

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

WASHINGTON D.C. 20543-0001

PROSE - PLAINTIFF

GREGORY D. KILPATRICK

VS.

MOTION TO THE CLERK TO
FILE THIS PETITION OUT
OF TIME.

USCA 2 NOS. 18-295 (17CV9863) - 173128-
O.P.D. (17CV5013) O.P.D.

RE: MAY 29, 2018 - JULY 29, 2018
173128 (17CV5013) - MOTION

SUPREME COURT OF U.S.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK, WASH. D.C.

PLAINTIFF - PROSE MISUNDERSTOOD LISA NESBITS MANDATE DATE TO THE DATE OF DISMISSAL "JUNE 11, 2018 TO MAY 21, 2018, THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN COURT OF APPEALS DISMISSAL DATE AND NOT THE MANDATE DATE. THE DATE OF THE LOWER COURTS JUDGEMENTS AN ORDER IS MAY 21, 2018 - JANUARY 02, 2018. IT WOULD BE AGAIN APPRECIATED IF THIS MOTION FOR THE PETITION DOCKET NUMBERS ABOVE BE GRANTED AND DOCKETED AND PLAINTIFF BE GIVEN FULL CREDIT. LISA NESBITS EXPLANATIONS TO PLAINTIFF ON THE TELEPHONE WERE CONFLICTING AND NOT EXPLAINED CLEARLY. LISA DID SAY TO PLAINTIFF THAT SHE'S NOT MY ATTORNEY. BEING THAT FEDERAL, STATE AND CITY POLICE HAVE MY TELEPHONES WIRED "BUGGED" THERE WAS AND STILL IS STATIC, CLICKING IN MY TELEPHONES (NON VERIZON)

SEPTEMBER 06, 2018
AUGUST 31, 2018

Prose Gregory D. Kilpatrick

RECEIVED

SEP 14 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

KILPATRICK, GREGORY

3444 WHITE PLAINS ROAD APT #2C BRONX N.Y.C. 10467-5716

(718) 994-5347 - VOICE MAIL

EMAIL - O -

MANDATE

S.D.N.Y.-N.Y.C.
17-cv-5013
McMahon, C.J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 2nd day of January, two thousand eighteen.

Present:

Debra Ann Livingston,
Denny Chin,
Christopher F. Droney,
Circuit Judges.

Gregory D. Kilpatrick,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

17-3128


Sahar Kamkar, DMDDDS, Lic#056322, N.P.I. 1073851507,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appellant, pro se, moves for this Court to review a motion he filed in 2d Cir. 17-2831. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED as frivolous because this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. *Pillay v. INS*, 45 F.3d 14, 17 (2d Cir. 1995) (noting this Court has inherent authority to dismiss a frivolous appeal).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court


Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe



A True Copy

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit



MANDATE ISSUED ON 2/8/2018

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**