, WILSON, MARTIN, WADE, SNYDER, BABERICH, REEVES, MURPHY, THOMAS, failure to receive summons and complaint after these documents were timely sent via US Postal Service certified mail with restricted delivery to the same address, namely, their last known work address. On January 5, 2019 Plaintiff timely sent via US Postal Service first class certified mail envelopes with Summons and Complaint to each of these Defendants at the Carderock division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817.

2. Attached are the copies of the U. S. Postal Service tracking and delivery record to the last known address of work for Defendant Kessmeier, her subordinate Defendant Caron, and Defendants Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas, Mayo, Templeton. The U. S. Postal Service website usps.com tracking and confirmation of delivery data for Defendant Templeton shows that the certified mail with restricted delivery 70181830000206342902 was

delivered to Bethesda, MD 20817 post office on January 7, 2019. The signature of an agent on the green card (PS Form 3811/return receipt) certified mail shows that on January 7, 2019 the mail was pickup by the agent Cherrelle Rowe on behalf of Defendant Templeton -70181830000206342902 and, on January 8, 2019 on behalf of Defendant Thomas – 70181830000206342919, while the other agency agent who failed to print his name on green cards for other Defendants, signed on January 8 and 9, 2019 with the same work address/the Carderock Division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817. The agent, who failed to print his name in the box on PS Form 3811, only signed and marked that he is an agent, and is most likely who with Defendant Kessmeier and Defendant Caron tampered with entries in the official US postal service mail tracking records for all defendants, except Defendant Templeton, with the same address as Defendant Kessmeier. For defendant Templeton, the tracking mail record was not tampered with since it was signed for and received on 1/7/2019 by different agent, namely, Cherrelle Rowe, who printed her name in the box on PS Form 3811/return receipt. This is not the first time the mail fraud and wire fraud were committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others to harm Plaintiff, see the US District Court records for prior Plaintiff's cases, such as DKC -07-1985 and Plaintiff's Petition for Writ of Certiorari to US Supreme Court No. 17-174.

3. Exhibit A shows that for Defendant Templeton both the green card/ return receipt record and the US mail tracking record were received by Plaintiff and each of these records confirm the same fact, namely,

that Defendant Templeton received the certified mail at the same address as that of Defendant Kessmeier, namely, the last known work address at zip 20817/the Carderock Division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817. Thus, on January 7, 2019 the mail tracking entries show that the mail was delivered to Bethesda, Maryland, 20817 and that on January 8, 2019 the agent Cherrelle Rowe signed and marked as agent on the green card for Defendant Templeton.

4. Exhibit B shows that for Defendant Mayo no green card/ return receipt was received by Plaintiff, even though for all Defendants the green card/return receipt was attached to each envelope. Most likely the green card was signed by the same agent Cherrelle Rowe as for Defendant Templeton, since the US mail tracking record for Defendant Mayo-70181830000206342728 shows the same time of delivery, namely, January 7, 2019 at the same address as that of Defendant Kessmeier, namely, the last known work address at zip 20817/the Carderock Division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817. Thus, on January 7, 2019 the mail tracking entries show that the mail was delivered to Bethesda, Maryland, 20817 to both Defendant Mayo and Defendant Templeton.

5. In contrast to the above mail records for Defendant Templeton and Defendant Mayo, the mail tracking records were tampered with for the other defendants at Bethesda, 20718, to harm Plaintiff, to dismiss the case by fraud, specifically, entries in the mail tracking records that show that the mail was delivered to Bethesda, 20817 were intentionally deleted in tracking records for defendants who had the same address as that of Defendant Kessmeier.

Specifically, see 1/18/19 Plaintiff's Affidavits of Service with the official US Postal Service records for Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas.

6. The mail fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others is obvious to any reasonable mind. Those defendants who had address different from that of Defendant Kessmeier/Bethesda, MD 201817, received their mail with summons and complaint within five days from the day of sending, i.e. by January 10, 2019 from the day of sending, January 5, 2019. Specifically, the official US Postal Service mail tracking records show that the mail was delivered to defendants Winter, Roughead, Sullivan, Macy, Hur and Whitaker promptly, within five days from the same day of sending, 1/5/19 for all of defendants.

7. Additional evidence of fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others is in Exhibits C where green card/return receipt entries contradict those entries in the mail tracking records for Defendants Caron, Wilson, Crock, Minopoli who had the same address as Defendant Kessmeier. For these defendants Plaintiff received green card/return receipts that show that an agent signed and received mail on their behalf at the Bethesda, MD/20817 on January 8 and 9, 2019, however, these entries were deleted from the mail tracking records for these defendants to falsely show that the mail for these defendant were never at the Bethesda/20817 or is still in transit, as of January 18, 2019 i.e. now for two weeks from the day of sending.

8. For the remaining defendants, See Exhibit D, such as Plaintiff's affidavit of service for Defendants

Snyder, Baberich, Martin, Reeves, Kessmeier, Forman, Goldman, Han, Murphy, who had the same address as defendant Kessmeier /Bethesda/20817, no green cards were received by Plaintiff and the mail tracking records were also tampered with and modified to falsely show that the mail was not at Bethesda, MD/20817 or is still in transit, since January 5, 2019.

9. The mail fraud and wire fraud were done intentionally by Defendants Kessmeier/Caron to harm Plaintiff, to dismiss the case by fraud using fabricated mail tracking records that show Plaintiff failed to serve on defendants with summons and complaint by dateline of January 21, 2019.

10. Note that on December 5, 2018 Plaintiff filed "motion to issue decision on Plaintiff's October 31, 2018 "Motion to Amend the Case" and to amend the September 21, 2018 Order". On December 21, 2018 Plaintiff's motion of 12/5/18 was granted and the time to serve on defendants with summons and complaint was extended to January 21, 2019, however, only on December 27, 2018 Order was issued for the Clerk of the Court to send summonses with court seal to Plaintiff. The envelope from the Clerk's office was received on January 3, 2019 and on January 5, 2019 Plaintiff timely sent summonses and complaint to each defendant in separate envelopes to their last known work address.

11. Defendants Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others were aware of the dateline of January 21, 2019 set in the December 21, 2018 Order for Plaintiff to serve on defendants, and, accordingly, to harm Plaintiff, committed intentional mail fraud and wire fraud, that falsely show that defendants at Bethesda, MD/20817 were still nor served because the mail was never at Bethesda. MD or

is still in transit since January 5, 2019. They missed to commit fraud with the mail records for Defendant Templeton and Defendant Mayo, as explained above.

12. Exhibits A, B, C and D show that the certified mail for all defendants was processed on the same day, namely, January 6, 2019 at the same postal sorting facility at Gaithersburg, MD 20898 and then the trail i.e. tracking information for Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas was tampered with to delete that on January 7, 2019 mail for them was delivered to Bethesda, MD 20817, pick up by agent, delivered to Carderock division of NSWC, previewed by Defendant Kessmeier with her subordinate Defendant Caron, and then the mail for eleven defendants was sent back to Plaintiff Dr. Yuri Stoyanov in Fulton/20759 on January 16, 2019 while the mail for the remaining defendants, namely, Caron, Wilson, Crock, Thomas was intentionally fraudulently stalled, to falsely show to be "in-transit" and the tracking records were intentionally tampered with (to delete that it was already on January 7, 2019 at Carderock division/Bethesda, MD 20817 compare Exhibits A and D) to falsely show that it is still at postal sorting facility at Gaithersburg, MD 20898 or other postal facilities, for about two weeks as of January 18, 2019.

13. The intentional fraud was apparently committed by Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with Defendant Caron and, the agent, who did not print his name on green cards, who daily picks up mail for Carderock division at the publicly accessible post office in Bethesda, MD 20817 and delivers it to the post office with the same zip/20817 but located inside the guarded campus of the Carderock Division in

Bethesda, MD 20817. Comparing US postal service tracking records, see Exhibit D (for Caron, Kessmeier) vs. Exhibit A (for Templeton) one can see fraud committed by Defendants Kessmeier and Caron with their assistant/agent who intentionally failed to print his name on green cards, namely, while Templeton's mail with Summons and Complaint signed and received by agent Cherrelle Rowe at the inner post office/ Bethesda, MD 20817 on January 8, 2019 at the same time tracking record was tampered with and modified to show fraud that the first class mail for Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas is still (since January 5, 2019 to this day January 18, 2019 i.e. for two weeks) at the postal sorting facility in Gaithersburg, MD 20898 or other postal facilities. Fraud committed by Defendants Kessmeier and Caron with their agent who did not print his name on green cards is obvious to any reasonable mind, tampered tracking records show that the first class mail is still i.e. for two weeks at the US postal sorting facility, while postal record/receipt of January 5, 2019 explicitly stated that "Expected Delivery Day, Monday 1/7/19 or Tuesday, 1/8/19". Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others committed mail fraud and wire fraud to obstruct justice by obvious violations of laws, postal regulations and fraud with the official US Postal Service records.

14. Based on the fact that Defendants Kessmeier and Caron are a very dangerous criminals, who since 2002 intentionally misrepresented facts, deliberately committed fraud, tampered with evidence, witnesses, obstructed official process and intentionally committed perjuries, for more information see Plaintiff Dr. Yuri

Stoyanov's Complaint Case No. 1:07-cv-01985-DKC and my affidavits and my brother Dr. Aleksandr Stoyanov' affidavits at MSPB records, EEOC records since 2002 and in the US Federal Court records, for District of Maryland, since 2005), Defendant Caron intentionally continues to escalate fraud with Defendant Kessmeier and others to obstruct justice.

15. Note that in prior lawsuits, Cases No. 1:07-cv-01953-DKC and DKC-07-1985, the same pattern of mail fraud, wire fraud and the obstruction of justice was committed by Defendants Kessmeier and Caron with impunity that is why they continue to escalate fraud in the instant case. They are defendants and are being sued by Plaintiff to recover damages committed by Kessmeier, Caron and other defendants.

16. Attachment is the copy of the US Postal Service certified mail receipts. Plaintiff also served on January 7, 2019 Robert Hur the United States Attorney for the District of Maryland as required. The United States Attorney for the District of Maryland will represent the Defendants in this case.

17. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully submits Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' representative to waive formal service for Defendants Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas, by informing them that the civil action was initiated in this court against the Defendants in their official capacity and individually.

Wherefore, in consideration of the above, Plaintiff respectfully requests to grant Plaintiff's Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' Representative to accept and service with summons Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock,

Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder,
Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas.

Respectfully submitted

/s/

Dr. Yuri Stoyanov, 7560 Pindell School Rd, Fulton,
MD 20759

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on the 18 day of January 2019,
a copy of the Plaintiff's Motion to Investigate Fraud
and to Compel Defendants' Representative to Accept
and Serve with Summons Defendants KESSMEIER,
CARON, HAN, CROCK, FORMAN, GOLDMAN,
WILSON, MARTIN, WADE, SNYDER, BABERICH,
REEVES, MURPHY, THOMAS and proposed Order
was sent to the following via:

First Class Mail to the following:

Robert Hur/Kelly Marzullo
Assistant United States Attorney
36 South Charles Street
Fourth Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
Or Fax (410) 962-9947

Hand Delivered or

First Class Mail to the following:
Clerk of the Court
United States District Court
Southern Division
6500 Cherrywood Lane
Greenbelt, Maryland 20770

January 18, 2019 /s/

Date Dr. Yuri Stoyanov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

Dr. YURI J. Stoyanov, Plaintiff :

vs. Case No. CCB-09-3479

MR. RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy, et al.,
Defendants

...oOo...

Order

Upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' representative to Accept and Serve with Summons Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas, Templeton, Mayo and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' Representative to Accept and Serve with Summons Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas, Templeton, Mayo in this case SHOULD BE and hereby IS GRANTED;
2. Robert Hur, the Defendants' Representative and the US Attorney for the District of Maryland, is Ordered to Investigate Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and others;
3. Defendants' Representative is ORDERED to accept and serve with summons Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas.

Date:__ Catherine C. Blake/ United States
District Judge

APPENDIX 6

: Petitioner's 3/25/19 Motion for Sanctions

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
Dr. YURI J. Stoyanov, Plaintiff vs.
Case No. CCB-09-3479
MR. RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy, et al.,
Defendants

oOo...

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND
REBUTTAL OF DEFENDANTS FRAUDULENT
RESPONSE OF 3/13/19 TO THE 1/18/19 MOTION TO
INVESTIGATE FRAUD AND TO COMPEL
DEFENDANTS' REPRESENTATIVE TO ACCEPT
AND SERVE WITH SUMMONS DEFENDANTS
KESSMEIER, CARON, HAN, CROCK, FORMAN,
GOLDMAN, WILSON, MARTIN, WADE, SNYDER,
BABERICH, REEVES, MURPHY, THOMAS,
TEMPLETON, MAYO

1. In this pro se case the Plaintiff, DR. YURI J. STOYANOV is in receipt of Defendants representatives Hur/Marzullo responses of March 13 and 18, 2019 to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants Representative to Accept and Serve with Summons and complaints 15 defendants in Bethesda, MD. 20817. Hur/Marzullo's responses are fraudulent and were submitted to cover-up the recurring Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others.

Instead of investigating Federal crime committed by Defendant Kessmeier with Defendant Caron and others, Hur/Marzullo intentionally submitted deliberate misrepresentations and baseless accusations against Plaintiff and demanded in their unsupported responses to deny by fraud Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion. Contrary to Hur/Marzullo's fraud and baseless accusations, direct evidence in the court records shows that Plaintiff timely served all defendants listed in the 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud pursuant to the 12/21/18 Order to serve on defendants by 1/21/19 via US Postal Service certified mail with restricted delivery. Because Hur/Marzullo's responses are intentionally fraudulent, unsupported and designed to deceive the court and to harm Plaintiff, Plaintiff respectfully requests to impose sanctions against Defendants for willful and persistent fraud, to dismiss Hur/Marzullo's responses of 3/13/19 and 3/18/19, to deny their unsupported demand and to grant Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud.

2. Direct evidence in the court records show that Defendants representative Hur/Marzullo submitted on 3/13/19 intentionally fraudulent response to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' representative to accept and serve with summons Defendants KESSMEIER, CARON, HAN, CROCK, FORMAN, GOLDMAN, WILSON, MARTIN, WADE, SNYDER, BABERICH, REEVES, MURPHY, THOMAS, TEMPLETON, MAYO and respectfully requests to grant Plaintiff's instant Motion to Impose Sanctions against the defendants and the 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud for the following cause:

3. Defendants representative Hur/Marzullo in their 3/13/19 response deliberately misrepresented facts listed in Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate

Fraud and, inserted intentional fraud in their response that "there is no evidence of fraud and no legal or factual basis for relieving Plaintiff of his responsibility to effect service on the unserved defendants", see i.d. paragraph 6, instead of conducting criminal investigation of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with her assistants Defendant Caron and others identified in Plaintiff's 1/18/19 motion, accused Plaintiff of failure to serve with summons and complaint Defendant Kessmeier and other defendants in order to cover-up Defendant Kessmeier's recurring Mail fraud and Wire Fraud committed in January 2019 and in prior years to harm Plaintiff, to deceive the court and by fraudulent attempt to dismiss lawsuits against Kessmeier, Caron and other defendants maliciously tampering with the official US Postal Service mail records, such as the usps mail tracking records and US Postal Service certified mail green card/return receipts by directing and using their agent to commit criminal acts of Mail Fraud in January 2019. Similar criminal acts of malicious mail fraud were also committed in April 2014.

4.Because Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1341) and Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1343) are both federal crimes and were committed not only in January 2019 but also in prior years such as in April 2014 and in 2013 and 2008 to harm me and my brother, it is obvious to any reasonable mind that the January 2019 Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud were very elaborate and sophisticated scheme committed in furtherance of Defendant Kessmeier's fraudulent scheme she set up since 2002.

1. A scheme existed for the purpose of committing fraud; to harm, to defraud This

scheme involved the following elements: my brother Dr. Aleksandr Stoyanov and me, Dr. Yuri Stoyanov;

2. Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others knowingly and willfully participated in the scheme since 2002, specifically, see the most recent Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud of January 2019, also Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud of April 2014, 2013, 2008, etc.;
3. Kessmeier with her assistants Caron and others used a public mail service, such as, an agent in their service, the United States Postal Service and the Wire Fraud via USPS website electronic/wire communications to further the scheme.

5. Thus, all essential elements of the Federal crime of mail fraud and wire fraud were involved.

6. Indeed, as stated in Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants Representative to serve with summons and complaint the 15 defendants at Bethesda, MD 20817 the filing of Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud was predicated by Defendants KESSMEIER, CARON, HAN, CROCK, FORMAN, GOLDMAN, WILSON, MARTIN, WADE, SNYDER, BABERICH, REEVES, MURPHY, THOMAS, failure to receive summons and complaint after these documents were timely sent via US Postal Service certified mail with restricted delivery to the same address, namely, their last known work address. On January 5, 2019 Plaintiff timely sent via US Postal Service first class certified mail envelopes with Summons and Complaint to each

Defendants at the Carderock division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817.

7. Attached to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 motion were originals of the U. S. Postal Service certified mail receipts and tracking and delivery records to the last known address of work for Defendant Kessmeier, her subordinate Defendant Caron, and Defendants Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas. Mail tracking and delivery records were tampered with and show the same pattern of mail fraud and wire fraud as was committed in April 2014, namely, the official US Postal Service records were intentionally tampered with to delete entry of 1/7/19 or 1/8/19 delivery of mail with summons and complaint to defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817.

8. Except for Defendant Templeton and Defendant Mayo, whose usps mail tracking records were not tampered with because different agent on January 7, 2019 picked their mail and signed and printed her name Cherrelle Rowe, the official US Postal Service mail tracking records were intentionally tampered with to delete the entry of delivery of mail to Bethesda MD 20817 on January 7, 2019 or January 8, 2019 to the remaining 15 out of 17 defendants at Bethesda, MD 20817 committed by Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with her assistants Defendant Caron and their agent who failed to print his name on green cards/return receipts.

9. The U. S. Postal Service website usps.com mail tracking and confirmation of delivery data for Defendant Templeton shows that the certified mail with restricted delivery 70181830000206342902 was delivered to Bethesda, MD 20817 post office on January 7, 2019. The signature of an agent on the

green card (PS Form 3811/return receipt) certified mail shows that on January 7, 2019 the mail was picked up by the agent Cherrelle Rowe on behalf of Defendant Templeton -70181830000206342902 and, on January 8, 2019 on behalf of Defendant Thomas - 70181830000206342919, while the other agency agent who failed to print his name on green cards for other Defendants, signed on January 8 and 9, 2019 with the same work address/the Carderock Division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817. The agent, who failed to print his name in the box on PS Form 3811, only signed and marked that he is an agent, and is most likely who in conspiracy with Defendant Kessmeier and her assistant Defendant Caron tampered with entries in the official US postal service mail tracking records for all defendants, except Defendant Templeton and Defendant Mayo since it was signed for and received on 1/7/2019 by different agent, namely, Cherrelle Rowe, who printed her name in the box on PS Form 3811/return receipt.

10. Exhibit A, attached to the 1/18/19 motion, shows that for Defendant Templeton both the certified mail green card/ return receipt record and the US mail tracking record were received by Plaintiff and each of these records confirm the same fact, namely, that Defendant Templeton received the certified mail at the same address as that of Defendant Kessmeier, namely, the last known work address at Carderock Division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817.

11. Exhibit B shows that for Defendant Mayo no green card/ return receipt was received by Plaintiff by 1/18/19, even though for all Defendants the green card/return receipt was attached to each envelope. The US mail tracking record for Defendant Mayo-

70181830000206342728 shows the same time of delivery, namely, January 7, 2019 at the same address as that of Defendant Kessmeier. Thus, on January 7, 2019 the mail tracking entries show that the mail was delivered to Bethesda, Maryland, 20817 to both Defendant Mayo and Defendant Templeton.

12. In contrast to the above mail records for Defendant Templeton and Defendant Mayo, the mail tracking records were tampered with for the other 15 defendants at Bethesda, 20817, to harm Plaintiff, to dismiss the case by fraud, specifically, entries in the mail tracking records that show that the mail was delivered to Bethesda, 20817 for Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas were intentionally deleted by Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with her assistant Defendant Caron and their agent who failed to print his name on green cards/return cards.

13. The mail fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and others is obvious to any reasonable mind. Those defendants who had address different from that of Defendant Kessmeier/Bethesda, MD 20817, received their mail with summons and complaint within five days from the day of sending, i.e. by January 10, 2019 from the day of sending, January 5, 2019. Specifically, the official US Postal Service mail tracking records show that the mail was delivered to defendants Winter, Roughead, Sullivan, Macy, Hur and Whitaker promptly, within five days from the day of sending, 1/5/19 for all of defendants.

14. Additional evidence of fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and others is in Exhibits C where green

card/return card entries contradict those entries in the mail tracking records for Defendants Caron, Wilson, Crock, Minopoli who had the same address as Defendant Kessmeier. For these defendants Plaintiff received green card/return receipts that show that an agent signed and received mail on their behalf at the Bethesda, MD/20817 on January 8 and 9, 2019, however, these entries were deleted from the mail tracking records for these defendants to falsely show that the mail for these defendant was not delivered at the Bethesda/20817 or is still in transit, as of January 18, 2019 i.e. for two weeks from the day of sending, see Plaintiff's 1/18/19 affidavits of service for these defendants with attached return receipts and usps mail tracking records.

15. Similarly, in April 2014, the same pattern of mail fraud and wire fraud was committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and their agent Jim Lam, see Plaintiff's 4/18/14 motion in Case No. 1:07-cv-01985-DKC: on April 4, 2014 Plaintiff timely sent via US Postal Service certified mail envelopes with Summons and Complaint to each of these Defendants [Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Fowler, Crock, Forman, Goldman and Wilson] at the Carderock division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817 and, except for Kessmeier, who is the criminal ring leader, they failed to receive summons and complaint after these documents were timely sent via US Postal Service certified mail to the same address, namely, their last known work address. Attached to Plaintiff's 4/18/14 motion were the originals of the U. S. Postal Service certified mail receipts and the mail tracking and confirmation of delivery records to the last known address of work for Defendants show that for example

in Exhibits A that Defendant Kessmeier the certified mail 70133020000163127512 was delivered to Bethesda, MD 20817 post office on April 7, 2014 and was available for pickup, however, since April 7, 2014 to the day the motion was filed, namely April 18, 2014, she intentionally refused to pick the Summons and Complaint. The signature of James Lam on the green card (PS Form 3811) certified mail 70133020000163127512 shows that on 4/7/14 the mail was picked up by James Lam/agent on behalf of Defendant Kessmeier and other Defendants with the same work address/Carderock Division of NSWC, 9500 McArthur Boulevard, West Bethesda, MD 20817. James Lam failed to mark the box on PS Form 3811 that he was an agent, and, failed to sign on the green cards (PS Form 3811)/certified mail return cards that were addressed to the remaining Defendants at Carderock Division, namely, Defendant Caron, Defendant Kessmeier, Defendant Fowler, Defendant Crock, Defendant Forman, Defendant Goldman, Defendant Wilson.

16. In the 4/18/14 motion Exhibits A, B, C and D show that the certified mail for defendants Kessmeier, Wilson, Goldman and Fowler was processed on the same day, namely, April 6, 2014 at the same postal sorting facility at Gaithersburg, MD 20898 and then the trail i.e. tracking information for Defendants Wilson, Goldman and Fowler was tampered with to delete that on April 7, 2014 mail for them was delivered to Bethesda, MD 20817, picked up by agent Lam, delivered to Carderock division of NSWC, previewed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron, and then the mail records were tampered with to delete the 4/7/14 delivery to Bethesda, MD, mail for Defendant Wilson and

Defendant Goldman was returned to Plaintiff Dr. Yuri Stoyanov in Fulton on April 15, 2014 while the mail for the remaining defendants, namely, Caron, Fowler, Forman, Crock was intentionally fraudulently stalled and the mail tracking records were intentionally tampered with (to delete that it was already on April 7, 2014 at Carderock division/Bethesda, MD 20817 compare Exhibits A and D) to falsely show that it was still at postal sorting facility at Gaithersburg, MD 20898, for about two weeks as of April 18, 2014.

17. The intentional mail fraud and wire fraud were committed by Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with Defendant Caron and James Lam, the agent, who daily picked up mail for Carderock division at the publicly accessible post office in Bethesda, MD 20817 and delivered it to the post office with the same zip/20817 but located inside the guarded campus of the Carderock Division in Bethesda, MD 20817. Comparing US postal service mail tracking records, see Exhibit D (for Fowler) vs. Exhibit A (for Kessmeier) one can see fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with Defendant Caron and Lam, namely, while Kessmeier's mail with Summons and Complaint was at the inner post office/ Bethesda, MD 20817 since April 7, 2014 and postal mail tracking record showed that while it was available for her to pick up since April 7, 2014, she intentionally refused to pick up the Summons and Complaint to this day/April 18, 2014, at the same time tracking records were tampered with to show falsely that the first class mail for Fowler, Caron, Forman and Crock was still (since April 4, 2014 to the day the motion was written i.e. April 18, 2014 i.e. for two weeks) at the postal sorting facility.

18. Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with Defendant Caron and Mr.

Lam is obvious to any reasonable mind, tampered mail tracking records show that the first class mail was still i.e. for two weeks at the US postal sorting facility, while postal record/receipts of April 4, 2014 explicitly stated that "Expected Delivery Day, Monday, 7". Note that Mr. Lam signed only green card (PS Form 3811)/certified mail for Defendant Kessmeier but intentionally failed to sign green cards for Defendants Fowler, Crock, Forman, Goldman and Wilson.

19. The mail fraud and wire fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others is obvious to any reasonable mind. Those defendants who had address different from that of Defendant Kessmeier/Bethesda, MD 20817, received their mail with summons and complaint within five days from the day of sending, i.e. by April 10, 2014 from the day of sending, April 4, 2014: 1.Certified mail 70133020000163104957 was delivered on April 8, 2014 to Ray Mabus in Washington, DC 20350

2. Certified mail 70133020000163127628 was delivered on April 7, 2014 to Rod Rosenstein in Baltimore, MD 21201-2692

3. Certified mail 70133020000163127611 was delivered on April 9, 2014 to E. Holder in Washington, DC 20530

4. Certified mail 70133020000163127567 that was delivered on April 7, 2014 to Archer M. Macy in Arlington, VA 22207

5. Certified mail 70133020000163127536 was delivered on April 8, 2014 to Garth A. Jensen in Herndon, VA 20171

6. Certified mail 70133020000163104971 was delivered on April 7, 2014 to G. Jebsen in Leesburg, VA 20175

7. Certified mail 70133020000163104964 was delivered on April 7, 2014 o Charles D. Behrle in Warrenton, VA 20187

20. Defendant Kessmeier with Defendant Caron and Mr. Lam committed intentional Federal Crime the mail fraud and wire fraud to harm Plaintiff, to obstruct justice by obvious violations of laws, postal regulations and fraud with official US Postal Service records of 2014.

21. Because Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1341) and Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1343) are both federal crimes and were intentionally committed by Defendant Kessmeier with Defendant Caron in April 2014 and they were caught and documented in court records see Plaintiff's 4/18/14 motion in Case No. 1:07-cv-01985-DKC and Plaintiff's 2017 Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the US Supreme Court No. 17-174, their crimes were intentionally covered-up by fraud in prior cases so that they could continue with impunity to escalate Mail and Wire Fraud in 2019.

22. In the 1/18/19 motion to investigate fraud, Plaintiff requested to investigate who tampered with the official US Postal Serviced records, who deleted entries of delivery of summons and complaint to 15 defendants at Bethesda, MD 20817 and tampered with green cards/return receipts in violation of the postal laws and regulations? Instead of conducting criminal investigation Defendants representatives Hur/Marzullo submitted intentionally fraudulent response of 3/13/19 and baseless accusations against Plaintiff in order to cover-up Federal crime of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and others. In their 3/13/19 and 3/18/19 responses Hur/Marzullo intentionally failed to investigate crime

and deliberately omitted crucial facts that the entry of delivery of Plaintiff's mail with summons and complaint to 15 defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817 on 1/7/19 or 1/8/19 were intentionally deleted and only a few green cards/return receipts were sent to Plaintiff by 1/18/19 and partially filled by agent who intentionally failed to print his name on the green cards.

23. For the remaining defendants, See Exhibit D, such as Plaintiff's affidavit of service for Defendants Snyder, Baberich, Martin, Reeves, Kessmeier, Forman, Goldman, Han, Murphy, who had the same address as defendant Kessmeier at the address in Bethesda, MD 20817, no green cards were received by Plaintiff and the mail tracking records were also tampered with to falsely show that the mail was not at Bethesda, MD 20817 or is still in transit, since January 5, 2019.

24. The mail fraud and wire fraud were intentional criminal acts committed by Defendants Kessmeier/Caron to obstruct justice, to harm Plaintiff, to dismiss by fraud the case by maliciously tampering with mail tracking records contrary to the fact that Plaintiff served defendants with summons, complaint by January 21, 2019.

25. The above listed facts and the evidence of recurring Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud in the court records were intentionally misrepresented by Hur/Marzullo in their unsupported and intentionally fraudulent response of 3/13/19. Direct evidence of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed repeatedly by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron was intentionally misrepresented by Hur/Marzullo as "nothing more than the mere fact that several defendants failed to the summons and complaints that Plaintiff attempted to send them." i.d. p2 in paragraph 6, and then on p.4

in paragraph 14 Hur/Marzullo contradicted themselves and inserted baseless accusations, e.g. that "Plaintiff thus has not and cannot establish service on Defendant Kessmeier because among other reasons, a copy of the summons to Defendant Kessmeier and complaint never in fact was delivered to defendant Kessmeier and/or her "last known work address." and that "In his Affidavit of Service to Kessmeier, Plaintiff falsely states that a copy of the summons and complaint was delivered to Defendant Kessmeier's "last known work address" in Bethesda, Maryland, on January 9, 2019., ECF No. 21, at 16. However, he attaches no return receipt. Id. Moreover, the USPS tracking number for the article provided by Plaintiff 70181830000206342865 reveals that it was delivered not to Defendant Kessmeier's 'last known work address' in Bethesda, Maryland, but, rather to Fulton, Maryland, which is where Plaintiff resides, See. Ex.1." contrary to the undisputed evidence that Plaintiff timely sent the summons and complaint via first class certified mail to the last known work addreses for Defendant Kessmeier and other Defendants.

26. Any reasonable mind can see fraud and fraudulent arguments in Hur/Marzullo 3/13/19 response where they contradicted themselves and inserted defendant's exhibits that have no new evidence, for example, Ex. 1 that is not new evidence, but the the same evidence of mail and wire fraud as the one that Plaintiff 's exhibit shows that was attached to the 1/18/2019 affidavit of service to Kessmeier, where Plaintiff explicitely indicated to see Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud and, that between January 6. 2019 entry and January 10, 2019 entry the entry of deleivery to Bethesda, MD 20817 was intentionally deleted in the mail tracking record for Kessmeier

70181830000206342865, in his motion to investigate fraud Plaintiff established by direct evidence in the record that Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud were intentionally committed by Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and their agent who failed to print his name on green cards/return receipts and deleted entry of mail delivery to Kessmeier and 14 other defendants in Bethesda Maryland on January 7 or 8 or 9, 2019. The fact that to 15 out of the 17 defendants at Bethesda, Maryland the entry of delivery of my mail was deleted to defendants in Bethesda, Maryland was intentionally omitted by Hur/Marzullo in the 3/13/19 and 3/18/19 responses. Also the fact that Kessmeier's agent intentionally failed to print his name on green cards/return receipts and that as of the date of the motion, i.e. 1/18/19, most of green cards/return receipts were not sent to Plaintiff in violation US Postal Service laws and regulations was also intentionall omitted in the 3/13/19 and 3/18/19 responses. Even though green cards/return receipts were attached to each envelope, the mail fraud was committed with green cards/return receipts in addition to the wire fraud with usps mail tracking records of delivery. [about 10 green cards were left completely blank without any markings in box A, B, and C on the green cards as of 1/23/2019.]

27. The 15 tampered usps mail tracking records where the entry of mail delivery to defendants at the Bethesda, MD 20817 was intentionally deleted by Kessmeier, Caron in conspiracy with their agent who failed to print his name on green cards, show obvious fraud: as though Plaintiff sent his certified mail from the post office in Columbia, MD not to Defendants in Bethesda, Maryland 20817 but to his residence in Fulton, MD 20759. Note that contrary to

Hur/Marzullo's fraud and baseless accusations the original US Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPTS of 1/5/19 that were attached to Plaintiff's 1/18/2019 Motion to Investigate Fraud show explicitly the name and address of each defendant, usps mail tracking number, fee paid, date and place of sending, address to be delivered to and the Estimated Time of Delivery, and the US post office seal/stamp and on each of these receipts were explicitly printed to be delivered to Bethesda, MD 20817.

28. Defendants Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others were aware of the dateline of January 21, 2019 set in the December 21, 2018 Order for Plaintiff to serve on defendants, and, accordingly, to harm Plaintiff, committed intentional mail fraud and wire fraud, that falsely show that defendants at Bethesda, MD/20817 were still nor served because the mail was never at Bethesda. MD or is still in transit since January 5, 2019. They missed to commit fraud with the mail records for Defendant Templeton and Defendant Mayo, as explained above.

29. Exhibits A, B, C and D show that the certified mail for all defendants was processed on the same day, namely, January 6, 2019 at the same postal sorting facility at Gaithersburg, MD 20898 and then the trail i.e. tracking information for Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas was tampered with to delete that on January 7, 2019 mail for them was delivered to Bethesda, MD 20817, picked up by agent, delivered to Carderock division of NSWC, previewed by Defendant Kessmeier with her subordinate Defendant Caron, and then the mail for eleven defendants was returned to Plaintiff Dr. Yuri Stoyanov in Fulton/20759 on January 16, 2019 while

the mail for the remaining defendants, namely, Caron, Wilson, Crock, Thomas was intentionally fraudulently stalled, to falsely show to be "in-transit" and the tracking records were intentionally tampered with (to delete that it was already on January 7, 2019 at Carderock division/Bethesda, MD 20817 compare Exhibits A and D) to falsely show that it is still at postal sorting facility at Gaithersburg, MD 20898 or other postal facilities, for about two weeks as of 1/18/19.

30. Specifically, see Attached to 1/18/19 motion originals of USPS receipts and the very elaborate and sophisticated Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud: the usps website for the certified mail tracking records for Mr. David Caron- Tracking Number: 70181830000206342872, attached to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 affidavits of service, show that this letter crossed the state line at least twice, to go from the state of Maryland to Washington DC and then crossed the state line again to go from Washington DC to the state of Maryland again, see also that the entry in the mail tracking record that the mail was delivered to Mr. Caron on 1/9/2019 was deleted in contrast to the green card/return receipt, attached to 1/18/19 affidavit, shows that the letter was delivered to Bethesda, MD 20817 and the agent who failed to print his name on green card/return receipt signed on 1/9/19 and marked that he is an agent for Caron. The mail tracking record for Caron was tampered with to delete entry that mail was received by the agent on 1/9/19 at Bethesda, MD, see that entries between January 6 and 12, 2019 were deleted, and, while on January 6, 2019 the mail was at the Gaithersburg, MD distribution center and then one can see that the next entry is 1/12/19 at the Washington, DC distribution center, obviously to

deceive and to defraud, to cover-up committed Mail and Wire fraud, the mail was apparently intentionally misdirected to Washington, DC distribution center on 1/12/19, (apparently at Bethesda MD 20817 post office sometime on January 7 or 8 or 9, 2019, however, this entry was deleted in the record), then Washington, DC net distribution center on January 13, 2019 and then the same mail crossed the state line again to return to Gaithersburg, MD distribution center on January 14, 2019 etc. but the fact that mail was already delivered to Bethesda, MD on January 9, 2019 was deleted in the official usps mail tracking records for this and 14 other defendants with the same address at Bethesda, MD 20817.

31. Hur/Marzullo in their responses of 3/13/19 and 3/18/19 in addition to deliberate misrepresentations of the intentional Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud, and their fraudulent statement of "no evidence of fraud", also inserted baseless accusations on p.4 in paragraph 14 that "Plaintiff thus has not and cannot establish service on Defendant Kessmeier because among other reasons, a copy of the summons to Defendant Kessmeier and complaint never in fact was delivered to defendant Kessmeier and/or her "last known work address."

They deliberately misrepresented Plaintiff 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud and the Wire Fraud with usps website for the certified mail tracking records for Mr. Catherine Kessmeier- Tracking Number: 70181830000206342865 show that entries between January 6 and 10, 2019 were deleted, and while on January 6, 2019 the mail was at the Gaithersburg, MD distribution center and then one can see that the next entry is 1/10/19 "in transit" to the next postal facility, and the next entry is January 16, 2019 at Fulton, MD

20759 post office, i.e. returned to the sender, Dr. Yuri Stoyanov, i.e. the tampered mail record for Kessmeier falsely shows that the mail was not delivered to Kessmeier at Bethesda, MD 20817 because entry that mail was delivered on January 7 or 8 or 9, 2019 to Bethesda, MD 20817 was deleted intentionally to defraud me, to harm me. When on 1/23/19 I received the returned mail, I noticed that only the envelope for Defendant Caron was broken and, apparently, its content was previewed, copied and then sealed with heavy tape, and then official mail records were tampered with for 15 out of 17 defendants at Bethesda, MD 20817.

32. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully submits Motion FOR SANCTIONS AND REBUTTAL OF DEFENDANTS FRAUDULENT RESPONSE OF 3/13/19 AND 3/18/19 TO THE 1/18/19 MOTION TO INVESTIGATE FRAUD AND TO COMPEL DEFENDANTS' REPRESENTATIVE TO ACCEPT AND SERVE WITH SUMMONS DEFENDANTS Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas, by informing them that the civil action was initiated in this court against the Defendants in their official capacity and individually.

Wherefore, in consideration of the above, Plaintiff respectfully requests to grant Plaintiff's instant Motion for Sanctions and Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' Representative to accept and service with summons Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas.

Respectfully submitted

/s/ Dr. Yuri Stoyanov 7560 Pindell School Rd, Fulton, MD 20759

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on the 25 day of March 2019, a copy of the Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions and Rebuttal of Defendants Fraudulent response of 3/13/19 and 3/18/19 to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' Representative to Accept and Serve with Summons Defendants KESSMEIER, CARON, HAN, CROCK, FORMAN, GOLDMAN, WILSON, MARTIN, WADE, SNYDER, BABERICH, REEVES, MURPHY, THOMAS and proposed Order was sent to the following via:

First Class Mail to the following:

Robert Hur/Kelly Marzullo
Assistant United States Attorney
36 South Charles Street
Fourth Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
Or Fax (410) 962-9947

Hand Delivered or
First Class Mail to the followi
Clerk of the Court
United States District Court
Southern Division
6500 Cherrywood Lane
Greenbelt, Maryland 20770

March 25, 2019 /s/

Date Dr. Yuri Stoyanov
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

Dr. YURI J. Stoyanov, Plaintiff
vs. Case No. CCB-09-347
MR. RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy, et al.,
Defendants

...oOo...

Order

Upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions and Rebuttal of Defendants Fraudulent response of

3/13/19 and 3/18/19 to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' representative to Accept and Serve with Summons 15 Defendants at Bethesda, MD, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants' Representative to Accept and Serve with Summons Defendants Kessmeier, Caron, Han, Crock, Forman, Goldman, Wilson, Martin, Wade, Snyder, Baberich, Reeves, Murphy, Thomas in this case SHOULD BE and hereby IS GRANTED;
2. Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions is Granted;
3. Robert Hur, the Defendants' Representative and the US Attorney for the District of Maryland, is Ordered to Investigate Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and others in January 2019 and in April 2014, and to prepare and release to Plaintiff investigative reports for these criminal cases for court;
4. Defendants' Representative is ORDERED to accept and serve with summons 15 Defendants.

Date: Catherine C. Blake/ United States District
Judge

APPENDIX 7

: Petitioner's 4/5/19 Second Motion for Sanctions and Opposition to defendants' 3/26/19 motion to consolidate

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

Dr. YURI J. Stoyanov, Plaintiff
vs. Case No. CCB-09-3479

MR. RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy, et al.,
Defendants ...oOo...

Plaintiff's SECOND Motion FOR SANCTIONS AND
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS UNSUPPORTED
MOTION OF 3/26/19 with FRAUDULENT DEMAND
TO CONSOLIDATE

1. In this pro se case Plaintiff, DR. YURI J. STOYANOV is in receipt of Defendants representatives Hur/Marzullo's unsupported and intentionally fraudulent March 26, 2019 Motion to Consolidate. Because Defendants' 3/26/2019 motion is unsolicited, unsupported, and fraudulent, Plaintiff respectfully submits instant opposition to their motion where they inserted fraudulent arguments and demand to consolidate Plaintiff's five lawsuits into one and respectfully requests to deny Defendants 3/26/19 motion. Hur/Marzullo to deceive the court inserted intentional fraud in their motion, for example, on p. 2 that "to date, two defendants in their individual capacity remain to be served with process", implying that Plaintiff still as of March 26, 2019 failed to comply with the 12/21/18 Order to serve with summons and complaint on defendants by 1/21/19, and that some of the defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817 were still not served, and they want the court to believe that it was Plaintiff's fault, that Plaintiff instead of sending his mail with summonses and complaints to Defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817, sent

his mail with summonses and complaints via US post office certified mail with restricted delivery to his residence in Fulton, MD, 20579, that per Hur/Marzullo's fraudulent arguments the usps mail tracking records were not tampered with, the entries of delivery of Plaintiff's mail to defendants in Bethesda, MD were not deleted by Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with her assistants Defendant Caron and others and that the official US Postal Service records correctly reflect that Plaintiff indeed sent summonses and complaints from Columbia, MD post office not to defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817 but to his residence in Fulton, MD 20759 and, according to Hur/Marzullo's fraudulent arguments now is the time to consolidate all Plaintiff lawsuits and that all Plaintiff's claims in five lawsuits are alike. Contrary to Hur/Marzullo's fraud and fraudulent arguments Plaintiff's lawsuits include not only different claims but also new witnesses because Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with her assistants Defendant Caron and others instigated agency officials to escalate violations of laws, fraud, intentional discrimination and retaliations, Defendants were not stopped nor punished so they with impunity escalated violations of new laws, new violations of Plaintiff's rights, for example, repeatedly transferred Plaintiff involuntary from one technology department to another technology to escalate retaliations, and did this with impunity, then, considering that this was insufficient suffering and pain to Plaintiff, they violated new laws and regulations, intentionally fabricated baseless accusations to suspend Plaintiff's Top Secret Security Clearance in order to remove from work and federal service by fraud. However, after Plaintiff appealed to the special court regarding

security clearance suspension, i.e. to the Defense Office of Hearing and Appeal [DOHA] and rebutted all accusers' baseless accusations, the DOHA judge observed during the hearing that none of the accusers [i.e. defendants in Plaintiff's court cases] came to the hearing because they were afraid to testify under oath and to lose their security clearances for committing perjury and fraud. DOHA judge ruled in favor of Plaintiff and, as a result of DOHA, the Chief of Naval Operation's Office issued letter to restore Plaintiff's Top Secret Security Clearance, to reinstate into pay status and Plaintiff returned to work. Then defendants in conspiracy with Defendant Kessmeier and her assistants Defendant Caron and others, committed new violations of laws; new fraud, new retaliations, hence Plaintiff filed new disclosures, new claims and new lawsuits. Hur/Marzullo intentionally contradict evidence in the court records, such as in Plaintiff's lawsuits filed in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, and also in the instant case, for example, see the original US Postal Service receipts of 1/5/19 attached to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud, that clearly show that Plaintiff sent his certified mail to Defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817, not to his residence. Defendants' fraud and fraudulent arguments in their 3/26/19 motion are obvious to any reasonable mind. In the interest of justice and for the reasons explained more fully below the Plaintiff respectfully requests to deny Defendants 3/26/19 motion and to keep separate from this case of 2009 the five civil action cases filed by the Plaintiff in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

2. Direct evidence in the court records show that Defendants representative Hur/Marzullo submitted on 3/26/19 intentionally fraudulent arguments and baseless accusations on p2 in paragraph 5 that "to

date, two defendants in their individual capacities remain to be served with process. See ECF No. 25," and demanded consolidation with other lawsuits. Contrary to Hur/Marzullo's unsupported demands and intentionally baseless accusations against Plaintiff they deliberately misrepresented facts to cover-up their fraud in the 3/13/19 and 3/18/19 filings, including mail fraud and wire fraud committed with Plaintiff's 1/5/19 mail by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants. Thus, Hur/Marzullo intentionally contradict to direct evidence in the records of the recurring since 2002 fraud committed by Defendants Kessmeier and Caron and their agents' who intentionally failed to print his name on green cards/return receipts; Defendant Kessmeier's fraud was disclosed in Plaintiff's 1/18/19 "Motion to Investigate Fraud" and in the 3/25/19 "Motion for Sanctions and Rebuttal of Defendants Fraudulent Response of 3/13/19 to the 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud". Thus, Defendants 3/26/19 motion is unsupported, is contrary to the evidence in records, accordingly Plaintiff respectfully requests to deny Defendants' 3/26/19 motion and to grant Plaintiff's instant Second Motion for Sanctions, to Impose additional Sanctions against the defendants to pay all Plaintiff's discovery expenses and jury trial expenses.

3. Hur/Marzullo filed their 3/26/19 fraudulent "Motion to Consolidate" together with the 3/26/19 "Motion for Extension of Time", which is untimely and is intentionally in violation of court rules of civil procedure requiring that motion must be filed at least five days prior to the expiration of time, instead Hur/Marzullo filed their motion one day prior to expiration of time i.e. 3/27/19 [to respond to Plaintiff's 1/5/19 sending of summons and complaints to

defendants to be replied by Defendants within 60 days from the day of receiving i.e. 1/7/19]. In their untimely motion for extension of time they demanded again extension of time and time of extension to begin not from 3/27/2019 but after the decision would be issued on defendants unsupported and fraudulent 3/26/19 "motion to consolidate". In contrast to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 "Motion to Investigate Fraud" which is timely and supported by direct evidence in the records and must be granted, the Defendants 3/26/19 "motion to consolidate" is unsupported, untimely, with deliberate misrepresentations and must be denied.

4. The instant case was filed with the court in 2009 and reactivated in September 2018, then on 12/21/18 court Order granted Plaintiff's 10/31/18 Motion to Amend, and accordingly, since 12/21/18 in amended case there are already 72 claims and 14 counts and 20 witnesses. There is no need to add more claims and witnesses because most of the 72 claims were not investigated and none of the witnesses were examined under oath to this day. This had happened so because of Defendant Kessmeier's and her assistant Defendant Caron's criminal conduct and fraud, they intentionally committed violations of laws with impunity to obstruct justice, to harm Plaintiff and to preclude during administrative proceedings the examination of witnesses under the oath and the investigation of claims so that Defendants could continue with impunity to escalate intentional violations of laws, fraud, intentional discrimination and escalated retaliations against Plaintiff. The same pattern of Kessmeier's and Caron's criminal conduct and fraud to harm Plaintiff had been committed as recently as January 2019, while the instant case was active and proceeding, they attempted to dismiss

instant case [with 72 claims, 14 counts and 20 witnesses] by fraud i.e. by committing intentionally recurring mail fraud and wire fraud with Plaintiff's mail with summonses and complaints and then via Defendants representatives Hur/Marzullo submitted fraud and baseless accusations against Plaintiff in defendants' filings of 3/26/19, 3/18/19 and 3/13/19, in spite of the fact that Plaintiff timely sent summonses and complaints on January 5, 2019 and served 17 defendants at Bethesda, MD 20817 by January 21, 2019 pursuant to the 12/21/2018 Order. Kessmeier with Caron and their agent tampered with the certified mail with restricted delivery for 15 defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817, intentionally tampered with the official US Postal Service records to delete the January 7 or 8, 2019 entry of mail delivery in the usps mail tracking records for 15 defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817 and committed fraud with 14 green cards/return receipts in violation of federal laws and postal regulations.

5. To deceive the court and to cover-up Defendant Kessmeier's and Defendant Caron's recurring mail fraud and wire fraud defendants representative Hur/Marzullo persisted to escalate fraud, deliberately misrepresented facts and committed fraud in their motions of 3/13/19, 3/18/19 and 3/26/19 and also inserted baseless accusations that Plaintiff failed to serve on defendants with summons and complaint because mail tracking records show that his mail was delivered not to Defendant Kessmeier in Bethesda MD 20817 but to Plaintiff's residence [i.e. implying that Plaintiff sent his mail from post office in Columbia, MD to his residence in Fulton, MD 20817 instead to defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817]. Moreover, because the already large number of claims have to be

investigated and 20 witnesses to be examined under oath this will take considerable time during discovery. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests to deny defendants 3/26/19 motions, to impose additional sanctions against defendants and to Order discovery and then jury trial to supplement intentionally deficient court records because all Plaintiff's prior requests for investigation of claims and for discovery during administrative proceedings were precluded by Defendant Kessmeier's and her assistant Defendant Caron's criminal conduct. Direct evidence in the court records show that Defendants Kessmeier and Caron had willfully and persistently violated federal, common and criminal laws time and time again, year after year with impunity. Accordingly, in order to conduct fair adjudication of Plaintiff's claims and to examine Defendants under oath, Plaintiff respectfully requests to commence the 60-days discovery to examine Defendants under oath, to investigate claims, to supplement deficient court records with evidence and agency documents solely in Defendants' possession, in order to prepare the case for jury trial and then to conduct jury trial.

6. Further, because Defendants Kessmeier and Caron violated laws, obstructed justice, committed fraud and suborned witnesses to deny fair hearings and jury trials that Plaintiff requested in each and every case, all prior cases were decided not by jury but by career criminals on the bench and their decisions were fraudulent, starting from the first lawsuit of 2005 before federal judge R. Bennett who conspired with defendants representatives R. Rosenstein and his assistant J. Sippel and in conspiracy with Defendants Kessmeier and Caron to commit fraud and mockery to justice, was caught committing fraud with the

transcripts of court hearings and then, instead of proceeding with jury trial, deliberately committed judicial fraud, ruled and made decisions in favor of defendants not based on the rule of laws and facts but on the rule of lies and fraud submitted to the court by Defendants representatives and Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant defendant Caron. Career criminal R. Bennett, committed intentional judicial fraud: first delayed the release of transcripts so that Rosenstein with Kessmeier would have time to tamper with the transcripts and then, after Plaintiffs discovered fraud with transcripts and timely filed "Motion to Release Copies of Audiotapes of the Court Hearings", he denied Plaintiff's and his brother Dr. Aleksandr Stoyanov's "Motions to Release Copies of Audiotapes of Court Hearings" so that Plaintiff could not uncover more fraud in the transcripts of court hearing committed by Rosenstein with Kessmeier and their assistants. In addition, the career criminal on the bench federal judge R. Bennett deliberately denied Plaintiff's "Motion to Compel Defendants Representatives to Certify Under Penalty of Perjury the Content of Defendants filings to be Accurate and True", thereby intentionally encouraged Defendants and Defendants representative to escalate violations of laws, fraud and intentional retaliations against Plaintiffs. Encouraged by Bennett's repeated judicial fraud committed in all cases that were before him, Defendants escalated violations of laws, fraud, intentional discrimination and retaliations against Plaintiffs for Whistleblower's and EEO discrimination activities and on the bases of age born in 1955 and national origin, born in Russia to remove from work by fraud.

7. Similarly and at the same time Defendants Representatives escalated violations of laws and court

rules and submitted to the court intentionally fraudulent filings to harm Plaintiffs, to deny fair hearing and to deny jury trials. For more direct evidence of judicial fraud committed by career criminals on the bench in all prior cases filed by Plaintiff and his brother Dr. Aleksandr Stoyanov since 2005, see for example Plaintiff's Case No. 1:07-cv-01985-DKC and Plaintiff's 2017 Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the US Supreme Court No. 17-174.

8. Furthermore, because Defendants were not stopped, nor punished by career criminals on the bench, but instead had been encouraged to continue to escalate intentional violations of laws, fraud, including mail fraud and wire fraud, intentional discrimination and retaliations, Plaintiff was forced to file additional disclosures with the US Office of Special Counsel and through the chain of Naval Command, with MSPB and filed additional EEO discrimination complaints with the agency EEO office and as a result there are hundreds of additional claims and dozens of additional witnesses in the lawsuits filed by Plaintiff in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014. I believe, from my experience of prior hearings that consolidation of claims is counterproductive and unnecessarily delayed and complicated the hearing process. Accordingly, I believe that justice will not be served by consolidating civil action cases.

9. In addition, for each filed lawsuit I paid full filing court fees and each civil action includes not only large number of claims but also different or more witnesses since Defendants, instigated by career criminals Defendant Kessmeier and Defendant Caron, escalated violations of laws and retaliations, intentionally transferred Plaintiff involuntary and repeatedly from one technology department to another

technology department and then removed from work twice by fraud to escalate harm to Plaintiff and inflict severe suffering and to retaliate and as such the consolidation and the addition of more claims and more witnesses will make hearing longer and will not serve justice or spare scarce resources.

10. Accordingly, consolidation of the instant case CCB-09-3479 with any of the followed lawsuits filed in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 will do more harm, needless delays, and longer discovery and make the hearing longer and more expensive. Further, pending additional two criminal cases of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others in April 2014 and January 2019 will be the subjects of new court actions.

11. Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1341) and Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1343) are both federal crimes and were committed not only in January 2019 but also in prior years such as in April 2014 and in 2013 and 2008 to harm me and my brother, it is obvious to any reasonable mind that the January 2019 Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud were very elaborate and sophisticated scheme committed in furtherance of Defendant Kessmeier's fraudulent scheme she set up since 2002. This scheme involved the following elements:

1. A scheme existed for the purpose of committing fraud; to harm, to defraud my brother Dr. Aleksandr Stoyanov and me, Dr. Yuri Stoyanov;
2. Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others knowingly and willfully participated in the scheme since 2002, specifically, see the most recent Mail Fraud and

Wire Fraud of January 2019, also Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud of April 2014, 2013, 2008, etc.; 3.Kessmeier with her assistants Caron and others used a public mail service, such as, an agent in their service, the United States Postal Service and the Wire Fraud via USPS website electronic/wire communications to further the scheme.

Thus, all essential elements of the Federal crime of mail fraud and wire fraud were involved and are pending court because Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others since 2002 with impunity had continued to escalate violations of laws, obstruction of justice, intentional retaliations, discrimination and escalated recurring Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud to harm my brother and me. Instead of complying with the laws and Navy policy of zero tolerance to discrimination, Defendant Kessmeier had been rewarded for violations of laws, fraud, obstruction of justice and for committing recurring Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud and in turn she rewarded the violators of laws, US Postal regulations, for committing recurrent Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud and for violating Navy policy. I believe, that timely and fair adjudication of each lawsuit will enforce Defendants and Defendants representatives' compliance with the Civil Rights Act, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, Whistleblower Protection Act, the civil service laws, common and criminal laws, including Federal laws such as Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1341) and Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1343).

12. The large number of claims and witnesses has already taken toll in delays, cost, and expenses I have suffered as identified in prior civil actions. This happened because I was denied fair adjudication

because of the willful and persistent judicial fraud committed by the criminals on the bench in prior cases and during the administrative EEOC and MSPB proceedings on these complaints. Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and other defendants and agency officials intentionally escalated discrimination against my brother and me to harm us and to cover-up continuous discrimination, escalated retaliations, violations of laws, and fraud, including Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by defendants. Instead of stopping defendants violation of laws, fraud, including mail fraud and wire fraud, discrimination, and intentional retaliations criminals on the bench ruled and made decisions in favor of the agency and defendants using agency and defendants representatives' criminal conduct, Defendant Kessmeier and her assistant Defendant Caron's deliberate misrepresentations, fraud, tampering with evidence and witnesses, mail fraud and wire fraud. In the EEOC, MSPB, and courts my brother and I provided direct evidence of willful and persistent judicial fraud committed by career criminals on the bench starting from the 2002 at the EEOC by career criminal administrative judge D. Norken who repeatedly extended to over 360 days hearings by fraud instead of only 180 days stipulated by regulations.

13. Thus, I strongly object and oppose consolidation of the instant complaint because consolidation will not spare scarce resources, but on the contrary consolidation of complaints will needlessly make hearing longer, complicate and extend the hearing process, and make proceeding more expensive. The consolidation will only harm me and will stall the already long overdue fair adjudication of my

complaint. I believe that consolidation will deprive me of necessary time to effectively prepare the case for the hearing of already amended complaint with numerous claims, 72 claims and 14 counts against defendants and to examine 20 defendants under oath at the jury trial. In the interest of justice, I oppose consolidation of the instant complaint with my other civil actions.

14. Furthermore, there are pending two criminal lawsuits against Defendants. Clearly, consolidation will lead to further delays and will only stall the adjudication of my complaints. I believe that consolidation will only encourage Defendants and Defendants Representative Hur/Marzullo to further escalate violation of laws, court rules and regulations, deliberate misrepresentations, baseless accusations, fraud, including mail fraud and wire fraud, intentional discrimination and retaliations.

15. Thus, I believe that consolidation of the instant complaint with my other actions will deprive me of my right to a fair and speedy trial, unnecessarily complicate and make longer the case, escalate costs, and harm me because of large number of claims in each case and the Defendants and Defendants representatives continuous escalation of criminal conduct, intentional violations of laws, deliberate misrepresentations and fraud, including recurrent mail fraud and wire fraud.

16. Wherefore, in consideration of the above, Plaintiff respectfully submits this opposition to consolidation of the instant complaint with other lawsuits, because consolidation will not serve the justice or provide any economy and respectfully requests to continue instant civil action as a separate case without any consolidation. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully

requests to deny Defendants 3/26/19 motions, to grant Plaintiff's "Second Motion for Sanctions and Opposition to Defendants' Unsupported Motion of 3/26/19 with Fraudulent Demand to Consolidate," and to grant Plaintiff's request to commence 60 days discovery.

Respectfully submitted

/s/ Dr. Yuri Stoyanov

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on the 5 day of April 2019, a copy of the Plaintiff's "Second Motion for Sanctions and Opposition to Defendants' Unsupported Motion of 3/26/19 with Fraudulent Demand to Consolidate" and proposed Order was sent to the following via:

First Class Mail to the following:

Robert Hur/Kelly Marzullo
Assistant United States Attorney
36 South Charles Street
Fourth Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
Or Fax (410) 962-9947

Hand Delivered or
First Class Mail to the following:
Clerk of the Court
United States District Court
Southern Division
6500 Cherrywood Lane
Greenbelt, Maryland 20770

April 5, 2019 /s/

Date Dr. Yuri Stoyanov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

Dr. YURI J. STOYANOV, Plaintiff
vs. Case No. CCB-09-3479 MR. RAY MABUS,
Secretary of the Navy, et al., Defendants

...oOo...

Order

Upon consideration of Plaintiff's "Second Motion for Sanctions and Opposition to Defendants' Unsupported

Motion of 3/26/19 with Fraudulent Demand to Consolidate,” and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff’s “Second Motion for Sanctions and Opposition to Defendants’ Unsupported Motion of 3/26/19 with Fraudulent Demand to Consolidate,” in this case SHOULD BE and hereby IS GRANTED;
2. Defendants’ 3/26/19 motion to consolidate is DENIED;
3. Plaintiff’s request for Discovery is GRANTED;
4. Parties ORDERED to commence 60 days DISCOVERY;
5. Defendants Ordered to Pay all Plaintiff’s Discovery expenses and Jury trial expenses;
6. Robert Hur, the Defendants’ Representative and the US Attorney for the District of Maryland, is Ordered to Investigate Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and others in January 2019 and in April 2014, and to prepare and release to Plaintiff investigative reports for these criminal cases for court;
7. Defendants’ Representative is ORDERED to accept and serve with summons 15 Defendants.

Date: _____ Catherine C. Blake /United States
District Judge

APPENDIX 8:
**Petitioner's 4/26/19 Motion to reconsider and
rescind 4/16/19 order**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
Dr. YURI J. Stoyanov, Plaintiff
vs. Case No. CCB-09-3479
MR. RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy, et al.,
Defendants

...oOo...

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER AND
RESCIND THE 4/16/19 ORDER AND TO ISSUE
NEW ORDER TO RESCIND CONSOLIDATION OF
LAWSUITS AND TO GRANT PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS TO INVESTIGATE RECURRING MAIL
FRAUD AND WIRE FRAUD COMMITTED BY
DEFENDANTS AND TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS
AGAINST DEFENDANTS

1. In this pro se case Plaintiff Dr. Yuri Stoyanov respectfully submits "Motion to Reconsider and Rescind the 4/16/19 Order and to Issue New Order to rescind consolidation of lawsuits, and to grant Plaintiff's motions to Investigate Recurring Federal Crimes of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendants and to Impose Sanctions against Defendants", because the order imposes undue burden on the Plaintiff and is unsupported by the evidence in the court records by improperly granting defendants' unsupported motions based on fraud, intentionally

fraudulent arguments and baseless demands while at the same time denying Plaintiff's three motions that are supported by direct evidence in the court records of recurring federal crimes committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants and Defendants' representatives Hur and Marzullo.

2. Note there is no explanation in the Order why Plaintiff's three motions with direct evidence of federal crimes committed by Defendants [Kessmeier and Caron and by Defendants' representatives Hur and Marzullo] were denied while at the same time Defendants' motions with willful and persistent fraud and baseless demand to consolidate were granted. Any reasonable mind can see that the Order 4/16/19 is contrary to the evidence in the court records and must be reconsidered, rescinded and new Order must be issued based on facts and evidence in the record to grant Plaintiff relief since Plaintiff's three motions of 1/18/19, 3/26/19 and 4/5/19-are all supported by direct evidence in the record, so that Plaintiff could proceed based on fair hearing and jury trial. Therefore, the 4/16/19 Order based on Defendants' fraud, intentionally fraudulent arguments, and baseless demands must be rescinded and new Order issued to rescind consolidation of the Plaintiff's five cases each of which was paid as a separate lawsuit because of the Defendants flagrant violations of laws and egregious harm inflicted on the Plaintiff.

3. Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to rescind the denial of Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud, to rescind the denial of Plaintiff's 3/25/19 Motion for Sanctions, and to rescind the denial of Plaintiff's April 5, 2019 Second Motion For Sanctions against the Defendants. Plaintiff again requests that the Court order discovery and to

Investigate Recurring Federal crimes committed by the Defendants including mail fraud and wire fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with her assistant Defendant Caron in January 2019, and to impose sanctions for fraud and fraudulent arguments submitted to the court by Defendants' Representative Hur and Marzullo, to impose sanctions for violations of laws, including federal and criminal laws, and for submitting intentionally fraudulent arguments to deceive the court and to harm Plaintiff.

4. Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud are federal crimes and were committed by Defendants Kessmeier and Caron in January 2019 to dismiss instant lawsuit by fraud and by tampering with the evidence to harm Plaintiff to deceive the court by tampering with the official US Postal Service records in direct violation of federal laws, specifically the Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1341) and Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. section 1343). Both violations are federal crimes committed by Defendants Kessmeier/Caron intentionally and repeatedly and not only in January 2019 but also in prior years such as in April 2014, in 2013, and 2008. For more direct evidence of fraud committed prior to the January 2019 Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud, see for example Plaintiff's Case No. 1:07-cv-01985-DKC and Plaintiff's 2017 Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the US Supreme Court No. 17-174. Therefore, the 4/16/19 Order must be rescinded so that Plaintiff could receive fair hearing and jury trial.

5. In January 2019, Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others demonstrated in the instant case that they intentionally violated federal laws [18 U.S.C. section 1341 and section 1343] as was evident in the Plaintiff's three motions that were timely filed with direct

evidence from the official US Postal service documents and supporting records.

6. In Plaintiff's 1/18/19 "Motion to Investigate Fraud and to Compel Defendants Representative to Accept and Serve with Summons and Complaint 16 Defendants"; in the Plaintiff's 3/25/19 "Motion for Sanctions and Rebuttal of Defendants Fraudulent Response of 3/13/19 to the 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud" and in Plaintiff's 4/5/19 "Second Motion for Sanctions and Opposition to Defendants' Unsupported Motion of 3/26/19 with Fraudulent Demand to Consolidate," Plaintiff provided direct evidence in the court records that not only Defendants Kessmeier, Caron and others intentionally committed federal crime, but also Defendants Representatives, namely, R. Hur, the US Attorney for the District of Maryland and his assistant K. Marzullo, submitted fraudulent filings and fraudulent arguments to the court to cover-up crimes committed by Defendant Kessmeier, Defendant Caron and others. Thus, Hur/Marzullo intentionally contradicted direct evidence in the records such US Postal Service Certified Mail receipts and usps website mail tracking records disclosed in Plaintiff's 1/18/19 "Motion to Investigate Fraud" and in the 3/25/19 "Motion for Sanctions and Rebuttal of Defendants Fraudulent Response of 3/13/19 to the 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud".

7. To deceive the court and to harm Plaintiff, the defendants representatives, namely, R. Hur, the US Attorney for the District of Maryland and his assistant K. Marzullo deliberately misrepresented facts in court records and in their filings on behalf of Defendants and submitted baseless accusations that Plaintiff failed to serve Defendants with summons and

complaint, and, they made fraudulent arguments and unsupported fraudulent demand to consolidate Plaintiff's five lawsuits in order to harm Plaintiff and to cover-up recurring Federal crimes of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants so that they could continue to escalate obstruction of justice, fraud, intentional violations of laws, and harm Plaintiff with impunity.

8. In addition, Defendants failed to write oppositions to Plaintiff's 3/25/19 Motion for Sanctions and to Plaintiff's 4/5/19 second motion for sanctions, which were timely filed with direct evidence that Defendants' filings such as 3/13/19 and 3/26/19 are intentionally fraudulent, unsupported by the evidence and Defendants representatives Hur/Marzullo proffered deliberate misrepresentations and fraudulent arguments. Therefore, the Court should grant Plaintiff's 3/25/19 Motion for Sanctions and Plaintiff's 4/5/19 Second Motion for Sanctions

9. After Plaintiff filed the 3/25/19 "Motion for Sanctions against defendants and Rebuttal of Defendants 3/13/19 filing" and by direct evidence in the court records established that Hur/Marzullo submitted intentional fraud and fraudulent arguments to the court to cover-up Kessmeier/Caron's recurring Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud and to preclude the investigation of their federal crimes, Plaintiff's timely requests in the 1/18/19 and 3/25/19 motions to investigate federal crime of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Kessmeier, Hur/Marzullo persisted to perpetuate deliberate misrepresentations, fraud, and baseless accusations against Plaintiff in their unsupported and intentionally fraudulent 3/26/19 filings with fraudulent demand to consolidate Plaintiff's lawsuits in order to harm Plaintiff. On

4/5/19, Plaintiff filed the second motion for sanctions against defendants and the opposition to Defendants' unsupported and intentionally fraudulent demand to consolidate. In his 4/5/19 motion for sanctions and opposition to consolidation, Plaintiff established by direct evidence in the record that Hur/Marzullo deceived the court to preclude the investigation of federal crimes committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants and intentionally proffered deliberate misrepresentations, fraud and inserted their fraudulent demand to consolidate five other lawsuits in order to cover-up Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud of January 2019 committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others to harm Plaintiff.

10. Therefore, any reasonable mind can see that the 4/16/19 Order denying Plaintiff's three motions [1/18/19, 3/25/19 and 4/5/19] is contrary to the evidence in the record, wrong, and must be rescinded

11. The evidence in the Court records clearly shows that the 4/16/19 Order is unsupported, wrong, and must be, reconsidered with regard to the Defendants fraudulent demand for consolidation and must be rescinded to prevent injustice. All Hur/Marzullo's arguments in support of their demand to consolidate five additional lawsuits are baseless and intentionally fraudulent.

12. In the 4/5/19 second motion for sanctions and opposition to consolidation, Plaintiff rebutted Hur/Marzullo's 3/26/19 filing with direct evidence of recurring fraudulent arguments and baseless accusations and opposed their unsupported and fraudulent demand to consolidate. Direct evidence in the court records show that Defendants representative Hur/Marzullo submitted on 3/26/19 intentionally

fraudulent arguments and baseless accusations on page 2 in paragraph 5 that “to date, two defendants in their individual capacities remain to be served with process. See ECF No. 25,” and demanded consolidation with other lawsuits. To receive relief, Plaintiff requested in the 4/5/19 motion to deny Hur/Marzullo’s demand for consolidation and to impose sanctions against defendants for violations of laws, fraud and for intentionally fraudulent demand to consolidate.

13. On April 5, 2019, Plaintiff timely filed motion in opposition to consolidation and rebutted Hur/Marzullo’s fraud and fraudulent arguments and informed the court that during administrative proceedings Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron by fraud precluded the investigation of Plaintiff’s instant lawsuit 72 claims, 14 counts and the examination of 20 witnesses under oath. None of the defendants were examined under oath to this day. In the 4/5/19 motion Plaintiff strongly opposed the consolidation and provided direct evidence in the record that instant lawsuit already has 72 claims, 14 counts and 20 witnesses to be examined under oath and the addition of other five lawsuits is counterproductive, the consolidation will not lead to saving in time and funding but on the contrary will make proceedings longer, more expensive and unjust to Plaintiff.

14. Contrary to Hur/Marzullo’s fraud and fraudulent arguments Plaintiff’s other five lawsuits include not only different claims but also new and additional witnesses because Defendant Kessmeier in conspiracy with her assistants Defendant Caron and others instigated agency officials to escalate violations of laws, fraud, intentional discrimination and retaliations, Defendants were not stopped nor

punished so they with impunity escalated violations of laws, escalated new violations of Plaintiff's rights, for example, repeatedly transferred Plaintiff involuntary from one technology department to another technology to escalate retaliations, and did this with impunity, then, considering that these pain and sufferings were insufficient to Plaintiff, they violated new laws and regulations, intentionally fabricated baseless accusations to suspend Plaintiff's Top Secret Security Clearance in order to remove him from work and federal service by fraud. However, after Plaintiff appealed to the special court regarding security clearance suspension, i.e. to the Defense Office of Hearing and Appeal [DOHA] and rebutted all baseless accusations and fraud committed by various Defendants, the DOHA judge observed during the hearing that none of the accusers came to the hearing because they were afraid to testify under oath and to lose their security clearances for committing perjury and fraud. DOHA judge ruled in favor of Plaintiff and, as a result of DOHA decision, the Chief of Naval Operation's Office issued letter to restore Plaintiff's Top Secret Security Clearance, to reinstate into pay status and Plaintiff returned to work. However, Defendant Kessmeier and her assistants Defendant Caron in conspiracy with others, committed new violations of laws; additional fraud, egregious retaliations, hence Plaintiff filed new disclosures, new claims and new lawsuits. Hur/Marzullo intentionally contradicted evidence in the court records, such as in Plaintiff's lawsuits filed in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, and also in the instant case, for example, see the original US Postal Service receipts of 1/5/19 attached to Plaintiff's 1/18/19 Motion to Investigate Fraud, that clearly show that Plaintiff sent his certified mail to the

Defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817, however, the summonses and complaints were returned to Plaintiff's residence because Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants committed recurring Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud by tampering with the official US Postal Service records and return cards in January 2019. Defendants' fraud and fraudulent arguments in the Defendants' 3/26/19 motion are obvious to any reasonable mind. In the interest of justice and for the reasons explained more fully below the Plaintiff respectfully requests to rescind 4/16/19 Order and to deny Defendants 3/26/19 motion and to keep separate this case timely submitted to the U.S. District Court with full payment in 2009 from the other five civil action cases submitted to the U.S. District Court separately with full payment each in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

15. Direct evidence in the court records show that Defendants representative Hur/Marzullo submitted in 3/26/19 unsupported motion with intentionally fraudulent arguments and baseless accusations contrary to the evidence in records. Accordingly Plaintiff respectfully requests to rescind the 4/16/19 Order, to grant Plaintiff's Second Motion for Sanctions, and to Impose additional Sanctions against the Defendants.

16. In contrast to the Plaintiff's 1/18/19 "Motion to Investigate Fraud" which was timely and supported by direct evidence in the records, the Defendants 3/26/19 "motion to consolidate" was unsupported, untimely, and must be denied because of deliberate misrepresentations.

17. The instant case was filed with the court in 2009 and reactivated in September 2018, then on 12/21/18 court Order granted Plaintiff's 10/31/18 Motion to

Amend, and accordingly, since 12/21/18 in the amended case there are already 72 claims, 14 counts, and 20 witnesses. There is no need to add more claims and witnesses because most of the 72 claims were not investigated and none of the witnesses were examined under oath to this day. This had happened so because of Defendant Kessmeier's and her assistant Defendant Caron's criminal conduct and fraud, they intentionally committed violations of laws with impunity to obstruct justice, to harm Plaintiff and to preclude during administrative proceedings the examination of witnesses under the oath and the investigation of claims so that Defendants could continue to escalate intentional violations of laws, fraud, intentional discrimination and escalated retaliations against Plaintiff. The same pattern of Kessmeier's and Caron's criminal conduct and fraud to harm Plaintiff had been committed as recently as January 2019, while the instant case was active and proceeding, they attempted to dismiss instant case [with 72 claims, 14 counts and 20 witnesses] by fraud i.e. by committing mail fraud and wire fraud with summonses and complaints sent to each alive Defendant. However, Defendants representatives Hur/Marzullo submitted fraud and baseless accusations against Plaintiff in defendants' filings of 3/26/19, 3/18/19 and 3/13/19, in spite of the fact that Plaintiff timely mailed via the US Postal Service restricted certified mail the summons and complaint to each alive Defendant on January 5, 2019 and served the 17 defendants at last known address in Bethesda, MD 20817 by January 21, 2019, pursuant to the 12/21/2018 Order. Kessmeier with Caron and their agent tampered with the official US Postal Service certified mail with restricted delivery for 15 defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817,

intentionally tampered with the official US Postal Service records to delete the January 7 or January 8, 2019 entry of mail delivery in the USPS mail tracking records for 15 defendants in Bethesda, MD 20817 and committed fraud with 14 green cards/return receipts in violation of the federal laws and postal regulations.

18. To deceive the court and to cover-up Defendant Kessmeier's and Defendant Caron's escalated federal crimes, the January 2019 recurring mail fraud and wire fraud, defendants representative Hur/Marzullo persisted to escalate fraud, deliberately misrepresented facts and committed fraud in their motions of 3/13/19, 3/18/19 and 3/26/19. To cover-up willful and persistent fraud in Hur/Marzullo's filings and the recurring federal crimes of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with Defendant Caron and others, Hur/Marzullo inserted intentionally fraudulent demand to consolidate five separate lawsuits to impose undue burden on the Plaintiff.

19. Moreover, because the already large number of claims have to be investigated, [72 claims and 20 witnesses to be examined under oath] that will take considerable time during discovery and jury trial. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests to rescind the 4/16/19 Order and to issue new Order by denying defendants 3/26/19 unsupported and intentionally fraudulent demand to consolidate, to impose additional sanctions against defendants and to Order discovery and then jury trial to supplement intentionally deficient records because all Plaintiff's prior requests for investigation of claims and for discovery during administrative proceedings were precluded by Defendant Kessmeier's and her assistant Defendant Caron's criminal conduct.

20. Direct evidence in the court records show that since 2002 Defendants Kessmeier and Caron had willfully and persistently violated federal, common and criminal laws time and again, year after year with impunity, see for example Plaintiff's Case No. 1:07-cv-01985-DKC and Plaintiff's 2017 Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the US Supreme Court No. 17-174. Accordingly, in order to conduct fair adjudication of Plaintiff's claims and to examine Defendants under oath, Plaintiff respectfully requests to commence the 60-days discovery to examine Defendants under oath, to investigate claims, to supplement deficient court records with evidence and agency documents solely in Defendants' possession, in order to prepare the case for jury trial and then to conduct jury trial.

21. Therefore Plaintiff strongly opposes consolidation and respectfully requests the Court to rescind the 4/16/19 Order, to rescind the consolidation because the order imposes undue burden on the Plaintiff and is unsupported by the evidence in the court records. Clearly, consolidation of the current case with 72 claims, 14 counts, and 20 witness to examine under oath with additional claims in five other lawsuits each filed separately with fully paid fee will be unfair, burdensome, counterproductive, and unnecessarily delay and complicate the process. Moreover, the lawsuits in the five other lawsuits filed in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 were filed considerably later than the current case. Accordingly, the justice will not be served by consolidating the current civil action case with the five other cases and 4/16/19 Order must be rescinded.

22. In addition, for each filed lawsuit Plaintiff paid full filing court fees and each civil action includes not only large number of different claims but also different

Defendants and witnesses since Defendants in each case, instigated by Defendant Kessmeier and Defendant Caron, escalated violations of laws and retaliations, intentionally transferred Plaintiff involuntary from one technology department to another technology department, violated Plaintiff's rights, maliciously harmed Plaintiff, and then by fraud removed Plaintiff from work twice to escalate harm to the Plaintiff and to inflict severe pain and suffering in each case and as such the consolidation and the addition of more claims and more Defendant and witnesses will make consolidated case considerably longer, impose undue burden on both Plaintiff and Defendants, and will not serve justice or spare scarce resources.

23. Accordingly, consolidation of the instant case CCB-09-3479 filed in 2009 with any of the followed lawsuits filed separately in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 will do more harm, impose undue burden, needless delays, and longer discovery and make the hearing longer and more expensive. Further, pending additional two criminal cases of recurring Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistants Defendant Caron and others in April 2014 and January 2019 will be the subjects of new court actions.

24. Thus, Plaintiff respectfully requests to rescind the 4/16/19 Order and to rescind consolidation. Plaintiff objected and opposed consolidation in the 4/5/19 Motion and again strongly objects and opposes consolidation of the instant complaint because consolidation will not spare scarce resources, but on the contrary consolidation of complaints will needlessly make hearing longer, complicate and extend the process, and make proceeding more

expensive. The consolidation will only harm Plaintiff and deprive Plaintiff of necessary time to effectively prepare the case for jury trial of already amended complaint with numerous claims, including 72 claims and 14 counts against the Defendants and to examine 20 defendants under oath at the jury trial. In the interest of justice, Plaintiff strongly opposes consolidation of the instant complaint with my other civil actions and requests to rescind the 4/16/19 Court Order.

Wherefore, in consideration of the above, Plaintiff respectfully requests to grant this "Motion to Reconsider and Rescind the 4/16/19 Order and to Issue New Order to rescind consolidation of lawsuits, and to grant Plaintiff's motions to Investigate Recurring Federal Crimes of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendants and to Impose Sanctions against Defendants".

Respectfully submitted

/s/Dr. Yuri Stoyanov 7560 Pin dell School Rd, Fulton, MD 20759

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on the 26 day of April 2019, a copy of the Plaintiff's "Motion to Reconsider and Rescind the 4/16/19 Order and to Issue New Order to rescind consolidation of lawsuits, and to grant Plaintiff's motions to Investigate Recurring Federal Crimes of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendants and to Impose Sanctions against Defendants" and proposed Order was sent to the following via:

First Class Mail to the following:

Robert Hur/Kelly Marzullo
Assistant United States Attorney
36 South Charles Street

Hand Delivered or
First Class Mail to the followi
Clerk of the Court

Fourth Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
Or Fax (410) 962-9947

United States District Court
Southern Division
6500 Cherrywood Lane
Greenbelt, Maryland 20770

April 26, 2019 /s/

Date Dr. Yuri Stoyanov
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
Dr. YURI J. Stoyanov, Plaintiff
vs. Case No. CCB-09-3479
MR. RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy, et al.,
Defendants...
Order

Upon consideration of Plaintiff's "Motion to Reconsider and Rescind the 4/16/19 Order and to Issue New Order to rescind consolidation of lawsuits, and to grant Plaintiff's motions to Investigate Recurring Federal Crimes of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendants and to Impose Sanctions against Defendants," and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's "Motion to Reconsider and Rescind the 4/16/19 Order and to Issue New Order to rescind consolidation of lawsuits, and to grant Plaintiff's motions to Investigate Recurring Federal Crimes of Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendants and to Impose Sanctions against Defendants," in this case SHOULD BE and hereby IS GRANTED;

2. The 4/16/19 Order is RESCINDED;

3. Parties ORDERED to commence 60 days DISCOVERY;

4. Defendants Ordered to Pay all Plaintiff's Discovery expenses and Jury trial expenses;

5. Robert Hur, the Defendants' Representative and the US Attorney for the District of Maryland, is Ordered to Investigate Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud committed by Defendant Kessmeier with her assistant Defendant Caron and others in January 2019 and in April 2014, and to prepare and release to Plaintiff investigative reports for these criminal cases;

6. Defendants' Representative is ORDERED to accept and serve with summons 15 Defendants.

Date: Catherine C. Blake/ United
States District Judge